Survey Instructions on Ensuring Equal Opportunity for Applicants

- 1. 501(c)(3) status is a legal designation provided on application to the Internal Revenue Service by eligible organizations. Some grant programs may require nonprofit applicants to have 501(c)(3) status. Other grant programs do not
- 2. For example, two part-time employees who each work half-time equal one full-time equivalent employee. If the applicant is a local affiliate of a national organization, the responses to survey questions 2 and 3 should reflect the staff and budget size of the local affiliate.
- 3. Annual budget means the amount of money your organization spends each year on all of its activities.
- 4. Self-identify.
- 5. An organization is considered a community-based organization if its headquarters/service location shares the same zip code as the clients you serve.
- 6. An "intermediary" is an organization that enables a group of small organizations to receive and manage government funds by administering the grant on their behalf.
- 7. Self-explanatory.
- 8. Self-explanatory

Paperwork Burden Statement

According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless such collection displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 1225-0083. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average five (5) minutes per response, including the time to review instructions, search existing data resources, gather the data needed, and complete and review the information collection. If you have any comments concerning the accuracy of the time estimate(s) or suggestions for improving this form, please write to: Departmental Clearance Officer, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue NW, Room N-1301, Washington, D.C. 20210. If you have comments or concerns regarding the status of your individual submission of this form, write directly to: Joyce I. Mays, Application Control Center, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20210.

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

Workforce Investment Act (WIA) Section 167, the National Farmworker Jobs Program (NFJP), and Housing Assistance Grants

AGENCY: Employment and Training Administration (ETA), Department of Labor.

ACTION: Notice of formula allocations for the Program Year (PY) 2003 National Farmworker Jobs Program (NFJP), and for the Housing Assistance Grants; request for comments.

SUMMARY: Under section 182(d) of the Workforce Investment Act (WIA) of 1998, ETA is publishing the PY 2003 allocations for the NFJP authorized under section 167 of the WIA, and for the housing assistance grants authorized by the Consolidated Appropriations Resolution, 2003 (Pub. L. 108–7). The NFJP program allocations are distributed to the states by a formula that estimates, by state, the relative demand for NFJP services. The housing assistance allocations are distributed among the 12 agricultural regions established for the National Agricultural Worker Survey (NAWS). The allocations in this notice apply to the Program Year (PY) beginning July 1, 2003.

DATES: Comments must be submitted on or before May 31, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be submitted to Ms. Alina M. Walker, Acting Chief, Division of Seasonal Farmworker Programs, Room C–5325, Employment and Training Administration, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210. The e-mail address is walker.alina@dol.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Alina M. Walker, Acting Chief, Division of Seasonal Farmworker Programs, Room C–5325, Employment and Training Administration, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210. The telephone number is (202) 693–2706. (This is not a toll-free number.)

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background. On May 19, 1999, we published a notice of formula allocations with a new formula for allocating funds available for the NFJP (formerly referred to as the section 402 Migrant and Seasonal Farmworker (MSFW) Program) in the FEDERAL REGISTER at 64 FR 27390 (May 19, 1999). The notice explained how the new formula achieved its purpose of

distributing funds geographically by state service area on the basis of each area's relative share of farmworkers who are eligible for enrollment in the NFJP. The new formula consists of a rational combination of multiple data sets that were selected to yield the relative share distribution of eligible farmworkers. The combined-data formula is substantially more relevant to the purpose of aligning the allocations with the eligible population than the allocations determined by the prior formula.

As stated in our notice of May 19, 1999, PY 2003 is the first year the allocation formula is scheduled to be applied without adjustment for the hold-harmless provisions described in section IV of the notice. However, as explained in section III of this notice, the Consolidated Appropriations Resolution, 2003 (Pub. L. 108–7) requires that no area receive less than 85% of its 1998 level. Section III also explains the methodology used for PY 2003 to allocate all funds under the formula that are not required to satisfy the 85% requirement. This methodology produces a more equitable result than the one applied for each of the 4 years of the hold-harmless phase. The methodology under the hold-harmless phase funded all states at their required minimum level before allocating the remaining funds in accordance with the formula. One result of this change is that the Rhode Island area is allocated its full share in PY 2003.

II. Limitations on Uses of Section 167 Funds. In appropriating the funds for PY 2003, Congress provided in its Consolidated Appropriations Resolution, 2003 (Pub. L. 108-7) as follows: "That, notwithstanding any other provision of law or related regulation, \$77,836,000 shall be for carrying out section 167 of the Workforce Investment Act of 1998, including \$72,686,000 for formula grants, \$4,640,000 for migrant and seasonal housing, and \$510,000 for other discretionary purposes * * *." The Conference Agreement includes a 0.65 percent across-the-board rescission to discretionary budgetary resources provided in divisions A through K of this Act, as well as to any previously enacted fiscal year 2003 advanced appropriations. A total of \$72,213,541 for formula grants, and \$4,609,840 for housing assistance, is allocated as a result of applying this requirement.

III. PY 2003 Allocation Formula. The first step of the formula for PY 2003 distributes the total formula funds of \$72,213,541 based on the same relative share of eligible farmworkers derived from the combined datasets described above, which is unchanged from PY

2002. Congress, furthermore, in its Appropriations Conference Report 108-010, provided that those states impacted by formula reductions from the prior year would receive no less than 85 percent of their comparable 1998 allocation levels. Consequently, the amount for each State calculated in step one was compared to an amount equal to 85 percent of each State's PY 1998 allocation. If the 1998 comparison level was higher for a State, that amount was assigned to that State. All such States' assigned 1998 comparison levels were added and these States were removed from the remaining calculations. For the remaining States whose formula amounts were higher, their formula amounts were added and the total was compared to the total amount of remaining funds. Since there were less funds remaining available, each remaining State's formula amount was reduced by the same proportion the total remaining available funds bore to the total remaining States' formula amounts. This reduced distribution was again tested against the 1998 comparison level and the above process was repeated until there were no remaining States being assigned the 1998 comparison level. Each State's final allocation was either the assigned 1998 comparison level or the final proportionally reduced formula amount.

IV. State Combinations: We anticipate a single plan of service for operating the PY 2003 NFJP in the jurisdiction comprised of Delaware and Maryland and the jurisdiction comprised of Rhode Island and Connecticut.

V. Housing Assistance Allocations: The funds available for new grants to provide housing assistance to eligible farmworkers in PY 2003 will be awarded to applicants selected under the competition for the housing assistance grants. This notice provides information on how ETA will be guided in the geographic distribution of the housing assistance funds.

The Department is relying on the allocation formula to distribute the housing assistance funds among 12 agricultural regions. The arrangement of the regions is the one used by the Department in its application of the data from the National Agricultural Workers Survey (NAWS) to the allocation formula. These regions are identical to the regions established for the NAWS with the exception that the NAWS recognizes Oklahoma as one of the Southern Plains states. The 12 regions established for these allocation objectives are as follows:

Delta/South East: Alabama, Arkansas, Georgia, Louisiana, Mississippi, Oklahoma, and South Carolina.

North East 1: Connecticut, Maine, New Hampshire, New York, Rhode Island, Massachusetts, and Vermont.

Appalachia: Kentucky, North Carolina, Tennessee, West Virginia and Virginia.

Mountain 3: Arizona and New Mexico.

Northeast 2: Delaware, Maryland, New Jersey and Pennsylvania.

Lake District: Michigan, Minnesota and Wisconsin.

Pacific Southwest: California. Florida Peninsula: Florida. Southern Plains: Texas. Mountain 1 & 2: Colorado, Idaho, Montana, Nevada, Utah and Wyoming.

Corn Belt/Northern Plains: Kansas, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Missouri, Nebraska, North Dakota, Ohio, and South Dakota.

Pacific Northwest: Oregon and Washington.

PY 2003 Allocations: The first table in the attachment provides the allocations for the NFJP in PY 2003. NFJP grantees will use these figures in preparing the PY 2003 grant plans. The result of the regional allocation of the housing assistance funds is provided in the second table, entitled "Worker Housing Assistance PY 2003/Regional Allocations".

Signed in Washington, DC, this 11th day of April, 2003.

Emily Stover DeRocco,

Assistant Secretary, Employment and Training Administration.

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

U. S. Department of Labor Employment and Training Administration National Farmworker Jobs Program PY 2003 Allocations to States

State	PY 2002	PY 2003	Difference	% Diff
Total	\$74,965,000	\$72,213,541	(\$2,751,459)	-3.7%
Total	\$74,905,000	3/2,213,341	(\$2,751,459)	-3.776
Alabama	791,835	673,060	(118,775)	-15.0%
Alaska	-	-	-	0.0%
Arizona	1,782,406	1,662,726	(119,680)	-6.7%
Arkansas	1,167,409	992,298	(175,111)	-15.0%
California	18,079,946	19,407,350	1,327,404	7.3%
Colorado	978,063	959,800	(18,263)	-1.9%
Connecticut	258,821	293,698	34,877	13.5%
Delaware	130,521	121,757	(8,764)	-6.7%
Dist of Columbia	-		-	0.0%
Florida	4,631,415	3,936,703	(694,712)	-15.0%
Georgia	1,711,615	1,454,873	(256,742)	-15.0%
Hawaii	251,607	213,866	(37,741)	-15.0%
Idaho	1,065,059	1,043,681	(21,378)	-2.0%
Illinois	1,478,120	1,378,036	(100,084)	-6.8%
Indiana	942,812	896,699	(46,113)	-4.9%
Iowa	1,314,394	1,117,235	(197,159)	-15.0%
Kansas	885,389	1,043,293	157,904	17.8%
Kentucky	1,352,613	1,149,721	(202,892)	-15.0%
Louisiana	796,032	676,627	(119,405)	-15.0%
Maine	327,397	278,287	(49,110)	-15.0%
Maryland	369,537	351,821	(17,716)	-4.8%
Massachusetts	351,027	298,373	(52,654)	-15.0%
Michigan	979,102	913,360	(65,742)	-6.7%
Minnesota	1,274,775	1,083,559	(191,216)	-15.0%
Mississippi	1,449,044	1,231,687	(217,357)	-15.0% -13.7%
Missouri	1,094,524	944,258 567,111	(150,266) (100,078)	-15.7%
Montana	667,189 964,801	1,056,460	91,659	9.5%
Nebraska Nevada	200,795	170,676	(30,119)	-15.0%
New Hampshire	112,600	97,637	(14,963)	-13.3%
New Jersey	521,483	675,564	154,081	29.5%
New Mexico	761,269	904,219	142,950	18.8%
New York	1,850,667	1,573,067	(277,600)	-15.0%
North Carolina	3,006,003	2,555,103	(450,900)	-15.0%
North Dakota	574,325	589,445	15,120	2.6%
Ohio	1,124,788	1,222,893	98,105	8.7%
Oklahoma	830,137	1,234,884	404,747	48.8%
Oregon	1,340,187	1,404,533	64,346	4.8%
Pennsylvania	1,490,911	1,498,994	8,083	0.5%
Puerto Rico	3,042,070	2,719,687	(322,383)	-10.6%
Rhode Island	6,751	37,555	30,804	456.3%
South Carolina	1,080,106	918,090	(162,016)	-15.0%
South Dakota	692,869	588,939	(103,930)	-15.0%
Tennessee	957,799	814,129	(143,670)	-15.0%
Texas	6,943,642	6,477,411	(466,231)	-6.7%
Utah	295,442	278,628	(16,814)	-5.7%
Vermont	213,134	181,164	(31,970)	-15.0%
Virginia	1,036,441	880,975	(155,466)	-15.0%
Washington	2,098,870	2,187,794	88,924	4.2%
West Virginia	219,325	186,426	(32,899)	-15.0%
Wisconsin	1,229,201	1,044,821	(184,380)	-15.0%
Wyoming	240,732	224,568	(16,164)	-6.7%

U. S Department of Labor Employment and Training Administration Farm Worker Housing Assistance (WIA 167) PY 2003 Regional Allocations

Agricultural Regions	Share	Amount
Delta SE	0.0737564	\$340,005
North East 1	0.0326755	\$150,629
Appalachia	0.0692097	\$319,046
Mountain 3	0.0411004	\$189,466
Northeast 2	0.0424005	\$195,460
Lake	0.0429960	\$198,205
California	0.3107392	\$1,432,458
Florida	0.0381806	\$176,006
Southern Plain (TX)	0.1037126	\$478,098
Mountain 1 & 2	0.0497507	\$229,343
Corn Belt/Northern Plains	0.1379600	\$635,974
Pacific NW	0.0575183	\$265,150
Totals	0.9999999	\$4,609,840
multiplier	4609840	

[FR Doc. 03–9520 Filed 4–16–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–30–C

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Mine Safety and Health Administration

Proposed Information Collection Request Submitted for Public Comment and Recommendations; Underground Retorts

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Labor, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden conducts a pre-clearance consultation program to provide the general public and Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing collections of information in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA95) [44 U.S.C. 3506 (c)(2)(A)]. This program helps to ensure that requested data can be provided in the desired format, reporting burden (time and financial resources) is minimized, collection instruments are clearly understood, and the impact of collection requirements on respondents can be properly assessed.

Currently, the Mine Safety and Health Administration (MSHA) is soliciting comments concerning the extension of the information collection related to the 30 CFR Section 57.22401; Underground Retorts.

DATES: Submit comments on or before June 16, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Send comments to Jane Tarr, Management Analyst, Administration and Management 1100 Wilson Boulevard, Room 2171, Arlington, VA 22209–3939. Commenters are encouraged to send their comments on computer disk, or via Internet E-mail to *Tarr-Jane@Msha.Gov*. Ms. Tarr can be reached at (202) 693–9824 (voice), or (202) 693–9801 (facsimile).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jane Tarr, Management Analyst, Records Management Group, U.S. Department of Labor, Mine Safety and Health Administration, Room 2171, 1100 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, VA 22209–3939. Ms. Tarr can be reached at Tarr-Jane@Msha.Gov (Internet E-mail), (202) 693–9824 (voice), or (202) 693–9801 (facsimile).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

This regulation pertains to the safety requirements to be followed by the mine operators in the use of underground retorts to extract oil from shale by heat or fire. Prior to ignition of retorts, the mine operator must submit a written plan indicating the acceptable levels of combustible gases and oxygen; specifications and location of off-gas monitoring procedures and equipment;

procedures for ignition of retorts and details of area monitoring and alarm systems for hazardous gases and actions to be taken to assure safety of miners.

II. Desired Focus of Comments

MSHA is particularly interested in comments which:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submissions of responses.

A copy of the proposed information collection request can be obtained by contacting the employee listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this notice, or viewed on the Internet by accessing the MSHA Home page (http://www.msha.gov) and then