burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 67 hours per response. Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

Respondents/Affected Entities: Construction and demolition waste landfill owners/operators and State Agencies.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 145.

Frequency of Response: On occasion. Estimated Total Annual Hour Burden: 9,675 hours.

Estimated Total Annualized Capital, O&M Cost Burden: \$938.

Send comments on the Agency's need for this information, the accuracy of the provided burden estimates, and any suggested methods for minimizing respondent burden, including through the use of automated collection techniques to the addresses listed above. Please refer to EPA ICR No. 1745.04 and OMB Control No. 2050–0154 in any correspondence.

Dated: September 2, 2002.

Oscar Morales,

Director, Collection Strategies Division. [FR Doc. 02–24805 Filed 9–30–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[UT-001-0050; FRL-7388-2]

Adequacy Status of the Utah County, Utah PM₁₀ State Implementation Plan Revision for Transportation Conformity Purposes

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of adequacy.

SUMMARY: In this document, EPA is notifying the public that we have found that the motor vehicle emissions budgets (for 2010 and 2020) in the Utah County, Utah particulate matter of 10

micrograms in size or smaller (PM₁₀) State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision submitted on July 3, 2002, are adequate for conformity purposes. On March 2, 1999, the D.C. Circuit Court ruled that submitted SIPs cannot be used for conformity determinations until EPA has affirmatively found them adequate. As a result of our finding, the Mountainland Association of Governments, the Utah Department of Transportation, and the U.S. Department of Transportation are required to use the 2010 and 2020 motor vehicle emissions budgets from this submitted SIP revision for future conformity determinations.

DATES: This finding is effective October 16, 2002.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Kerri Fiedler, Air & Radiation Program (8P–AR), United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region 8, 999 18th Street, Suite 300, Denver, Colorado 80202–2466, (303) 312–6493.

The letter documenting our finding is available at EPA's conformity website: http://www.epa.gov/oms/transp/conform/adequacy.htm.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Throughout this document wherever "we," "us," or "our" are used we mean EPA.

This action is simply an announcement of a finding that we have already made. We sent a letter to the Utah Department of Environmental Quality on September 5, 2002 stating that the 2010 and 2020 PM_{10} and NO_X motor vehicle emissions budgets in the submitted Utah County PM_{10} SIP revision are adequate. This finding has also been announced on our conformity website at http://www.epa.gov/oms/transp/conform/adequacv.htm.

Transportation conformity is required by section 176(c) of the Clean Air Act. Our conformity rule requires that transportation plans, programs, and projects conform to SIPs and establishes the criteria and procedures for determining whether or not they do. Conformity to a SIP means that transportation activities will not produce new air quality violations, worsen existing violations, or delay timely attainment of the national ambient air quality standards.

The criteria by which we determine whether a SIP's motor vehicle emission budgets are adequate for conformity purposes are outlined in 40 CFR 93.118(e)(4). Please note that an adequacy review is separate from our completeness review, and it also should not be used to prejudge our ultimate approval of the SIP. Even if we find a

budget adequate, the SIP could later be disapproved, and vice versa.

We've described our process for determining the adequacy of submitted SIP budgets in a memo entitled, "Conformity Guidance on Implementation of March 2, 1999 Conformity Court Decision," dated May 14, 1999. We followed this guidance in making our adequacy determination.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

Dated: September 23, 2002.

Robert E. Roberts,

Regional Administrator, Region VIII. [FR Doc. 02–24916 Filed 9–30–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-7387-7]

EPA Science Advisory Board,
Notification of Public Advisory
Committee Meetings of the
Contaminated Sediment Science Plan
Review Panel; and Notification of
Cancelled Meetings of the Human
Health Research Strategy Review
Panel

Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Public Law 92–463, notice is hereby given of three meetings of the Contaminated Sediment Science Plan Review Panel (CSSP Review Panel) of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) Science Advisory Board (SAB). The Panel will meet on the dates and times noted below. All times noted are Eastern Time. All meetings are open to the public, however, seating is limited and available on a first come basis. For teleconference meetings, available lines may also be limited.

Important Notice: The document that is the subject of this SAB review, Contaminated Sediment Science Plan, June 13, 2002 draft, is available on the SAB Web site at http://www.epa.gov/sab/panels/cssprpanel.html. Any questions concerning the draft document should be directed to the program contact noted below.

Background—The background for this review and the charge to the panel were published in the 67 FR 49336, July 30, 2002. The notice also included a draft charge to the panel, a call for nominations for members of the panel in certain technical expertise areas needed to address the charge and described the process to be used in forming the panel.

1. Contaminated Sediment Science Plan Review Panel—October 17, 2002 Teleconference

The CSSP Review Panel will meet on October 17, 2002 via teleconference from 2:00 pm to 4:00 pm Eastern Time. This teleconference meeting will be hosted out of Conference Room 6013, USEPA, Ariel Rios Building North, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20004. The meeting is open to the public, but, due to limited space, seating will be on a first-come basis. The public may also attend via telephone, however, lines may be limited. For further information concerning the meeting or how to obtain the phone number, please contact Mr. Lawrence Martin, Designated Federal Officer, contact information indicated in this FR notice.

Purpose of the Meeting—The purpose of this public teleconference meeting is to: (a) Discuss the charge and the adequacy of the review materials; (b) to discuss specific charge assignments to the CSSP Review Panelists; and (c) to clarify specific points of interest raised by the Panelists in preparation for the face-to-face meeting to be held on October 30–31, 2002.

See below for availability of review materials, the charge to the review panel, and contact information.

2. Contaminated Sediment Science Plan Review Panel—October 30–31, 2002 Meeting

The CSSP Review Panel of the Science Advisory Board (SAB) will conduct a public meeting on October 30–31, 2002. The meeting will begin on October 30 at 8:30 am and adjourn no later than 5:30 pm that day. On October 31, 2002, the meeting may begin at 8 am and adjourn no later than 5 pm. The meeting will take place at the SAB Conference Room 6013, USEPA, Ariel Rios Building North, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20004. The meeting is open to the public, with the same provisions identified above in #1.

Purpose of the Meeting—The purpose of this meeting is to conduct a review of an Agency draft document entitled, Contaminated Sediment Science Plan, June 13, 2002 draft, prepared by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. In particular, the Review Panel will: (a) Engage in dialogue with appropriate officials from the Agency who are responsible for the Plan's preparation; (b) discuss panelist's written responses to elements addressed by the charge questions; (c) receive public comments as appropriate; and (d) assemble and revise written drafts to complete a rough draft written review of the Plan.

See below for availability of review materials, the charge to the review panel, and contact information for both meetings.

3. Contaminated Sediment Science Plan Review Panel—November 22, 2002 Teleconference

The CSSP Review Panel will meet on November 22, 2002 via teleconference from 3:00 pm to 5:00 pm Eastern Time. This teleconference meeting will be hosted out of Conference Room 6013, USEPA, Ariel Rios Building North, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20004. The meeting is open to the public, with the same provisions identified above in #1.

Purpose of the Meeting—The purpose of this public teleconference meeting is to: (a) Discuss drafts of sections of the Panel Report; (b) recommend revisions to the Panel Report; and (c) clarify specific points of concern for further discussion and resolution.

The need for subsequent meetings of the Review Panel will be discussed at this meeting and schedules of any future meetings to complete review of this topic will be determined. Information concerning any future public meetings will appear in **Federal Register** notices as appropriate.

Charge to the CSSP Review Panel— The background to the charge and the charge questions are located on the SAB website at: http://www.epa.gov/sab/ panels/cssprpanel.html.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION: To inquire about public participation in the meetings identified above please contact Mr. Lawrence Martin, Designated Federal Officer, CSSP Review Panel, USEPA Science Advisory Board (1400A), Suite 6450R, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20460; telephone/voice mail at (202) 564-6497; fax at (202) 501-0323; or via e-mail at martin.lawrence@epa.gov. Requests for oral comments must be in writing (e-mail, fax or mail) and received by Mr. Martin no later than noon Eastern Time on the following dates: for the October 17 teleconference call, requests must be received by October 12; for the October 30-31 face to face meeting, requests must be received by October 25, 2002; and for the November 22 teleconference. requests must be received by November 15, 2002.

The SAB will have a brief period (no more than 10 minutes) available during the Teleconference meeting for applicable public comment. For the Teleconference, the oral public comment period will be divided among the speakers who register. At the October 30–31 face to face meeting, the

oral public comment will be limited to 120 minutes divided among the speakers who register. Registration is on a first come basis. Speakers who have been granted time on the agenda may not yield their time to other speakers. Those wishing to speak but who are unable to register in time may provide their comments in writing. Members of the public desiring additional information about the meeting locations or the call-in number for the teleconference, must contact Mr. Martin at the addresses and numbers identified above.

A copy of the draft agenda for each meeting will be posted on the SAB Web site (http://www.epa.gov/sab) (under the AGENDAS subheading) approximately 10 days before that meeting.

Availability of Review Material—There is one primary document that is the subject of the review. The review document is available electronically at the following site http://www.epa.gov/sab/panels/cssprpanel.html. For questions and information pertaining to the review document, please contact Dr. Lee Hofmann Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response, Mail Code 5103T, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave, NW, Washington, DC 20460 at telephone number 202–566–1928, or by e-mail at: hofmann.lee@epa.gov.

Providing Oral or Written Comments at SAB Meetings

It is the policy of the EPA Science Advisory Board to accept written public comments of any length, and to accommodate oral public comments whenever possible. The EPA Science Advisory Board expects that public statements presented at its meetings will not be repetitive of previously submitted oral or written statements.

Oral Comments: In general, each individual or group requesting an oral presentation at a face-to-face meeting will be limited to a total time of ten minutes (unless otherwise indicated above). For teleconference meetings, opportunities for oral comment will usually be limited to no more than three minutes per speaker and no more than fifteen minutes total (unless otherwise indicated above). Deadlines for getting on the public speaker list for a meeting are given above. Speakers should bring at least 35 copies of their comments and presentation slides for distribution to the reviewers and public at the meeting.

Written Comments: Although the SAB accepts written comments until the date of the meeting (unless otherwise stated), written comments should be received in the SAB Staff Office at least one week prior to the meeting date so that the

comments may be made available to the review panel for their consideration. Comments should be supplied to the appropriate DFO at the address/contact information noted above in the following formats: One hard copy with original signature, and one electronic copy via e-mail (acceptable file format: Adobe Acrobat, WordPerfect, Word, or Rich Text files (in IBM-PC/Windows 95/98 format). Those providing written comments and who attend the meeting are also asked to bring 35 copies of their comments for public distribution.

General Information—Additional information concerning the EPA Science Advisory Board, its structure, function, and composition, may be found on the SAB Web site (http://www.epa.gov/sab) and in the Science Advisory Board FY2001 Annual Staff Report which is available from the SAB Publications Staff at (202) 564–4533 or via fax at (202) 501–0256.

Meeting Access—Individuals requiring special accommodation at this meeting, including wheelchair access to the conference room, should contact Mr. Martin at least five business days prior to the meeting so that appropriate arrangements can be made.

Human Health Research Strategy Review Panel—Meeting Cancellation

The Agency's Science Advisory Board (SAB) announced on June 19, 2002 (67 FR 41718-41721) that it was initiating the panel formation process for the review of the Environmental Protection Agency's Human Health Research Strategy. At that time, the SAB also solicited nominations for members of the expert panel that would be established to review the research strategy. The SAB also announced on September 12, 2002 (67 FR 57814-57815), its intention to hold two meetings to conduct this review. The dates identified for the meetings were September 30, 2002 and October 7-9, 2002. Today the SAB is notifying the public that the panel meetings will not be held on these dates and that they will be scheduled for a later time. Unexpected difficulties in scheduling the meeting have caused this delay. A future Federal Register notice will provide information on when these meetings will be rescheduled. For further information, please contact Dr. Suhair Shallal, Designated Federal Officer, by email at shallal.suhair@epa.gov, or by telephone at (202) 564-4566.

Dated: September 25, 2002.

Vanessa Vu,

 $\label{linear_prop} \textit{Director, , EPA Science Advisory Board Staff} \\ \textit{Office.}$

[FR Doc. 02–24915 Filed 9–30–02; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 6560–50–P**

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-7387-8]

Koppers Charleston Superfund Site; Notice of Proposed Settlement

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of proposed settlement.

SUMMARY: The United States **Environment Protection Agency is** proposing to enter into an administrative settlement with Koppers Charleston (Beazer East, Inc.) for response costs pursuant to section 122(h)(1) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA), 42 U.S.C. 9622(h)(1) concerning the Koppers Charleston Superfund Site (Site) located in Charleston, Charleston County, South Carolina. EPA will consider public comments on the proposed settlement for thirty (30) days. EPA may withdraw from or modify the proposed settlement should such comments disclose facts or considerations which indicate the proposed settlement is inappropriate, improper or inadequate.

Copies of the proposed settlement are available from: Ms. Paula V. Batchelor, U.S. EPA, Region 4, (WMD–CPSB), 61 Forsyth Street, SW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303, (404) 562–8887.

Written comments may be submitted to Ms. Batchelor within 30 calendar days of the date of this publication.

Dated: September 13, 2002.

Anita Davis,

Acting Chief, CERCLA Program Services Branch, Waste Management Division. [FR Doc. 02–24914 Filed 9–30–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL DEPARTMENT AGENCY

[FRL-7388-1]

Proposed Agreement Pursuant to Sections 122(g) and (h) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act for the Zionsville Third Site Superfund Site

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA").

ACTION: Notice; request for public comment on proposed *de minimis* settlement.

SUMMARY: In accordance with section 122(i)(1) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1984, as amended ('CERCLA''), notification is hereby given of a proposed administrative agreement concerning the Zionsville Third Site hazardous waste site located approximately 150 feet east of U.S. Highway 421 and approximately 350 feet south of the Environ-Chem Superfund site in Zionsville, Indiana (the "Site"). EPA proposes to enter into this agreement under the authority of sections 122(g) and (h) and 107 of CERCLA. The proposed agreement has been executed by the following de minimis parties: Ahlstrom Filtration, Inc.; Akzo Nobel Coatings Inc. (Wyandotte Paint Co.); Also Industries, Inc. (Synthane-Taylor); Allen-Bradley Company, LLC.; Allied Waste Transportation, Inc. d/b/a Vermillion Waste Systems; American National Can Company, now Rexam Beverage Can Company; American Recovery Company, Incorporated; A.O. Smith Corporation; Ashland Inc.; Belden Inc. (Cooper Industries); BMC Industries, Inc. (for Buckbee Mears Co.); Borg Warner Inc. on behalf of Warner Gear Division (Borg-Warner); Brulin & Company, Inc.; Carlisle Tire & Wheel Company (formerly known as Carlisle Tire & Rubber Company (Indus Wheel); Chemical Waste Management, Inc.; Child Craft Industries, Inc. (Smith Cabinet); Cintech Industrial Coatings, Inc. (for Cincinnati Varnish); Cloudsley Company; Cohesant of MO, Inc. s/k/a King Adhesives, Inc. and its parent Cohesant Technologies Inc.; Commercial Sewer Cleaning Company, Inc.; Cummins Engine Co.; David L. Wade, Inc. (FKA Standard Paints Incorporated); Davis-Frost, Inc. (formerly Frost Paint & Oil Co.); Devro-Teepak, Inc.; Egyptian Lacquer Mfg. Co., Inc.; Electro-Spec, Inc.; Emhart Industries, Inc.; Ericsson Inc.; Farm Credit Services of Mid-America; Freudenberg-NOK, Gen. Corp Inc (General Tire); Georgia Pacific Corporation, successor in interest to James River II (Crown Zellerback); H.B. Fuller Company; Heriff Jones, Inc.; Hill-Room Company, Inc.; International Paper Company (successor in interest to Champion International Corporation): International Paper Company (for Chase Packaging Corp.); I.W.D. Waste, Inc.; Kurfees Coatings (Louisville Varnish); KCL Corporation; Kewanee Industries Inc. for Bruning Paint Company and Chevron Environmental Management