determined not to be a rule or regulation as defined in Executive Order 12866 and Departmental Regulation 1512–1; therefore, the Executive Order and Departmental Regulation do not apply to this action.

In the March 3, 2003, Federal Register (68 FR 9971), GIPSA asked persons interested in providing official services in the geographic area assigned to Grand Forks Grain Inspection Department, Inc. (Grand Forks), to submit an application for designation. Applications were due by April 1, 2003.

There were five applicants for the Grand Forks Area: Grand Forks, Jamestown, Minot, and North Dakota, all currently designated official agencies; and Paul B. Bethke, Terry D. Pladson, and Ryan M. Kuhl proposing to do business as Northern Plains Grain Inspection Service, Inc. Grand Forks applied for designation to provide official services in the entire area currently assigned to them. Jamestown, Minot, North Dakota, and Northern Plains, applied for all or part of the area currently assigned to Grand Forks.

GIPSA asked for comments on the applicants for providing service in the Grand Forks area in the May 1, 2003, **Federal Register** (68 FR 23279).

Comments were due by May 1, 2003. GIPSA received 40 comments by the due date. Grand Forks received 14 favorable comments, 13 from grain elevator managers and one from Grand Forks' owner; and one unfavorable comment from a grain elevator manager. Jamestown received six favorable comments from grain elevator managers. Minot received one favorable comment from a grain elevator manager. North Dakota received five favorable comments from elevator managers. Northern Plains received 12 favorable comments and one unfavorable comment from grain elevator managers.

GIPSA evaluated all available information regarding the designation criteria in section 7(f)(l)(A) of the Act and, according to section 7(f)(l)(B), determined the following. Jamestown is better able to provide services in the southwestern portion of the Grand Forks area in North Dakota, as follows: the remainder of Wells and Eddy Counties in addition to the area they already serve. Minot is better able to provide services in the western portion of the Grand Forks area in North Dakota, as follows: the remainder of Bottineau County, in addition to the area they

already serve. North Dakota is better able to provide services in the southeastern portion of the Grand Forks area in North Dakota, as follows: the remainder of Traill County, in addition to the area they already serve. Northern Plains is better able to provide services in a portion of the Grand Forks area in North Dakota, as follows: Benson, Cavalier, Grand Forks, Nelson, Ramsey, Rolette, Pembina, Pierce (the eastern portion only), Towner, and Walsh Counties.

These designation actions to provide official inspection services are effective October 1, 2003, and run concurrent with the official agencies' present designations, in the geographic areas specified above in addition to any areas they are already designated to serve, if applicable. North Dakota's current designation ends March 31, 2005; Jamestown's current designation ends March 31, 2006; Minot's current designation ends June 30, 2006. Northern Plains is designated for 18 months only to provide official services in the geographic area for which they applied. Interested persons may obtain official services by calling the telephone numbers listed below.

Official agency	Headquarters location and telephone	Designation start-end
/linot	Jamestown, ND 701–252–1290 Minot, ND 701–838–1734 Fargo, ND 701–293–7420 Additional locations: Ayr, Enderlin, and Hillsboro, ND. Grand Forks, ND 701–772–2414	07/01/2003-06/30/2006

**Authority:** Pub. L. 94–582, 90 Stat. 2867, as amended (7 U.S.C. 71 *et seq.*).

Dated: August 4, 2003.

# Donna Reifschneider,

Administrator, Grain Inspection, Packers and Stockyards Administration.

[FR Doc. 03–20123 Filed 8–6–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-EN-P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

#### Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Docket 37-2003]

Foreign-Trade Zone 82—Mobile, AL; Request for Manufacturing Authority, Bender Shipbuilding and Repair Company (Shipbuilding)

An application has been submitted to the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) by the City of Mobile, Alabama, grantee of FTZ 82, pursuant to Section 400.28(a)(2) of the Board's regulations (15 CFR part 400), requesting authority on behalf of Bender Shipbuilding and Repair Company (Bender) to construct and repair oceangoing vessels under FTZ procedures within FTZ 82. It was formally filed on July 29, 2003.

The Bender facility is used for the construction, repair, and conversion of commercial and military vessels for domestic and international customers. Foreign components that may be used at the shipyard (up to 20% of finished vessel value) include: plastic tubes/ pipes/hoses/fittings/closures/cases/ bags/crates, rubber mats/gaskets/o-rings/ seals/dock fenders/knobs/dampeners, knotted rope/twine, glass fibers, articles of glass, steel mill products (must be admitted under privileged foreign status (19 CFR 146.41)), steel and iron pipe/ tube/profiles/casings/fittings, bridges and bridge sections, roofing, siding, flooring, cooking appliances, hangers, copper and brass bar/rods/profiles, aluminum plate/sheet/strip/bar/ profiles/tube/wire, articles of aluminum (bridge sections and structures, roofing,

guttering, siding, tanks, reservoirs, drums, cans, cable, frames, fasteners, hangers), metal hinges, pneumatic cylinders, door closers, pumps, air conditioners, fire extinguishers, sprinkler systems, air cleaners, de/ humidifiers, trash compactors, valves, transmissions and related parts, gears, flywheels, pulleys, propellers, transformers, converters, inductors, radar apparatus, television equipment, smoke detectors, alarms, indicator panels, printed circuits, electrical switches/relays/fuses/surge suppressors/connectors/terminals, generators, lamps, wiring harnesses, fiber optic cable, navigational equipment, thermostats, monostats, regulators, controllers, lamps, and lighting fixtures (2003 duty rates: free-14.3%)

FTZ procedures would exempt Bender from Customs duty payments on the foreign components (except steel mill products) used in export activity. On its domestic sales, the company would be able to choose the duty rate that applies to finished oceangoing vessels (duty free) for the foreign-origin components noted above. Duties would be deferred or reduced on foreign production equipment admitted by Bender to the zone until which time it becomes operational. The manufacturing activity conducted under FTZ procedures would be subject to the "standard shipyard restriction" applicable to foreign-origin steel mill products (e.g., angles, pipe, plate), which requires that Customs duties be paid on such items. The application indicates that the savings from FTZ procedures would help improve the facility's international competitiveness.

Public comment on the application is invited from interested parties.
Submissions (original and three copies) shall be addressed to the Board's Executive Secretary at the following addresses:

- 1. Submissions via Express/Package Delivery Services: Foreign-Trade Zones Board, U.S. Department of Commerce, Franklin Court Building-Suite 4100W, 1099 14th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20005: or.
- 2. Submissions via the U.S. Postal Service: Foreign-Trade Zones Board, U.S. Department of Commerce, FCB– 4100W, 1401 Constitution Ave., NW, Washington, DC 20230.

The closing period for their receipt is September 22, 2003. Rebuttal comments in response to material submitted during the foregoing period may be submitted during the subsequent 15-day period to October 6, 2003.

A copy of the application will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Foreign-Trade Zones Board's Executive Secretary at address No.1 listed above.

Dated: July 29, 2003.

### Pierre V. Duy,

 $Acting \ Executive \ Secretary.$ 

[FR Doc. 03-20178 Filed 8-6-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-D8-P

# **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

# International Trade Administration

[A-570-831]

Fresh Garlic From the People's Republic of China: Notice of Extension of Time Limit for the Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative and New Shipper Reviews

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce. **ACTION:** Notice of extension of time limit for the preliminary results of antidumping duty administrative and new shipper reviews.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce is extending the time limit for the preliminary results of the administrative and new shipper reviews of the antidumping duty order on fresh garlic from the People's Republic of China until October 31, 2003. This extension applies to the administrative review of four exporters, Jinan Yipin Corporation, Ltd., Shandong Heze International Trade and Developing Company, Top Pearl Ltd., and Wo Hing (H.K.) Trading Co., and the new shipper reviews of three exporters, Jining Trans-High Trading Company, Zhengzhou Harmoni Spice Co., Ltd., and Xiangcheng Yisheng Foodstuffs Co., Ltd.<sup>1</sup> The period of review is November 1, 2001, through October 31, 2002.

EFFECTIVE DATE: August 7, 2003.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Brian Ellman or Minoo Hatten, AD/CVD Enforcement 3, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–4852 and (202) 482–1690, respectively.

#### **Background**

On December 26, 2002, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published in the Federal **Register** the Notice of Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews (67 FR 78772), in which it initiated an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on fresh garlic from the People's Republic of China (PRC). On January 6, 2003, the Department published in the Federal Register the Notice of Initiation of New Shipper Antidumping Duty Reviews: Fresh Garlic from the People's Republic of China (68 FR 542), in which it initiated new shipper reviews for three companies. On March 10, 2003, we aligned the new shipper reviews with the administrative review pursuant to 19 CFR 351.214(j). As such, the time limits for the new shipper reviews were aligned with those for the administrative review. See memorandum to the File from Jennifer

Moats entitled "Request for Alignment of Annual and New Shipper Reviews," dated March 10, 2003.

## Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Administrative and New Shipper Reviews

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), provides that the Department will issue the preliminary results of an administrative review of an antidumping duty order within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of the date of publication of the order. The Act provides further that the Department may extend that 245-day period to 365 days if it determines it is not practicable to complete the review within the foregoing time period.

The Department has determined that the aligned administrative review and new shipper reviews are extraordinarily complicated and that it is not practicable to complete the preliminary results by the current deadline of August  $\tilde{2}$ , 2003. There are a number of complex factual and legal questions related to the calculation of the antidumping margins in the administrative review and new shipper reviews, in particular the analysis of the bona fides of the sales at issue and the valuation of the factors of production. We require additional time to issue supplemental questionnaires addressing these matters, review the responses, and verify certain information. Therefore, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, the Department is extending the time limit for the preliminary results by 90 days, until no later than October 31,

Dated: August 1, 2003.

## Laurie Parkhill.

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for AD/CVD Enforcement I.

[FR Doc. 03-20175 Filed 8-6-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

### **International Trade Administration**

[A-475-818]

Notice of Preliminary Results and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Intent Not to Revoke in Part: For the Sixth Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Certain Pasta from Italy

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> On July 31, 2003, we issued a notice partially rescinding the administrative review covering sales made during the period November 1, 2001, through October 31, 2002, by Clipper Manufacturing Ltd., Fook Huat Tong Kee Pte., Ltd., Huaiyang Hongda Dehydrated Vegetable Company, Golden Light Trading Company, Ltd., Good Fate International, Phil-Sino International Trading Inc., and Mai Xuan Fruitex Co., Ltd.