receptors, increases in local smog and haze, water vapor plumes, dust from construction and transportation, impacts on special-use areas;

(2) Noise and light impacts: potential impacts resulting from construction, transportation of materials, and plant

operation;

- (3) Traffic Issues: potential impacts resulting from the construction and operation of the proposed facility including changes in local traffic patterns, deterioration of roads, traffic hazards, traffic controls;
- (4) Floodplains and wetlands: potential impacts on flood flow resulting from earthen fills, access roads and dikes constructed within the floodplain; impacts to wetlands;

(5) Visual impacts associated with plant structures: views from neighborhoods, impacts on scenic views, impacts from water vapor plumes and haze; internal and external perception of the local community;

(6) Reclamation impacts: potential impacts resulting from recovery of coal waste and from the reclamation of the waste coal source sites; mitigation of acid drainage from coal waste piles, and other environmental improvements;

(7) Water quality: potential impacts resulting from wastewater utilization and discharge, water usage, and reclamation of waste coal sites;

- (8) Infrastructure and land use, including potential environmental and socioeconomic effects of plant construction, delivery of feed materials, recovery of waste coal, steam and heat distribution, electric power generation and transmission, WoodbrikTM production and distribution, and site restoration:
- (9) Water usage: water consumption, potential effects on surface and groundwater resources and withdrawal of water from the municipal sewage treatment plant;

(10) Solid Waste: pollution prevention and waste management, including ash, slag, and wastewater treatment facility

sludge;

(11) Cumulative effects that result from the incremental impacts of the proposed project when added to the other past, present, and reasonably foreseeable future projects;

(12) Ecological: Potential on-site and off-site impacts to vegetation, terrestrial wildlife, aquatic wildlife, threatened and endangered species, and ecologically sensitive habitats;

(13) Connected actions: Use of heat and energy from the plant for the adjoining Eco-Park;

(14) Compliance with regulatory requirements and environmental permitting; and (15) Environmental monitoring. Parts or all of the proposed power plant and brick manufacturing facility would occupy a floodplain along Sewell Creek, in Rainelle, West Virginia. Parts of the proposed facilities may occupy jurisdictional wetland areas on the floodplain. Therefore, in accordance with DOE regulations (10 CFR Part 1022), the final EIS will include a floodplain and wetlands assessment and a floodplain statement of findings.

Public Scoping Process

To ensure that all issues related to this proposal are addressed, DOE seeks public input to define the scope of the EIS. The public scoping period will end on July 3, 2003. Interested agencies, organizations and the general public are encouraged to submit comments or suggestions concerning the content of the EIS, issues and impacts to be addressed in the EIS, and alternatives that should be considered. Scoping comments should clearly describe specific issues or topics that the EIS should address to assist DOE in identifying significant issues. Written, emailed, faxed, or telephoned comments should be communicated by July 3, 2003 (see ADDRESSES).

DOE will conduct a public scoping meeting at Greenbrier West High School in Charmco, West Virginia on June 19, 2003 beginning at 7 p.m. Greenbrier West High School is located on U.S. Route 60 approximately 10.3 miles west of I-64 Exit 156 at Sam Black Church. In addition, the public is invited to an informational session at this location beginning at 4 p.m. to learn more about the proposed action. Displays and other information about the proposed agency action and location will be available, and DOE personnel will be present to discuss the proposed action and the NEPA process.

The formal scoping meeting will begin at 7 p.m. on June 19, 2003. Members of the public who wish to speak at this public scoping meeting should contact Mr. Mark L. McKoy, either by phone, fax, computer, or in writing (see ADDRESSES in this Notice). Those who do not arrange in advance to speak may register at the meeting (preferably at the beginning of the meeting) and may speak after previously scheduled speakers. Speakers who want more than five minutes should indicate the length of time desired in their request. Depending on the number of speakers, DOE may need to limit speakers to five minutes initially and provide additional opportunities as time permits. Speakers may also provide written materials to supplement their presentations. Oral and written

comments will be given equal consideration.

DOE will begin the meeting with an overview of the proposed Western Greenbrier Co-Production
Demonstration Project. The meeting will not be conducted as an evidentiary hearing, and speakers will not be cross-examined. However, speakers may be asked questions to help ensure that DOE fully understands the comments or suggestions. A presiding officer will establish the order of speakers and provide any additional procedures necessary to conduct the meeting.

Issued in Washington, DC, this 28th day of May, 2003.

Beverly A. Cook,

Assistant Secretary, Environment, Safety and Health.

[FR Doc. 03–13857 Filed 6–2–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6450–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Energy Information Administration

Agency Information Collection Activities: Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

AGENCY: Energy Information Administration (EIA), Department of Energy (DOE).

ACTION: Agency Information Collection Activities: Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request.

SUMMARY: The EIA has submitted the energy information collection listed at the end of this notice to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and a three-year extension under section 3507(h)(1) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–13) (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq).

DATES: Comments must be filed by July 3, 2003. If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments but find it difficult to do so within that period, you should contact the OMB Desk Officer for DOE listed below as soon as possible.

ADDRESSES: Send comments to Bryon Allen, OMB Desk Officer for DOE, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget. To ensure receipt of the comments by the due date, submission by FAX (202–395–7285) or e-mail (BAllen@omb.eop.gov) is recommended. The mailing address is 726 Jackson Place NW., Washington, DC 20503. The OMB DOE Desk Officer may be telephoned at (202) 395–3087. (A copy of your comments should also be provided to EIA's Statistics and Methods Group at the address below.)

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Requests for additional information should be directed to Grace Sutherland. To ensure receipt of the comments by the due date, submission by FAX (202– 287–1705) or e-mail

(grace.sutherland@eia.doe.gov) is recommended. The mailing address is Statistics and Methods Group (EI–70), Forrestal Building, U.S. Department of Energy, Washington, DC 20585–0670. Ms. Sutherland may be contacted by telephone at (202) 287–1712.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This section contains the following information about the energy information collection submitted to OMB for review: (1) The collection numbers and title; (2) the sponsor (i.e., the Department of Energy component); (3) the current OMB docket number (if applicable); (4) the type of request (i.e., new, revision, extension, or reinstatement); (5) response obligation (i.e., mandatory, voluntary, or required to obtain or retain benefits); (6) a description of the need for and proposed use of the information; (7) a categorical description of the likely respondents; and (8) an estimate of the total annual reporting burden (i.e., the estimated number of likely respondents times the proposed frequency of response per year times the average hours per response).

1. Appendix C—Delivery
Commitment Schedule, NWPA–830G
Appendix G—Standard Remittance and
Advice for Payment for Fees, and Annex
A to Appendix G—Standard Remittance
Advice for Payment of Fees

2. Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management (OCRWM)

- 3. OMB Number 1901-0260
- 4. Three-year approval requested
- 5. Mandatory
- 6. NWPA-830C "Delivery

Commitment Schedule," is designed for contract holders to designate the facility where DOE will accept their fuel, the number of assemblies to be accepted, and the mode of transportation to ship the assemblies. The information collected will be used to determine the Federal waste management system configuration. NWPA-830G (and Annex A of schedule G) "Standard Remittance Advice for Payment of Fees," is designed to serve as the source document for entries into DOE accounting records to transmit data from Purchasers to the DOE concerning payment into the Nuclear Waste Fund of their fees for spent nuclear fuel and high-level waste disposal.

7. Business or other for-profit 8. 2,658 hours (5.21 hours per response × 4.36 responses per year × 117 respondents). Statutory Authority: Section 3507(h)(1) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. No. 104–13)(44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq).

Issued in Washington, DC, April 29, 2003. Jay H. Casselberry, Agency Clearance Officer, Statistics and Methods Group, Energy Information Administration.

[FR Doc. 03–13854 Filed 6–2–03; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6450–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. ER03-850-000, et al.]

Ohio Power Company, et al.; Electric Rate and Corporate Filings

May 23, 2003.

The following filings have been made with the Commission. The filings are listed in ascending order within each docket classification.

1. Ohio Power Company

[Docket No. ER03-805-000]

Take notice that on May 1, 2003, Ohio Power Company and Columbus Southern Power Company tendered for filing a Notice of Cancellation on FERC Electric Rate Schedule No. 70 and No. 17, consisting of a Power Delivery Agreement among Buckeye Power, Inc., the Cincinnati Gas & Electric Company, Columbus Southern Power Company, the Dayton Power and Light Company, Monongahela Power Company, Ohio Power Company and the Toledo Edison Company dated as of January 1, 1968.

Ohio Power Company and Columbus Southern Power Company state that the Notice of Cancellation has been served upon Buckeye Power, Inc., the Cincinnati Gas and Electric Company, the Dayton Power & Light Company, Monongahela Power Company and the Toledo Edison Company, and upon the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio.

Comment Date: June 3, 2003.

2. Southern California Edison Company

[Docket No. ER03-858-000]

Take notice that on May 21, 2003, Southern California Edison Company (SCE) tendered for filing a Letter Agreement between SCE and WM Energy Solutions, Inc. (WMES).

SCE states that copies of this filing were served upon the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California and WMES.

Comment Date: June 11, 2003.

3. The Connecticut Light and Power Company

[Docket No. ER03-859-000]

Take notice that on May 21, 2003, Northeast Utilities Service Company (NUSCO), on behalf of its affiliate The Connecticut Light and Power Company (CL&P), filed the executed Engineering, Licensing, Construction, Interconnection and Equipment Removal Agreement—Waterside Power Temporary Emergency Generation by and between CL&P and Waterside Power, LLC (Waterside) designated as Original Service Agreement No. 99 (Service Agreement) under Northeast Utilities System Companies' Open Access Transmission Tariff No. 9. NUSCO states that the Service Agreement is a new agreement establishing the terms and conditions under which Waterside's gas turbine electrical generating facility in Stamford, Connecticut will be temporarily interconnected to CL&P's transmission system for the summer of

NUSCO states that a copy of this filing has been sent to Waterside and that Waterside fully consents to and supports this filing. NUSCO and Waterside request an effective date for the Service Agreement of May 20, 2003, and request any waivers of the Commission's regulations that may be necessary to permit such an effective date.

Comment Date: June 3, 2003.

4. Sierra Pacific Industries

[Docket No. ER03-860-000]

Take notice that on May 21, 2003, Sierra Pacific Industries (SPI), filed with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission an application for approval of its initial tariff (FERC Electric Tariff Original Volume No. 1), and for blanket approval for market-based rates pursuant to part 35 of the Commission's regulations.

SPI seeks blanket market-based rate authority as well as the waiver of those Commission rules generally granted to power marketers. SPI is a California corporation.

Comment Date: June 11, 2003.

Standard Paragraph

Any person desiring to intervene or to protest this filing should file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be