

**SUMMARY:** The National Telecommunications and Information Administration (NTIA) and the Public Safety Wireless Network (PSWN) Program will co-host a two-day summit on Current and Emerging Solutions to Public Safety Communications Interoperability, on June 11–12, 2002. The summit will be open to the public. The purpose of the interoperability summit is to educate the public about current and emerging technologies that will help to address wireless communications interoperability challenges faced by public safety land mobile radio communications systems.

**DATES:** The Interoperability Summit will be held from 7 a.m.–4 p.m. on Tuesday, June 11, 2002, and from 7 a.m.–3:30 p.m. on Wednesday, June 12, 2002.

**ADDRESSES:** The meetings will take place at the Ronald Reagan International Trade Center, 1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC. Meetings on both days are open to the public. Seating is limited and is available on a first-come, first-served basis. For updated information, a copy of the agenda and audio webcasting information, please refer to NTIA's webpage at <<http://www.ntia.doc.gov>> or PSWN's webpage at <<http://www.pswn.gov>>. Directions to the Ronald Reagan International Trade Center and meeting room locations can also be accessed through the Trade Center's webpage at <<http://www.itcdc.com>>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Derrick Owens, Office of Spectrum Management, NTIA, telephone (202) 482–1920, or electronic mail: <[dowens@ntia.doc.gov](mailto:dowens@ntia.doc.gov)>; or Richard Orsulak, Public Safety Program, NTIA, telephone (202) 501–5487, or electronic mail: <[rorsulak@ntia.doc.gov](mailto:rorsulak@ntia.doc.gov)>. Media inquiries should be directed to the Office of Public Affairs, NTIA, at (202) 482–7002.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** NTIA serves as the principal adviser to the President on telecommunications policies as they pertain to the Nation's technological and economic advancement. NTIA is the primary Executive Branch agency responsible for developing and articulating domestic and international telecommunications policies. NTIA also manages use of the radio frequency spectrum by all federal agencies. PSWN is a jointly sponsored initiative which is comprised of the Department of Justice and Department of the Treasury to specifically addresses public safety wireless communications interoperability. Federal, State and local government agencies are working to improve their ability to share

information and to use current and emerging technology to ensure that they are better prepared for emergency response, regardless of the type of incident. Furthermore, government leaders are being challenged to better understand their current security and emergency response capabilities and, to implement services and technologies to improve emergency response, ensuring citizen safety during a time of increased national threat.

The Interoperability Summit will provide the platform for attendees to share information addressing current and emerging technology solutions, implementation, lessons learned, and current funding activities. The information will focus on technical solutions, but will be presented in an easy to understand format for attendees. Manufacturers of land mobile radio equipment and commercial providers of other wireless communications technologies will also have an opportunity to brief attendees of their interoperability products, the status of their research and development activities, and compliance with published standards. A Federal Business Opportunities Notice will be published and vendors will be accepted on a first-come, first-served basis. Vendors desiring to send a representative to participate in the presentations and/or the tabletop exhibits should review the Federal Business Opportunities Notice or make their request via electronic mail (preferred), by fax, or in writing to: Mrs. Carole Kirsch, PSWN Program, P.O. Box 3926, Fairfax, VA 22038, (electronic mail: <[cakirsch@earthlink.net](mailto:cakirsch@earthlink.net)>, telephone: (703) 279–2006, facsimile: (703) 279–2032).

The audio of the Interoperability Summit will be webcast over the Internet and made available from NTIA's webpage (<<http://www.ntia.doc.gov>>) during the two-day event.

#### Public Participation

These meetings will be open to the public and will be physically accessible to people with disabilities. Any member of the public wishing to attend and requiring special services, such as sign language interpretation or other ancillary aids, should contact Derrick Owens or Richard Orsulak at least three (3) days prior to the meeting via the contact information provided above. All persons entering the Ronald Reagan International Trade Center must go through the security screening, therefore, please have photo identification available and/or a U.S. Government building pass, if applicable.

Dated: May 20, 2002.

**Kathy D. Smith,**

*Chief Counsel, National Telecommunications and Information Administration.*

[FR Doc. 02–12937 Filed 5–22–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–60–P

## DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

[CFDA No. 84.363A]

### Office of Elementary and Secondary Education, School Leadership Program; Notice Inviting Applications for New Awards for Fiscal Year (FY) 2002

*Purpose of Program:* The School Leadership Program is designed to assist high-need local educational agencies (LEAs) in the development, enhancement, or expansion of innovative programs to recruit, train and mentor principals (including assistant principals) to serve in high-need schools.

For FY 2002, the competition focuses on projects designed to meet the priority that appears in the "Requirements for FY 2002 Competition: Competitive Preference" section of this notice.

*Eligible Applicants:* High-need LEAs, consortia of high-need LEAs, or partnerships that consist of at least one high-need LEA and at least one nonprofit organization or institution of higher education. See the "Requirements for FY 2002 Competition: Eligibility" section of this notice for the definition of high-need LEA.

*Applications Available:* May 23, 2002.

*Deadline for Transmittal of*

*Applications:* July 8, 2002.

*Deadline for Intergovernmental*

*Review:* September 5, 2002.

*Estimated Available Funds:*

\$10,000,000 for the first 12 months of the 36-month project period. Funding for the second and third 12-month project periods is subject to the availability of funds and to a grantee's meeting the requirements for receipt of continuation awards contained in 34 CFR 75.253.

*Estimated Range of Awards:*

\$150,000–\$750,000.

*Estimated Average Size of Awards:*

\$450,000.

*Estimated Number of Awards:* 22.

**Note:** The Department is not bound by any estimates in this notice. The Administration has not requested funding for this program for FY 2003.

*Project Period:* Up to 36 months.

*Applicable Regulations:* (a) The Education Department General Administrative Regulations (EDGAR) in

34 CFR parts 74, 75, 77, 79, 80, 81, 82, 85, 86, 97, 98, and 99. (b) The special rules announced in this notice.

**Page Limit:** The application narrative is where you, the applicant, address the selection criteria that reviewers use to evaluate your application. You must limit the narrative to the equivalent of no more than 50 pages, using the following standards:

- A page is 8.5" x 11", on one side only, with 1" margins at the top, bottom and both sides.
- Double space (no more than three lines per vertical inch) all text in the application narrative, including titles, headings, footnotes, quotations, references and captions, as well as all text in charts, tables, figures and graphs.
- Use a font that is either 12 point or larger or no smaller than 10 pitch (characters per inch).

The page limit does not apply to Standard Form 424; the project abstract; the budget section, including the narrative budget justification; the assurances and certifications; or the resumes, bibliography, or letters of support.

Our reviewers will not read any pages of your applications that—

- Exceed the page limit if you apply these standards; or
- Exceed the equivalent of the page limit if you apply other standards.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Research has shown that what principals do on a daily basis makes a difference in how well students learn. Principals influence teaching and classroom practice through such activities as formulating school goals, setting and communicating high achievement expectations, organizing resources for instruction, supervising and evaluating teachers' performance, and monitoring student progress. The primary responsibility of the principal is to create an environment that will enable all students to achieve.

It is clear that strong leadership is essential for effective school reform. However, fewer and fewer eligible candidates appear willing to accept the role of school administrator. Two hundred superintendents surveyed by Education Research Service in 1998 reported difficulties filling principal vacancies in their districts. The challenge does not rest with recruiting and training future principals. Attention must also focus on providing professional development to current administrators who, according to a 1997 report by the National Center for Education Statistics, are on average 48 years old and nearly a decade past their original training.

In this regard, section 2151(b) of Title II, Part A of the Elementary and

Secondary Education Act (ESEA), as amended by the No Child Left Behind Act of 2001, authorizes a new competitive grant program to assist high-need LEAs in recruiting, training and retaining principals (including assistant principals). The School Leadership program will fund applicants that effectively address the competitive priority and selection criteria while demonstrating to the satisfaction of reviewers that receipt of a grant award will enable them to do one or both of the following:

- (1) Hire, train, and mentor highly qualified individuals to become principals (and assistant principals) in high-need schools.
- (2) Provide current principals (and assistant principals) who serve in high-need school districts with sustained professional development that is standards-focused and job-embedded.

#### Waiver of Proposed Rulemaking

It is the Secretary's practice, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act (5 U.S.C. 553), to offer interested parties the opportunity to comment on proposed rules, including competitive preferences. Section 437(d)(1) of the General Education Provisions Act (GEPA), however, allows the Secretary to exempt from rulemaking requirements rules governing the first grant competition under a new or substantially revised program authority (20 U.S.C. 1232(d)(1)). The Secretary, in accordance with section 437(d)(1) of GEPA, has decided to forego public comment in order to ensure timely grant awards. These requirements will apply to the FY 2002 grant competition only.

#### Requirements for FY 2002 Competition

**Selection Criteria.** The Secretary uses the following criteria to evaluate an application. The maximum score for each criterion is indicated in parenthesis.

##### (a) *Need for project.* (15 points)

In determining the need for the proposed project, the Secretary considers:

- (i) The magnitude of the need for recruiting and training highly qualified principals by the proposed project.
- (ii) The extent to which the proposed project will prepare personnel to be highly qualified principals in which shortages have been demonstrated.

##### (b) *Quality of project design.* (25 points)

In determining the quality of project design of the proposed project, the Secretary considers:

- (i) The extent to which the goals, objectives, and outcomes to be achieved

by the proposed project are clearly specified and measurable.

(ii) The extent to which the design of the proposed project is appropriate to, and will successfully address the need to improve student achievement through effective school leadership.

(iii) The extent to which the proposed activities constitute a coherent, sustained program of training for principals in instructional leadership, community leadership, and systems management.

(iv) The extent to which the proposed project is designed to build capacity and yield results that will extend beyond the period of Federal financial assistance.

##### (c) *Quality of project services.* (25 points)

In determining the quality of the services to be provided by the proposed project, the Secretary considers the quality and sufficiency of strategies for ensuring equal access and treatment for eligible project participants who are members of groups that have traditionally been underrepresented based on race, color, national origin, gender, age, or disability.

In addition, the Secretary considers:

(i) The extent to which the services to be provided by the proposed project are appropriate to the needs of the principal candidates or current principals to be served or both.

(ii) The extent to which the training or professional development services to be provided by the proposed project are of sufficient quality, intensity, and duration to lead to improvements in school leadership.

(iii) The extent to which the services to be provided by the proposed project involve the collaboration of appropriate partners for maximizing the effectiveness of project services.

(iv) The extent to which the services to be provided by the proposed project are focused on high-need schools.

##### (d) *Quality of project personnel.* (10 points)

In determining the quality of project personnel, the Secretary considers the extent to which the applicant encourages applications for employment from persons who are members of groups that have traditionally been underrepresented based on race, color, national origin, gender, age, or disability.

In addition, the Secretary considers:

(i) The qualifications, including relevant training and experience, of the project director or principal investigator.

(ii) The qualifications, including relevant training and experience, of key project personnel.

(e) *Adequacy of resources.* (5 points)  
In determining the adequacy of

resources for the proposed project, the Secretary considers the following:

(i) The adequacy of support, including facilities, equipment, supplies, and other resources, from the applicant organization or the lead applicant organization.

(ii) The extent to which the costs are reasonable in relation to the number of persons to be served and to the anticipated results and benefits.

(f) *Quality of the management plan.* (10 points)

In determining the quality of the management plan for the proposed project, the Secretary considers:

(i) The adequacy of the management plan to achieve the objectives of the proposed project on time and within budget, including clearly defined responsibilities, timelines, and milestones for accomplishing project tasks.

(ii) The adequacy of procedures for ensuring feedback and continuous improvement in the operation of the proposed project.

(iii) The extent to which the time commitments of the project director and principal investigator and other key project personnel are appropriate and adequate to meet the objectives of the proposed project.

(g) *Quality of the project evaluation.* (10 points) In determining the quality of the evaluation, the Secretary considers:

(i) The extent to which the methods of evaluation are thorough, feasible, and appropriate to the goals, objectives, and outcomes of the proposed project.

(ii) The extent to which the methods of evaluation include the use of objective performance measures that are clearly related to the intended outcomes of the project and will produce quantitative and qualitative data to the extent possible.

(iii) The extent to which the evaluation will provide guidance about effective strategies suitable for replication or testing in other settings.

**Competitive Preference.** Under 34 CFR 75.105(c)(2)(i) we award up to an additional 10 points to an application, depending on how well the application meets this competitive preference. The priority points are in addition to any points an application earns under the selection criteria for the program.

To promote sustained gains in student achievement, principals today need to be strong leaders and managers. Principals must effectively serve as leaders of the instructional school staff; leaders of the school, family, and business communities; and managers of the human, financial, and material resources of the school. In addition, the very purpose of this program is to help

those schools and LEAs most in need of improved school leadership.

Therefore, a competitive preference is awarded to applications whose projects would both—

(1) Provide comprehensive and sustained training or professional development to current or prospective principals or assistant principals in each of the following three key areas: Instructional leadership, community leadership, and systems management; and,

(2) Support a district-wide effort to improve the academic achievement of students attending schools that are most in need of strong school leaders. For purposes of this program, schools most in need of strong leaders are “high-need schools” as defined in section 2312(3) of the ESEA, i.e., a school that—

(A) Is either located in an area in which the percentage of students from families with incomes below the poverty line is 30 percent or more; or

(B)(i) Is located in an area with a high percentage of out-of-field teachers, as defined in section 2102 of the ESEA;

(ii) Is within the top quartile of elementary schools and secondary schools statewide, as ranked by the number of unfilled, available teacher positions at the school;

(iii) Is located in an area in which there is a high teacher turnover rate; or

(iv) Is located in an area in which there is a high percentage of teachers who are not certified or licensed.

**Eligibility.** An eligible application must propose a project directly benefits one or more named “high-need LEA(s)”. If the applicant is not the LEA(s), the application must contain a letter of support from the LEA(s). As this term is defined in section 2102 (3) of the ESEA, a high-need LEA is an LEA—

1. (a) That serves not fewer than 10,000 children from families with incomes below the poverty line, or (b) for which not less than 20 percent of the children in the area served by the LEA are from families with incomes below the poverty line; and

2. For which there is (a) a high percentage of teachers not teaching in the academic subjects or grade levels the teachers were trained to teach, or (b) a high percentage of teachers with emergency, provisional, or temporary certification or licensing.

Applicants will need to include information in their applications that demonstrates that they, or the LEA(s) with which they will work, meet this definition.

**Note:** For purposes of the four elements of this statutory definition of “high-need LEA”:

1.a. The total number of children in poverty, as referenced above, can be found on

the Census Bureau Web site at: <http://www.census.gov/housing/saie/sd97/>. This site reports the number of children in poverty for every school district in the United States. See the file for your State's data, and find your LEA. The sixth column provides the number of children in poverty.

1.b. LEA poverty rates referenced in 1(b) can be accessed on the Department's Web site at the following address: [www.ed.gov/offices/OESE/reap.html](http://www.ed.gov/offices/OESE/reap.html). See at this address “Instructions and Tools for Submitting Data,” and find “Its Own State Spreadsheet.” Column 11 identifies the percentage of an LEA's children from families below the poverty line. These poverty rates are available for LEAs that are included in the National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) Common Core of Data (CCD).

An LEA not included in the CCD must provide other data, such as the adjusted poverty data that its State used to make its Title I allocations, to demonstrate its eligibility.

2.a. The Department does not have available to it suitable data with which to define a “high percentage” of teachers not teaching in the academic subjects or grade levels the teachers were trained to teach. Therefore, to be eligible to receive an award, LEAs unable to meet the definition immediately below for “high percentage of teachers with emergency, provisional, or temporary certification or licensing” will need to demonstrate to the Department's satisfaction that they have a high percentage of teachers not teaching in the academic subjects or grade levels the teachers were trained to teach. The Department will review this aspect of the applications on a case-by-case basis.

2.b. The best data available to the Department on the percentage of teachers with emergency, provisional, or temporary certification or licensing comes from the reports on the quality of teacher preparation that States provided to the Department in October 2001 under section 207 of the Higher Education Act. Specifically, States provided the percentage of teachers in their LEAs teaching on waivers, both on a statewide basis and in high-poverty LEAs. Based on data from these reports, the national average of teachers on waivers in high-poverty LEAs is approximately 11 percent. The Secretary has determined that it is reasonable to use a percentage that meets or exceeds 11 percent in defining high-need LEA in Title II of the ESEA (under which this program is authorized) to reflect a “high percentage” of teachers teaching with emergency, provisional, or temporary certification or licensing.

#### *Services for Private School Principals and Assistant Principals*

The uniform provisions in Title IX, Part E of the ESEA apply to this program. Among other things, this means that an LEA receiving financial assistance under the School Leadership Program must, after timely and meaningful consultation with private school officials, provide to private school staff professional development

that is equitable to professional development provided with program funds to public school principals and assistant principals (or other public school staff whom the project serves). See section 9501 of the ESEA.

#### Limitation on Indirect Cost

The success of the School Leadership Program will depend upon how well grantees produce highly qualified principals and assistant principals who will work and stay in high-need schools. If the program is to achieve its purposes, we need to ensure that the \$10 million appropriation is used as effectively as possible. To do so, it is necessary to place a reasonable limitation on the amount of program funds that grant recipients may use to reimburse themselves for the "indirect costs" of program activities. Therefore, the Secretary has decided to establish a reasonable limit of eight percent on the indirect cost rate that all program recipients may charge to funds provided under this program.

The School Leadership Program does not fund activities that are strictly "educational training projects" within the meaning of section 75.562 of EDGAR, to which this eight-percent limitation already expressly applies. However, for reasons we have provided in a limited number of other competitive grant programs that focus on improving teacher quality or helping students in high-need schools achieve academically, we believe that a similar limitation on a recipient's indirect costs is necessary here to ensure that program funds are used to secure the school leaders that Congress had intended. See, e.g., (1) the analysis of EDGAR for proposed 34 CFR 611.61 that governs the Teacher Quality Enhancement Grant programs, authorized by Title II, Part A of the Higher Education Act (65 FR 6936, 6940 (February 11, 2000)); and (2) requirements for the FY 2001 grants competition under the Transition to Teaching program authorized in the FY 2001 Department of Education Appropriations Act, Pub. L. 106-554 (66 FR 19673, 19676-77 (April 16, 2002)).

*For Applications Contact:* Education Publications Center (ED Pubs), PO Box 1398, Jessup, MD 20794-1398. Telephone (toll free): 1-877-433-7827. FAX: (301) 470-1244. If you use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) you may call (toll free): 1-877-576-7734.

You may also contact ED Pubs at its Web site: <http://www.ed.gov/about/ordering.jsp>.

Or you may contact ED Pubs at its e-mail address: [edpubs@inet.ed.gov](mailto:edpubs@inet.ed.gov).

If you request an application from ED Pubs, be sure to identify this competition as follows: CFDA number 84.363A.

*For Technical Assistance Contact:* Susan Toy, U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue, SW, Room 3E224, Washington, DC 20202-6140. Telephone: (202) 260-0995 or via Internet: [Susan.Toy@ed.gov](mailto:Susan.Toy@ed.gov).

#### Electronic Access to This Document

You may view this document, as well as all other Department of Education documents published in the **Federal Register**, in text or Adobe Portable Document Format (PDF) on the Internet at the following site: <http://www.ed.gov/legislation/FedRegister/>.

To use PDF you must have Adobe Acrobat Reader, which is available free at this site. If you have questions about using PDF, call the U.S. Government Printing Office (GPO), toll free, at 1-888-293-6498; or in the Washington, DC area at (202) 512-1530.

Individuals with disabilities may obtain this document in an alternative format (e.g., Braille, large print, audiotape, or computer diskette) on request using the contact information provided under *For Applications Contact*.

**Note:** The official version of this document is the document published in the **Federal Register**. Free Internet access to the official edition of the **Federal Register** and the Code of Federal Regulations is available on GPO access at: <http://www.access.gpo.gov/nara/index.html>.

**Program Authority:** 20 U.S.C 6651(b).

Dated: May 17, 2002.

**Susan B. Neuman,**

*Assistant Secretary for Elementary and Secondary Education.*

[FR Doc. 02-13022 Filed 5-22-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4000-01-P**

#### DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

[CFDA No.: 84.330C]

#### Office of Elementary and Secondary Education—Advanced Placement Incentive (API) Program; Notice Inviting Applications for New Awards for Fiscal Year (FY) 2002 for the API Program

*Purpose of Program:* The API program, funded under section 1705 of Title I, Part G of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965, as amended by the No Child Left Behind Act of 2001 (ESEA), awards competitive grants designed to increase the successful participation of low-income students in pre-advanced placement and

advanced placement courses and tests. Through increased access to and participation in pre-advanced placement and advanced placement courses and tests, the program provides greater opportunities for low-income students to achieve to high standards in English, mathematics, science, and other core subjects. Additional long-term goals of the program are to demonstrate that larger and more diverse groups of students can participate and succeed in advanced placement programs and to increase the numbers of low-income and other disadvantaged students who receive baccalaureate and advanced degrees.

*Eligible Applicants:* (a) State educational agencies (SEAs); (b) local educational agencies (LEAs); or (c) national nonprofit educational entities with expertise in advanced placement services. In the case of an eligible entity that is an SEA, the SEA may use API grant funds to award subgrants to LEAs to enable those LEAs to carry out activities authorized under this program.

**Note:** The Bureau of Indian Affairs is considered an SEA for purposes of this program.

*Applications Available:* May 23, 2002.

*Deadline for Transmittal of Applications:* July 8, 2002.

*Deadline for Intergovernmental Review:* September 5, 2002.

*Estimated Available Funds:* Approximately \$2,000,000.

**Note:** In accordance with statutory requirements, this amount is based on the amount of funds the Secretary estimates will be available after the Department has awarded grants under the Advanced Placement Test Fee program competition, which is being announced separately under CFDA No. 84.330B.

*Estimated Range of Awards:* \$100,000 to \$600,000 per year.

*Estimated Average Size of Awards:* \$350,000 per year.

*Estimated Number of Awards:* 5-15.

**Note:** These estimates are projections for the guidance of potential applicants. The Department is not bound by any estimates in this notice.

*Project Period:* Up to 36 months.

*E-Mail Notification of Intent to Apply for Funding:* The Department will be able to develop a more efficient process for reviewing grant applications if it has a better understanding of the number of entities that intend to apply for funding under this competition. Therefore, the Secretary strongly encourages each potential applicant for the API program to notify the Department by e-mail that it intends to submit an application for funding. The Secretary requests that this