

UNITED STATES SENTENCING COMMISSION**Sentencing Guidelines for United States Courts**

AGENCY: United States Sentencing Commission.

ACTION: Notice of proposed priorities; request for public comment.

SUMMARY: As part of its statutory authority and responsibility to analyze sentencing issues, including operation of the federal sentencing guidelines, and in accordance with Rule 5.2 of its Rules of Practice and Procedure, the Commission is seeking comment on possible priority policy issues for the amendment cycle ending May 1, 2004.

DATES: Public comment should be received on or before August 1, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Send comments to: United States Sentencing Commission, One Columbus Circle, NE., Suite 2-500, South Lobby, Washington, DC 20002-8002, Attention: Public Affairs-Priorities Comment.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michael Courlander, Public Affairs Officer, Telephone: (202) 502-4590.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The United States Sentencing Commission is an independent agency in the judicial branch of the United States Government. The Commission promulgates sentencing guidelines and policy statements for federal sentencing courts pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 994(a). The Commission also periodically reviews and revises previously promulgated guidelines pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 994(o) and submits guideline amendments to the Congress not later than the first day of May each year pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 994(p).

For the amendment cycle ending May 1, 2004, and possibly continuing into the amendment cycle ending May 1, 2005, the Commission has identified the following tentative priorities:

(1) Implementation of the PROTECT Act, Public Law 108-21, including guideline amendments addressing the directives to the Commission in (A) section 401 pertaining to downward departures; (B) sections 401, 504, 512, and 513 pertaining to new and existing sex offenses and offenses involving virtual pornography; and (C) section 608 pertaining to increased penalties for offenses involving the trafficking of GHB;

(2) consideration and implementation of recommendations made by the Commission's Organizational Guidelines Advisory Group;

(3) consideration and implementation of recommendations made by the

Commission's Native American Advisory Group;

(4) continuation of its work implementing the 21st Century Department of Justice Appropriations Authorization Act, Public Law 107-273, including guideline amendments pertaining to (A) assaulting or threatening federal judges or other officials described in 18 U.S.C. 111 or 115; and (B) a new offense, at 18 U.S.C. 931, prohibiting violent felons from purchasing, owning, or possessing body armor;

(5) consideration of guideline amendment proposals related to the public corruption guidelines in Chapter Two, Part C (Offenses Involving Public Officials);

(6) continuation of its work on the 15 Year Study, which is composed of a number of projects geared toward analyzing the guidelines in light of the goals of sentencing reform described in the Sentencing Reform Act and the statutory purposes of sentencing set forth in 18 U.S.C. 3553(a)(2);

(7) continuation of its policy work related to manslaughter, particularly consideration of guideline amendment proposals providing specific offense characteristics in section 2A1.4 (Involuntary Manslaughter);

(8) continuation of its policy work related to immigration offenses, including offenses under sections 2L1.1 (Smuggling, Transporting, or Harboring an Unlawful Alien) and 2L1.2 (Unlawfully Entering or Remaining in the United States);

(9) consideration of guideline amendment proposals pertaining to compassionate release programs;

(10) other miscellaneous and limited issues pertaining to the operation of the sentencing guidelines, including (A) offenses involving the unlawful sale or transportation of drug paraphernalia; and (B) offenses involving the receipt or possession of stolen mail;

(11) implementation of other crime legislation enacted during the first session of the 108th Congress warranting a Commission response;

(12) review of the limitation on the base offense level (*i.e.*, not more than level 30) provided in subsection (a)(3) of section 2D1.1 (Unlawful Manufacturing, Importing, Exporting, or Trafficking (Including Possession with Intent to Commit these Offenses); Attempt or Conspiracy); and

(13) continuation of its multiyear research, policy work, and possible guideline amendments relating to Chapter Four (Criminal History and Criminal Livelihood), which may include (A) assessment of the calculation of criminal history points for

first time offenders and offenders who are in the highest criminal history categories; (B) assessment of the criminal history rules for minor offenses, juvenile offenses, and expunged convictions; (C) assessment of the criminal history rules for related cases; and (D) consideration of other application issues relating to simplifying the operation of Chapter Four.

The Commission hereby gives notice that it is seeking comment on these tentative priorities and on any other issues that interested persons believe the Commission should address during the amendment cycle ending May 1, 2004, including short- and long-term research issues. To the extent practicable, comments submitted on such issues should include the following: (1) A statement of the issue, including scope and manner of study, particular problem areas and possible solutions, and any other matters relevant to a proposed priority; (2) citations to applicable sentencing guidelines, statutes, case law, and constitutional provisions; and (3) a direct and concise statement of why the Commission should make the issue a priority.

Authority: 28 U.S.C. 994(a), (o); USSC Rules of Practice and Procedure 5.2.

Diana E. Murphy,
Chair.

[FR Doc. 03-16574 Filed 6-30-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 2211-01-P

UNITED STATES SENTENCING COMMISSION**Sentencing Guidelines for United States Courts**

AGENCY: United States Sentencing Commission.

ACTION: Notice of issues for comment on PROTECT Act and request for comment.

SUMMARY: The Commission requests comment regarding how it might best implement the directive in section 401(m) of the Prosecutorial Remedies and Other Tools to End the Exploitation of Children Today Act of 2003, Public Law 108-21 (the "PROTECT Act"). Specifically, the directive instructs the Commission to reform the existing permissible grounds of downward departures. The Commission welcomes any comments and suggestions for how the Commission might restructure or otherwise amend the guidelines to accomplish this directive.

DATES: Public comment should be received on or before August 1, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Send comments to: United States Sentencing Commission, One Columbus Circle, NE., Suite 2-500, South Lobby, Washington, DC 20002-8002, Attention: Public Affairs-Public Comment.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michael Courlander, Public Affairs Officer, Telephone: (202) 502-4590.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The United States Sentencing Commission is an independent agency in the judicial branch of the United States Government. The Commission promulgates sentencing guidelines and policy statements for federal sentencing courts pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 994(a) and sometimes pursuant to other specific statutory authority. The Commission also periodically reviews and revises previously promulgated guidelines pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 994(o) and submits guideline amendments to the Congress not later than the first day of May each year pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 994(p).

Rule 4.4 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure provides that, with respect to proposed amendments and issues for comment, the Commission shall, "to the extent practicable, provide a minimum period of public comment of at least 60 calendar days prior to final Commission action." Because section 401(m) of the PROTECT Act requires the Commission to promulgate amendments implementing the directive regarding downward departures from the sentencing guidelines not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of the PROTECT Act (*i.e.*, not later than October 27, 2003), it is not practicable to provide a comment period of at least 60 days on the following issues for comment. Accordingly, the Commission voted at its public meeting on June 24, 2003, to provide a comment period until August 1, 2003, in order to allow the Commission sufficient time to develop guideline amendments that implement the directive in section 401(m) of the PROTECT Act.

Authority: 28 U.S.C. 994(a), (o); section 401 of the PROTECT Act, Pub. L. 108-21; and USSC Rules of Practice and Procedure 4.4.

Diana E. Murphy,
Chair.

Issues for Comment

Section 401(m) of the Prosecutorial Remedies and Other Tools to end the Exploitation of Children Today Act of 2003, Public Law 108-21 (the "PROTECT Act"), directs the Commission as follows:

(m) REFORM OF EXISTING PERMISSIBLE GROUNDS OF DOWNWARD DEPARTURES.—Not later than 180 days after the enactment of this Act, the United States Sentencing Commission shall—

(1) Review the grounds of downward departure that are authorized by the sentencing guidelines, policy statements, and official commentary of the Sentencing Commission; and

(2) Promulgate, pursuant to section 994 of title 28, United States Code—

(A) Appropriate amendments to the sentencing guidelines, policy statements, and official commentary to ensure that the incidence of downward departures are substantially reduced;

(B) A policy statement authorizing a downward departure of not more than 4 levels if the Government files a motion for such departure pursuant to an early disposition program authorized by the Attorney General and the United States Attorney; and

(C) Any other conforming amendments to the sentencing guidelines, policy statements, and official commentary of the Sentencing Commission necessitated by this Act, including a revision of paragraph 4(b) of part A of chapter 1 and a revision of section 5K2.0.

The Commission requests comment regarding how it might best implement the directive described above and generally welcomes any comments and suggestions for how the Commission might restructure or otherwise amend the guidelines to accomplish this directive.

In addition, the Commission specifically requests comment on the following:

(1) How should subsection (a) of section 5K2.0 (Grounds for Departure) and/or the commentary to section 5K2.0 (and/or Part A of Chapter One) be revised?

Section 3553(b) of title 18, United States Code, and section 5K2.0(a) authorize the sentencing court to depart downward in cases in which there exists a mitigating factor not adequately taken into consideration by the Commission in formulating the guidelines. Should the Commission provide additional and/or more restrictive guidance on such mitigating factors, particularly those described in other provisions of Chapter Five, Part K, that may warrant a downward departure?

Section 5K2.0(a) also states that "the court may depart from the guidelines, even though the reason for departure is taken into consideration in determining the guideline range (*e.g.*, a specific offense characteristic or other adjustment), if the court determines that, in light of unusual circumstances, the weight attached to that factor under the guidelines is [inadequate or]

excessive." Are there factors in Chapter Two (Offense Conduct), Chapter Three (Adjustments), or Chapter Four (Criminal History) to which the Commission has attached excessive weight, and if so, should the Commission change the weight attached to those factors, thereby reducing the likelihood that a departure is warranted in a particular case?

Commentary to section 5K2.0 also states in part that "[t]he Commission does not foreclose the possibility of an extraordinary case that, because of a combination of [offender] characteristics or [not ordinarily relevant] circumstances, differs significantly from the "heartland" cases covered by the guidelines in a way that is important to the statutory purposes of sentencing, even though none of the characteristics or circumstances individually distinguishes the case. However, the Commission believes that such cases will be extremely rare." Should this commentary be revised, and, if so, how?

(2) How, if at all, should Chapter Five, Part H be revised?

Should the Commission provide additional and/or more restrictive guidance on the offender characteristics described in provisions of Chapter Five, Part H, that may warrant a downward departure?

Should, for example, the Commission provide additional guidance regarding the circumstances under which an offender characteristic that is ordinarily not relevant in sentencing may become relevant?

(3) How, if at all, should guideline provisions governing downward departures for criminal history be revised? Commission data preliminarily indicate that the over-representation of the defendant's criminal history is a frequent basis for downward departure.

Section 4A1.3 (Adequacy of Criminal History Category) states in part that "[t]here may be cases where the court concludes that a defendant's criminal history category significantly over-represents the seriousness of a defendant's criminal history or the likelihood that the defendant will commit further crimes. An example might include the case of a defendant with two minor misdemeanor convictions close to ten years prior to the instant offense and no other evidence of prior criminal behavior in the intervening period. The court may conclude that the defendant's criminal history was significantly less serious than that of most defendants in the same criminal history category (Category II), and therefore consider a downward departure from the guidelines."

Should the Commission provide additional and/or more restrictive guidance in section 4A1.3 regarding the circumstances under which the court may depart for the over-representation of the defendant's criminal history?

(4) Should the Commission provide additional and/or more restrictive guidance for any downward departure authorized in Chapter Two (Offense Conduct) for specific offenses?

(5) Should the Commission provide for a downward adjustment (or, in the case of criminal history, a reduction in criminal history points) in lieu of a downward departure for any factor or downward departure basis, or for a combination of factors and/or downward departures bases, described in paragraphs (1) through (4) above, or for any other mitigating factors the Commission should more fully take into account in the guidelines? If so, how should such a downward adjustment or reduction be structured, and what should be the extent of the downward adjustment or reduction? (Note that section 401(j)(2) of the PROTECT Act prohibits the Commission from adding any new grounds of downward departure to Part K of Chapter Five on or before May 1, 2005.)

(6) Should any of the downward departure bases described in paragraphs (1) through (4) above be prohibited as a basis for downward departure?

Are there other specific suggestions that the Commission might consider to respond to the directive?

Finally, section 401(m)(2) directs the Commission to promulgate a policy statement authorizing a downward departure of not more than 4 levels if the Government files a motion for such departure pursuant to an early disposition program authorized by the Attorney General and the United States Attorney. How should the Commission structure this downward departure?

[FR Doc. 03-16577 Filed 6-30-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 2211-01-P

SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

Interest Rates

The Small Business Administration publishes an interest rate called the optional "peg" rate (13 CFR 120.214) on a quarterly basis. This rate is a weighted average cost of money to the government for maturities similar to the average SBA direct loan. This rate may be used as a base rate for guaranteed fluctuating interest rate SBA loans. This

rate will be 4.250 (4¼) percent for the July–September quarter of FY 2003.

LeAnn M. Oliver,

Deputy Associate Administrator for Financial Assistance.

[FR Doc. 03-16598 Filed 6-30-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8025-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF STATE

[Public Notice 4390]

Office of Visa Services; 60-Day Notice of Proposed Information Collection: Form DS-1884, Petition To Classify Special Immigrant Under INA 203(b)(4) as an Employee or Former Employee of the U.S. Government Abroad; OMB Control Number 1405-0082

AGENCY: Department of State.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of State is seeking Office of Management and Budget (OMB) approval for the information collection described below. The purpose of this notice is to allow 60 days for public comment in the **Federal Register** preceding submission to OMB. This process is conducted in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

The following summarizes the information collection proposal to be submitted to OMB:

Type of Request: Extension of currently approved collection.

Originating Office: Bureau of Consular Affairs, Department of State (CA/VO).

Title of Information Collection: Petition To Classify Special Immigrant Under INA 203(b)(4) as an Employee or Former Employee of the U.S. Government Abroad.

Frequency: Once per respondent.

Form Number: DS-1884.

Respondents: Aliens applying for Immigrant Visa under INA 203(b)(4).

Estimated Number of Respondents: 500 per year.

Average Hours Per Response: 30 minutes.

Total Estimated Burden: 250 hours per year.

Public comments are being solicited to permit the agency to:

- Evaluate whether the proposed information collection is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency.
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used.
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected.

- Minimize the reporting burden on those who are to respond, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of technology.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Public comments, or requests for additional information regarding the collection listed in this notice should be directed to Brendan Mullarkey of the Office of Visa Services, U.S. Department of State, 2401 E St. NW., RM L-703, Washington, DC 20520, who may be reached at 202-663-1163.

Dated: June 23, 2003.

Janice L. Jacobs,

Deputy Assistant Secretary of State for Visa Services, Bureau of Consular Affairs, Department of State.

[FR Doc. 03-16592 Filed 6-30-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4710-06-P

DEPARTMENT OF STATE

[Public Notice 4389]

Culturally Significant Objects Imported for Exhibition; Determinations: "Hudson River School Visions: The Landscapes of Sanford R. Gifford"

AGENCY: Department of State.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given of the following determinations: Pursuant to the authority vested in me by the Act of October 19, 1965 (79 Stat. 985; 22 U.S.C. 2459), Executive Order 12047 of March 27, 1978, the Foreign Affairs Reform and Restructuring Act of 1998 (112 Stat. 2681, *et seq.*; 22 U.S.C. 6501 note, *et seq.*), Delegation of Authority No. 234 of October 1, 1999 (64 FR 56014), and Delegation of Authority No. 236 of October 19, 1999 (64 FR 57920), as amended, I hereby determine that the object to be included in the exhibition, "Hudson River School Visions: The Landscapes of Sanford R. Gifford," imported from abroad for temporary exhibition within the United States, is of cultural significance. The object is imported pursuant to a loan agreement with a foreign lender. I also determine that the exhibition or display of the exhibit object at the Metropolitan Museum of Art, New York, New York, from on or about October 7, 2003, to on or about February 8, 2004, the Amon Carter Museum, Fort Worth, Texas, from on or about May 4, 2004, to on or about May 16, 2004, the National Gallery of Art, Washington, DC, from on or about June 27, 2004, to on or about September 26, 2004, and at possible additional venues yet to be determined, is in the national interest. Public Notice of these