Having received no objections to the recently proposed terms, the Librarian is adopting the proposed amendments as final regulations. The proposed terms shall govern SoundExchange, the collecting rights entity that was formed from the designated RIAA collective, in its capacity as the sole agent designated to receive royalty payments from the three subscription services that were parties to this proceeding. Terms governing the administrative functions of any future collective or the designation of alternative agents shall be decided in future rate adjustment proceedings either through negotiations or after a hearing before a CARP based upon a fully developed written record. See, e.g., 67 FR 45239 (July 8, 2002).

List of Subjects in 37 CFR Part 260

Copyright, Digital audio transmissions, Performance right, Sound recordings.

Final Regulation

■ For the foregoing reasons, the Library amends part 260 of 37 CFR as follows:

PART 260—USE OF SOUND RECORDINGS IN A DIGITAL PERFORMANCE

■ 1. The authority citation for part 260 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 17 U.S.C. 114, 801(b)(1).

§ 260.2 [Amended]

- 2. In § 260.2, remove paragraph (d).
- 3. Section 260.3 is amended by revising paragraphs (c), (d), and (e) to read as follows:

§ 260.3 Terms for making payments of royalty fees.

* * * * *

(c) The agent designated to receive the royalty payments and the statements of account shall have the responsibility of making further distribution of these payments to those parties entitled to receive such payments according to the provisions set forth at 17 U.S.C. 114(g)(2); Provided that the designated agent shall only be responsible for making distributions to those parties who provide the designated agent with such information as is necessary to identify and pay the correct recipient for such payments. The agent shall distribute royalty payments on a reasonable basis that values all performances by a Licensee equally based upon the information provided by the Licensee pursuant to the regulations governing records of use of performances by Licensees; Provided, however, that parties who have designated the agent may agree to

allocate their shares of the royalty payments made by any Licensee among themselves on an alternative basis. Parties entitled to receive payments under 17 U.S.C. 114(g)(2) may agree with the designated agent upon payment protocols to be used by the designated agent that provide for alternative arrangements for the payment of royalties consistent with the percentages in 17 U.S.C. 114(g)(2).

- (d) The designated agent may deduct from the payments made by Licensees under § 260.2, prior to the distribution of such payments to any person or entity entitled thereto, all incurred costs permitted to be deducted under 17 U.S.C. 114(g)(3); Provided, however, that any party entitled to receive royalty payments according to 17 U.S.C. 114(g)(2) may agree to permit the designated agent to deduct any additional costs.
- (e) Commencing June 1, 1998, and until such time as a new designation is made, SoundExchange, which currently is an unincorporated division of the Recording Industry Association of America, Inc., shall be the agent that receives royalty payments and statements of account under this part 260 and shall continue to be designated as such if it should be separately incorporated.
- 4. Section 260.6 is revised to read as follows:

§ 260.6 Verification of royalty payments.

- (a) General. This section prescribes general rules pertaining to the method of verification of the payment of royalty fees by the designated agent to interested parties; Provided, however, that the designated agent and any interested person may agree as to an alternative method of verification.
- (b) Frequency of verification. Interested parties may conduct a single audit of the designated agent during any given calendar year and no calendar year shall be subject to audit more than once.
- (c) Notice of intent to audit. Interested parties must file with the Copyright Office a notice of intent to audit the designated agent. Such notice of intent shall also be served at the same time on the designated agent to be audited. Within 30 days of the filing of the notice of intent, the Copyright Office shall publish in the **Federal Register** a notice announcing such filing.
- (d) Retention of records. The interested party requesting the verification procedure shall retain the report of the verification for a period of three years.
- (e) Acceptable verification procedure. An audit, including underlying

paperwork, which was performed in the ordinary course of business according to generally accepted auditing standards by an independent auditor, shall serve as an acceptable verification procedure for all interested parties.

(f) Costs of the verification procedure. The interested parties requesting the verification procedure shall pay for the cost of the verification procedure, unless an independent auditor concludes that there was an underpayment of five (5) percent or more, in which case, the designated agent shall bear the costs of the verification procedure.

(g) Interested parties. For purposes of this section, interested parties are those individuals or entities who are entitled to receive royalty payments pursuant to 17 U.S.C. 114(g)(2), or their designated agents.

§ 260.7 [Amended]

■ 5. Section 260.7 is amended by removing the word "collecting" after the phrase "If the designated"; by removing the word "collecting" each place it appears and adding the word "designated" in its place; and in the last sentence, by removing the word "fees" and adding the word "payments" in its place.

Dated: May 27, 2003.

Marybeth Peters,

Register of Copyrights.

Approved by:

James H. Billington,

The Librarian of Congress.

[FR Doc. 03–15384 Filed 6–17–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 1410-33-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[MO 180-1180a; FRL-7513-9]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; State of Missouri

AGENCY: Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Direct final rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is announcing it is approving a revision to the Missouri State Implementation Plan (SIP) which pertains to the rescission of two rules which control the emissions of Perchloroethylene Dry Cleaning Installations in the Kansas City and St. Louis areas. This revision will rescind two rules that have been superseded by the statewide Maximum Achievable Control Technology rule. There is no

relaxation of controls by rescinding these rules. Approval of this revision will eliminate redundancy and conflicting requirements.

DATES: This direct final rule will be effective August 18, 2003, unless EPA receives adverse comments by July 18, 2003. If adverse comments are received, EPA will publish a timely withdrawal of the direct final rule in the **Federal Register** informing the public that the rule will not take effect.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be mailed to Amy Algoe-Eakin, Environmental Protection Agency, Air Planning and Development Branch, 901 North 5th Street, Kansas City, Kansas 66101, or Email her at algoe-eakin.amy@epa.gov.

Copies of documents relative to this action are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the above-listed Region 7 location. The interested persons wanting to examine these documents should make an appointment with the office at least 24 hours in advance.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Amy Algoe-Eakin at (913) 551–7942.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Throughout this document whenever "we," "us," or "our" is used, we mean EPA. This section provides additional information by addressing the following questions:

What is a SIP?

What is the Federal approval process for a SIP?

What does Federal approval of a state regulation mean to me?

What is being addressed in this document? Have the requirements for approval of a SIP revision been met?

What action is EPA taking?

What Is a SIP?

Section 110 of the Clean Air Act (CAA) requires states to develop air pollution regulations and control strategies to ensure that state air quality meets the national ambient air quality standards established by EPA. These ambient standards are established under section 109 of the CAA, and they currently address six criteria pollutants. These pollutants are: carbon monoxide, nitrogen dioxide, ozone, lead, particulate matter, and sulfur dioxide.

Each state must submit these regulations and control strategies to us for approval and incorporation into the Federally-enforceable SIP.

Each Federally-approved SIP protects air quality primarily by addressing air pollution at its point of origin. These SIPs can be extensive, containing state regulations or other enforceable documents and supporting information such as emission inventories,

monitoring networks, and modeling demonstrations.

What Is the Federal Approval Process for a SIP?

In order for state regulations to be incorporated into the Federally-enforceable SIP, states must formally adopt the regulations and control strategies consistent with state and Federal requirements. This process generally includes a public notice, public hearing, public comment period, and a formal adoption by a state-authorized rulemaking body.

Once a state rule, regulation, or control strategy is adopted, the state submits it to us for inclusion into the SIP. We must provide public notice and seek additional public comment regarding the proposed Federal action on the state submission. If adverse comments are received, they must be addressed prior to any final Federal action by us.

All state regulations and supporting information approved by EPA under section 110 of the CAA are incorporated into the Federally-approved SIP.
Records of such SIP actions are maintained in the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) at Title 40, Part 52, entitled "Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans." The actual state regulations which are approved are not reproduced in their entirety in the CFR outright but are "incorporated by reference," which means that we have approved a given state regulation with a specific effective date.

What Does Federal Approval of a State Regulation Mean to Me?

Enforcement of the state regulation before and after it is incorporated into the Federally-approved SIP is primarily a state responsibility. However, after the regulation is Federally approved, we are authorized to take enforcement action against violators. Citizens are also offered legal recourse to address violations as described in section 304 of the CAA.

What Is Being Addressed in This Document?

Missouri rule 10 CSR 10–2.280 and Missouri rule 10 CSR 10–5.320 relate to the control of emissions from Perchloroethylene Dry Cleaning Installations for the Kansas City and St. Louis areas, respectively. These rules had been approved by EPA as representing Reasonably Available Control Technology (RACT) in the Kansas City and St. Louis areas.

This revision to Missouri's SIP will rescind rules 10 CSR 10–2.280 and 10 CSR 10–5.320, which have been

superseded by the state-adopted Maximum Achievable Control Technology (MACT) rule 10 CSR 10–6.075. The latter rule incorporates by reference the EPA rule, 40 CFR part 63, subpart M. As such, prior to this action, there were three Federally enforceable regulations for the Perchloroethylene Dry Cleaning Installations.

An EPA review concluded that the rescission of these two Missouri rules does not result in any increase in emissions. There is no relaxation of controls by rescinding rules 10 CSR 10-2.280 and 10 CSR 10-5.320. Sources subject to the rule must still meet a control technology at least as stringent as RACT. Therefore, there are no adverse impacts on the ability of the Kansas City and St. Louis areas to maintain the 1-hour ozone standard. The controls on subject dry cleaning installations will remain enforceable by the state under 10 CSR 10-6.075, and by EPA, under 40 CFR part 63, subpart M. Approval of this revision will eliminate redundancy and conflicting requirements.

The state submittal has met the public notice requirements for SIP submissions in accordance with 40 CFR 51.102. The submittal also satisfied the completeness criteria of 40 CFR part 51, appendix V. In addition, as explained above and in more detail in the technical support document which is part of this document, the revision meets the substantive SIP requirements of the CAA, including section 110 and implementing regulations.

What Action Is EPA Taking?

We are approving the revision to rescind Missouri rule 10 CSRS 10– 2.280, Control of Emissions from Perchloroethylene Dry Cleaning Installations and Missouri rule 10 CSR 10–5.320, Control of Emissions from Perchloroethylene Dry Cleaning Installations from the Missouri SIP.

We are processing this action as a final action because the revisions make routine changes to the existing rules which are noncontroversial. Therefore, we do not anticipate any adverse comments. Please note that if EPA receives adverse comment on part of this rule and if that part can be severed from the remainder of the rule, EPA may adopt as final those parts of the rule that are not the subject of an adverse comment.

Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

Under Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993), this action is not a "significant regulatory action" and therefore is not subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget. For

this reason, this action is also not subject to Executive Order 13211, "Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use" (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001). This action merely approves state law as meeting Federal requirements and imposes no additional requirements beyond those imposed by state law. Accordingly, the Administrator certifies that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.). Because this rule approves pre-existing requirements under state law and does not impose any additional enforceable duty beyond that required by state law, it does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Public Law 104-4).

This rule also does not have tribal implications because it will not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000). This action also does not have Federalism implications because it does not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government, as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999). This action merely approves a state rule implementing a Federal standard and does not alter the relationship or the distribution of power and responsibilities established in the CAA. This rule also is not subject to Executive Order 13045, "Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks" (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997), because it is not economically significant.

In reviewing SIP submissions, EPA's role is to approve state choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the CAA. In this context, in the absence of a prior existing requirement for the State to use voluntary consensus standards (VCS), EPA has no authority to disapprove a SIP submission for failure to use VCS. It would thus be inconsistent with applicable law for EPA, when it reviews a SIP submission, to use VCS in place of a SIP submission that otherwise satisfies the provisions of the CAA. Thus, the requirements of section 12(d) of the National

Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) do not apply. This rule does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.).

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 et seq., as added by the Small **Business Regulatory Enforcement** Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. EPA will submit a report containing this rule and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the Federal Register. A major rule cannot take effect until 60 days after it is published in the Federal Register. This action is not a "major rule" as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

Under section 307(b)(1) of the CAA, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by August 18, 2003. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does not affect the finality of this rule for the purposes of judicial review nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements. (See section 307(b)(2).)

List of Subjects 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Carbon monoxide, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Nitrogen dioxide, Particulate matter, Ozone, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Sulfur dioxide, Volatile organic compounds.

Dated: June 8, 2003.

James B. Gulliford,

Regional Administrator, Region 7.

■ Chapter I, title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

PART 52—[AMENDED]

■ 1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

Subpart AA—Missouri

- 2. Section 52.1320 is amended by:
- a. Revising paragraph (b)(3); and

■ b. In the table to paragraph (c) by removing the entries under Chapter 2 for 10–2.280 and under Chapter 5 for 10–5.320.

The revision reads as follows:

§52.1320 Identification of plan.

* * * * (b) * * *

(3) Copies of the materials incorporated by reference may be inspected at the Environmental Protection Agency, Region VII, Air Planning and Development Branch, 901 North 5th Street, Kansas City, Kansas 66101; the Office of Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., Suite 700, Washington, DC; or at the EPA Air and Radiation Docket and Information Center, Room B–108, 1301 Constitution Avenue, NW. (Mail Code 6102T), Washington, DC 20460.

[FR Doc. 03–15251 Filed 6–17–03; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 6560–50–P**

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 180

[OPP-2003-0155; FRL-7308-8]

*

Glyphosate; Pesticide Tolerance

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This regulation establishes a tolerance for residues of glyphosate in or on corn, field, forage at 6.0 parts per million (ppm) and reduces the tolerance on grain, aspirated fractions from 200 ppm to 100 ppm. Monsanto Company requested this tolerance under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FFDCA), as amended by the Food Quality Protection Act of 1996 (FQPA).

DATES: This regulation is effective June 18, 2003. Objections and requests for hearings, identified by docket ID number OPP–2003–0155, must be received on or before August 18, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Written objections and hearing requests may be submitted electronically, by mail, or through hand delivery/courier. Follow the detailed instructions as provided in Unit VI. of the SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jim Tompkins, Registration Division (7505C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460–0001; telephone number: (703) 305–5697; e-mail address: Tompkins. Jim@epa.gov.