

*Direct Investment Abroad: Final Results From the 1999 Benchmark Survey* (forthcoming). All data are collected under sections 3101–3108, of Title 22 U.S.C.

#### Data Access and Confidentiality

Title 22, U.S.C. 3104 protects the confidentiality of these data. The data may be seen only by persons sworn to uphold the confidentiality of the information. Access to the shared data will be restricted to specifically authorized personnel and will be provided for statistical purposes only. Any results of this research are subject to BEA disclosure protection. All Census Bureau employees with access to these data will become BEA Special Sworn Employees—meaning that they, under penalty of law, must uphold the data's confidentiality. Selected NSF employees will provide BEA with expertise on the aspects of R&D performance in the United States and by U.S. companies abroad; these NSF consultants assisting with the work at the BEA also will become BEA Special Sworn Employees. No confidential data will be provided to the NSF. To further safeguard the confidentiality of the data, BEA will conduct an Information Technology security review of the Census Bureau prior to the commencement of the project. Any results of this research are subject to BEA disclosure protection.

Dated: June 26, 2003.

**J. Steven Landefeld,**

*Director, Bureau of Economic Analysis.*

[FR Doc. 03–17097 Filed 7–3–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–06–P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-570–831]

#### Fresh Garlic From the People's Republic of China

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of Initiation of New Shipper Antidumping Duty Reviews: Fresh Garlic from the People's Republic of China.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** July 7, 2003.

**SUMMARY:** In May 2003 the Department of Commerce received eight requests to conduct new shipper reviews of the antidumping duty order on fresh garlic from the People's Republic of China. One of these requests was withdrawn. We have determined that the seven

remaining requests meet the statutory and regulatory requirements for the initiation of a new shipper review.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Minoo Hatten or Mark Ross at (202) 482–1690 and (202) 482–4794, respectively, AD/CVD Enforcement III, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

The notice announcing the antidumping duty order on fresh garlic from the People's Republic of China (PRC) was published on November 16, 1994. In May 2003 we received eight requests to conduct new shipper reviews of the antidumping duty order.

On May 29, 2003, we received a request for a new shipper review from Linyi Sanshan Import & Export Trading Co., Ltd. (Linyi Sanshan). In its request for review, Linyi Sanshan submitted documentation establishing the date of sale and entry for the transaction on which it based the request for a new shipper review. The dates of sale and entry on the submitted documentation did not fall within the period of review (POR), however, so on June 10, 2003, we issued a letter to Linyi Sanshan in which we stated that we did not intend to initiate a new shipper review it requested. On June 12, 2003, Linyi Sanshan provided documentation establishing that the actual date on which the subject merchandise entered the United States for consumption is during the POR.

On May 29, 2003, we also received requests for new shipper reviews from Sunny Imp & Exp Limited (Sunny), Linshu Dading Private Agricultural Products Co., Ltd. (Linshu), and Tancheng County Dexing Foods Co., Ltd. (Tancheng). Further, on May 30, 2003, we received requests for new shipper reviews from Jinxiang Dong Yun Freezing Storage Co., Ltd. (Dong Yun), Shanghai Ever Rich Trade Company (Ever Rich), Taian Ziyang Food Co., Ltd. (Ziyang), and H&T Trading Company (H&T). H&T withdrew its request for a new shipper review on June 10, 2003.

Linyi Sanshan, Sunny, Dong Yun, Tancheng, and Ziyang certified that they both grew and exported the subject merchandise on which they based their requests for a new shipper review. Ever Rich and Linshu Dading certified that they exported the subject merchandise on which they based their requests for a new shipper review, but that they did

not grow the subject merchandise. Specifically, Ever Rich certified that Pizhou Guangda Import and Export Corp., Ltd. (Pizhou Guangda), grew the subject merchandise it exported, and Linshu Dading certified that Jinxiang County Jinda Agricultural Industrial Trading Co., Ltd. (Jinxiang Jinda), grew the subject merchandise it exported.

Between June 12 and June 27, 2003, Linyi Shanshan, Sunny, Linshu Dading, Tancheng, Dong Yun, Ever Rich, and Ziyang resubmitted their requests for new shipper reviews to correct certain deficiencies (e.g., over-bracketing of public information) that we identified in their submissions.

#### Initiation of New Shipper Reviews

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2)(i), Linyi Shanshan, Sunny, Linshu Dading, Tancheng Dexing, Dong Yun, Ever Rich, and Ziyang certified that they did not export subject merchandise to the United States during the period of investigation (POI). In addition, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2)(ii)(B), Pizhou Guangda and Jinxiang Jinda, the growers of the garlic exported by Ever Rich and Linshu Dading, respectively, provided certifications that they did not export the subject merchandise to the United States during the POI.

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2)(iii)(A), each of the seven exporters, Linyi Sanshan, Sunny, Linshu Dading, Tancheng, Dong Yun, Ever Rich, and Ziyang, certified that, since the initiation of the investigation, they have never been affiliated with any exporter or producer who exported the subject merchandise to the United States during the POI, including those not individually examined during the investigation. As required by 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2)(iii)(B), each of the above-mentioned companies also certified that their export activities were not controlled by the central government.

In addition to the certifications described above, the companies submitted documentation establishing the following: (1) the date on which they first shipped the subject merchandise for export to the United States and the date on which the subject merchandise was first entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption; (2) the volume of their first shipment and the volume of subsequent shipments; (3) the date of their first sale to an unaffiliated customer in the United States.

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and 19 CFR 351.214(d)(1), we are initiating new shipper reviews for shipments of fresh garlic from the PRC:

(1) Grown and exported by Sunny, Linyi Sanshan, Dong Yun, Tancheng, and Ziyang;

(2) Grown by Pizhou Guangda and exported by Ever Rich;

(3) Grown by Jinxiang Jinda and exported by Linshu Dading.

The POR is November 1, 2002, through April 30, 2003. See 19 CFR 351.214(g)(1)(i)(A). We intend to issue final results of these reviews no later than 270 days from the date of initiation. See section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Act.

Because Linyi Sanshan, Sunny, Dong Yun, Tancheng, and Ziyang have certified that they both grew and exported the subject merchandise on which they based their request for a new shipper review, we will instruct the Bureau of Customs and Border Protection (Customs) to allow, at the option of the importer, the posting of a bond or security in lieu of a cash deposit for each entry of the subject merchandise both grown and exported by these companies until the completion of the new shipper reviews. With respect to Ever Rich and Linshu Dading, they have certified that they exported but did not grow the subject merchandise on which they based their request for a new shipper review (*i.e.*, Pizhou Guangda certified that it grew the subject merchandise exported by Ever Rich and Jinxiang Jinda certified that it grew the subject merchandise exported by Linshu Dading). Therefore, until completion of the new shipper reviews, we will instruct Customs to allow, at the option of the importer, the posting of a bond or security in lieu of a cash deposit for entries of subject merchandise grown by Pizhou Guangda and exported by Ever Rich or grown by Jinxiang Jinda and exported by Linshu Dading.

Interested parties that need access to proprietary information in this new shipper review should submit applications for disclosure under administrative protective order in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305 and 351.306.

This initiation and notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214 and 351.221(c)(1)(i).

Dated: June 30, 2003.

**Louis Apple,**

*Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 03-17064 Filed 7-3-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-549-817]

#### Notice of Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products From Thailand

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce ("the Department") is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain hot-rolled carbon steel flat products from Thailand in response to requests by petitioners, National Steel Corporation, United States Steel Corporation, and Nucor Corporation. The review covers shipments to the United States for the period May 3, 2001 through October 31, 2002, by Sahaviriya Steel Industries Public Company Limited ("SSI"), Nakornthai Strip Mill Public Co., Ltd. ("Nakornthai"), and Siam Strip Mill Public Co., Ltd. ("Siam Strip"). For the reasons discussed below, we are extending the preliminary results of this administrative review by 120 days, to no later than December 1, 2003.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** July 7, 2003.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Michael Ferrier at 202-482-1394, or Abdelali Elouaradia at 202-482-1374, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Ave, NW, Washington, DC 20230.

#### Background

On November 29, 2001, the Department published the antidumping duty order on hot-rolled steel (*see Antidumping Duty Order: Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products From Thailand*, 66 FR 59562). On November 1, 2002, the Department published a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review for this order covering the period May 3, 2001 through October 31, 2002 (*see Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity To Request Administrative Review*, 67 FR 66612). On November 27, 2002, SSI requested a review in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(b)(2), and the petitioners requested a review of SSI, Nakornthai, and Siam Strip under 19 CFR 351.213(b)(1). The petitioners are Nucor Corporation, National Steel Corporation,

and United States Steel Corporation. The Department initiated these reviews on December 26, 2002 (*see Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 67 FR 78772).

On February 14, 2003, petitioners filed a letter alleging that a particular market situation existed in Thailand during the period of review. Petitioners filed additional comments regarding the particular market situation on March 17, 2003, and April 24, 2003. SSI filed comments and its responses to the Department's supplemental questionnaires regarding the particular market situation on March 4, 2003, March 28, 2003, and June 20, 2003. On March 12, 2003, and May 6, 2003, petitioners alleged that SSI may be affiliated with one of the trading companies SSI used to sell its products in the United States and one of SSI's slab suppliers. The preliminary results are currently due not later than August 4, 2003.

#### Extension of Time Limits for Preliminary Results

Pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(2) of the Department's regulations, the Department may extend the deadline for completion of the preliminary results of a review if it determines that it is not practicable to complete the preliminary results within the statutory time limit of 245 days from the last day of the anniversary month of the order for which the administrative review was requested. Because of the complexity of the particular market situation and affiliation issues in this case, it is not practicable for the Department to complete this review within the time limit mandated by section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act. The Department requires additional time to evaluate information submitted by SSI and petitioners regarding the particular market situation and affiliation issues.

Therefore, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(2) of the Department's regulations, the Department is extending the time limits for the preliminary results by 120 days, to no later than December 1, 2003.

Dated: June 30, 2003.

**Barbara E. Tillman,**

*Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, Group III.*

[FR Doc. 03-17063 Filed 7-3-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S