

*Division of Employee Assistance  
Program Services (PGC)*

Designs and delivers comprehensive employee assistance program (EAP) services throughout the Nation to assist clients with the complete spectrum of personal problems and workplace issues. Services are aimed at promoting healthy work and lifestyle habits and detecting and intervening in those conditions that are deleterious to wellness and productivity. Specifically: (1) Adopts standards of practice, protocols, and procedures by which EAP services are provided that meet or exceed the highest standards established by professional bodies representing appropriate EAP disciplines; (2) maintains a formal, written system of ancillary program policies to ensure that EAP services are delivered to all clients in accordance with interagency agreements, regardless of location or actual provider of service; (3) conducts periodic reviews and program audits, and uses total quality management/continuous quality improvement techniques to assure that the highest quality EAP services are delivered in a compliant, effective, efficient, and consistent manner; (4) conducts applied research, training, and demonstration projects to address EAP needs, including specific programs requested by clients; (5) designs and specialized EAP agency standards, programs, and facility and workplace designs for clients with special needs; (6) develops and implements methods for evaluation of EAP services; (7) maintains EAP services information and records; and (8) assures that all EAP services have been fully reimbursed by customers.

*Division of Environmental Health  
Services (PGE)*

Designs and delivers comprehensive occupational health (EH) services throughout the Nation to assist clients with the complete spectrum of EH concerns. Services are aimed at promoting healthy work and lifestyle habits and detecting and intervening in those conditions which are deleterious to wellness and productivity. Specifically: (1) Adopts standards of practice, protocols, and procedures by which EH services are provided that meet or exceed the highest standards established by professional bodies representing appropriate EH disciplines; (2) maintains a formal, written system of ancillary program policies to ensure that EH services are delivered to all clients in accordance with interagency agreements, regardless of location or actual provider of service; (3) conducts periodic reviews and program audits,

and uses total quality management/continuous quality improvement techniques to assure that the highest quality EH services are delivered in a compliant, effective, efficient, and consistent manner; (4) conducts applied research, training, and demonstration projects to address EH needs, including specific programs requested by clients; (5) designs and specialized EH agency standards, programs, and facility and workplace designs for clients with special needs; (6) develops methods for evaluation of EH services and conducts such evaluations on request; (7) maintains the EH services information and records; and (8) assures that all clinical consultation and services have been fully reimbursed by customers.

Dated: March 19, 2002.

**Curtis L. Coy,**

*Director, Program Support Center.*

[FR Doc. 02-7182 Filed 3-25-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4168-17-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

[Docket No. FR-4463-N-09]

### Notice of FHA Debenture Call

**AGENCY:** Office of the Assistant Secretary for Housing-Federal Housing Commissioner, HUD.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** This Notice announces a debenture recall of certain Federal Housing Administration (FHA) debentures, in accordance with authority provided in the National Housing Act.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Richard Keyser, Room 3119P, L'Enfant Plaza, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20410, telephone (202) 755-7510, X137. This is not a toll-free number.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** In accordance with sections 204(c) and 207(j) of the National Housing Act, 12 U.S.C. 1710(c) and 1713(j), and in accordance with HUD's regulation at 24 CFR 203.409 and 207.259(e)(3), the Federal Housing Commissioner, with the approval of the Secretary of the Treasury, announces the call of all FHA debentures, with a coupon rate of 7.125 percent or above, except for those debentures subject to "debenture lock agreements", that have been registered on the books of the Bureau of Public Debt, Department of the Treasury, and are, therefore, "outstanding" as of March 29, 2002. The date of the call is July 1, 2002.

The debentures will be redeemed at par plus accrued interest. Interest will cease to accrue on the debentures as of the call date. Final interest on any called debentures will be paid with the principal at redemption.

During the period from the date of this Notice to the call date, debentures that are subject to the call may not be used by the mortgagee for a special redemption purchase in payment of a mortgage insurance premium.

No transfer of debentures covered by the foregoing call will be made on the books maintained by the Treasury Department on or after May 15, 2002. This does not affect the right of the holder of a debenture to sell or assign the debenture on or after this date. Payment of final principal and interest due on July 1, 2002, will be made automatically to the registered holder.

Dated: March 20, 2002.

**John C. Weicher,**

*Assistant Secretary for Housing, Federal Housing Commissioner.*

[FR Doc. 02-7246 Filed 3-25-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4210-27-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

[Docket No. FR-4572-D-20]

### Delegation of Authority to Regional Directors

**AGENCY:** Office of the Secretary, HUD.

**ACTION:** Notice of Delegation of Authority to Regional Directors in the HUD Regional Offices.

**SUMMARY:** In this notice the Deputy Secretary, through the Assistant Deputy Secretary for Field Policy and Management, delegates operational management authority to the HUD Regional Directors. The delegation provides the authority necessary to manage programs and resources located in HUD regional and field offices nationwide. Currently the Regional Directors are located in Region I (Boston, MA); Region II (New York, NY); Region III (Philadelphia, PA); Region IV (Atlanta, GA); Region V (Chicago, IL); Region VI (Ft. Worth, TX); Region VII (Kansas City, KS); Region VIII (Denver, CO); Region IX (San Francisco, CA); and Region X (Seattle, WA). Pursuant to this authority, HUD Regional Directors are delegated specific authorities pertaining to cross program coordination, personnel management, administrative management, resource management, and representation regarding matters under their respective jurisdictions. Except as otherwise specified, Regional Directors

are authorized to redelegate operational management authority to Field Office Directors under their respective jurisdictions. In accordance with this delegation, performance elements will be standardized for all field managers and supervisors. In addition to overall management and supervision, the elements shall include communication, customer service, representation, equal employment opportunity, and a subject-matter specific critical element. Regional Directors are also authorized to provide input into the performance assessment of program managers in their jurisdictions. To the extent practicable, 40% weight will be given to the assessment provided by the Regional Director. This delegation further authorizes the Regional Directors to enter into co-sponsorship agreements with the concurrence of the General Counsel and the relevant program Assistant Secretary or equivalent. Under this delegation, the title of the ten Field Assistant General Counsel is changed to Regional Counsel.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** March 18, 2002.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Bob Etchison, Office of Field Policy and Management, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street, SW, Washington, DC 20410, (202) 708-1123. (This is not a toll-free number.) This number may be accessed via TTY by calling the Federal Information Relay Service at 1-800-877-8339. Comments or questions can be submitted through the Internet to [Bob—Etchison@hud.gov](mailto:Bob—Etchison@hud.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** These Delegations of Authority are designed to improve efficiency, effectiveness, and accountability of management operations. They are intended to provide a managerial framework, promote customer service, and encourage coordination among and within the field offices.

Accordingly, the Deputy Secretary, through the Assistant Deputy Secretary for Field Policy and Management, delegates to each Regional Director authority within his or her respective jurisdiction as follows:

#### Section A: Authority Delegated

1. *Cross-Program Coordination.* Each Regional Director is delegated the following duties to aid cooperation among different programs, program directors, and offices:

- Developing and implementing Management Plans;
- Coordinating cross-program projects and Field Office Quality Management Reviews;
- Preparing briefing papers and hot issues documents;

- Leading disaster relief efforts; and
- Consulting with program directors on major program decisions. Regional Directors can trigger Headquarter's review of decisions made by program directors. Where the Regional Director and relevant program director disagree regarding a major program decision, the Assistant Deputy Secretary for Field Policy and Management may raise the matter with the relevant Assistant Secretary or equivalent. The relevant Assistant Secretary or equivalent makes the final determination, subject to review by the Deputy Secretary, if necessary.

2. *Personnel Management.* Each Regional Director is delegated the following personnel management responsibilities for field offices within his or her jurisdiction:

- Providing input on the performance ratings of managers and supervisors within the region. To the extent practicable, 40% weight will be given to the assessment provided by the Regional Director. The performance for the Directors of the Homeownership Centers; Troubled Agency Recovery Centers; Administrative Service Centers; Satellite Field Directors of the Departmental Enforcement Centers; and the Regional Counsel will be evaluated within their respective organizations, with consultation provided by the Assistant Deputy Secretary for Field Policy and Management;

- Approving short-term details across program area lines (up to sixty days);
- Approving leave requests for managers and supervisors;
- Regional Directors are authorized to serve as part of the recommending and hiring process for managers and supervisors within their respective jurisdictions. The Assistant Secretary, or equivalent, makes the final selection regarding their managers and supervisors;
- Acting as supervisor, or when necessary assigning a supervisor, to out-stationed staff; and
- Managing and conducting labor/management relations.

3. *Administrative Management.* Each Regional Director is delegated the following administrative duties for field offices under his or her jurisdiction:

- Determining official office hours, opening, closing, and emergency procedures.

4. *Resource Management.* Each Regional Director is delegated the following resource allocation responsibilities under his or her jurisdiction:

- Managing the administrative budget, e.g., training, equipment, etc.;

- Approving program travel requests; and

- Redistributing up to 20% of travel funds among program areas.

5. *Representation.* Each Regional Director is delegated the following duties as the Region's primary contact person and HUD representative regarding:

- Developing and maintaining the lead point of contact with local officials;
- Maintaining the role as principal point of contact with industry groups;
- Managing all inquiries and correspondence, including Freedom of Information Act requests, Congressional and Intergovernmental communications;
- Responding to all media inquiries in conjunction with Headquarters and the Office of Public Affairs;
- Administering the local office's web page and internet sources;
- Monitoring and evaluating customer service; and
- Entering into co-sponsorship agreements, with the concurrence of the General Counsel and the relevant program Assistant Secretary or equivalent.

#### Section B: Authority Revoked

The redelegations of authority from the Assistant Secretaries to the Secretary's Representatives pertaining to the waiver of program directives and handbook provisions are revoked. These redelegations were published in the **Federal Register** at 61 FR 35800 (July 8, 1996) PIH; 61 FR 35801 (July 8, 1996) Housing; 61 FR 35802 (July 8, 1996) CPD; and 61 FR 35803 (July 8, 1996) FHEO. Today's delegation also revokes the further redelegations from the Secretary Representative to the Southeast/Caribbean State and Area Coordinators published in the **Federal Register** at 61 FR 59236 (November 21, 1996) Housing; 61 FR 59236 (November 21, 1996) PIH; 61 FR 59237 (November 21, 1996) FHEO; and FR 59237 (November 21, 1996) CPD. All previous delegated or redelegated authority inconsistent with this Delegation of Authority is hereby revoked.

#### Section C: Authority To Redelegate

This authority may be redelegated, as appropriate, from Regional Directors to Field Office Directors within their respective jurisdictions. The authority to enter into co-sponsorship agreements may not be redelegated below the level of Regional Director.

**Authority:** Section 7(d) of the Department of HUD Act, 42 U.S.C. 3535(d); 66 FR 18790, Delegation of Concurrent Authority to the Deputy Secretary (April 11, 2001).

Dated: March 18, 2002.

**Alphonso Jackson,**

*Deputy Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 02-7245 Filed 3-25-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4210-32-P

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Fish and Wildlife Service

#### Migratory Bird Hunting; Draft Environmental Impact Statement on Resident Canada Goose Management

**AGENCY:** Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of meetings.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) has prepared a Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) on resident Canada goose management which is available for public review. The DEIS analyzes the potential environmental impacts of several management alternatives for addressing problems associated with overabundant resident Canada goose populations. The Service is issuing this notice to invite further public participation in the review process, identify the location, date, and time of public hearings, and identify the Service official to whom questions and comments may be directed.

**DATES:** Written comments regarding the DEIS should be submitted by May 30, 2002, to the address below. Dates for eleven public scoping meetings are identified in the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section.

**ADDRESSES:** Requests for copies of the DEIS should be mailed to Chief, Division of Migratory Bird Management, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Department of the Interior, ms 634—ARLSQ, 1849 C Street NW., Washington, DC 20240. Comments on the DEIS should be sent to the above address. Copies of the DEIS can be downloaded from the Division of Migratory Bird Management web site at <http://migratorybirds.fws.gov> Comments on the DEIS should be sent to the above address. Alternatively, comments may be submitted electronically to the following address: [canada\\_goose\\_eis@fws.gov](mailto:canada_goose_eis@fws.gov). Locations for eleven public hearings are identified in the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Jon Andrew, Chief, Division of Migratory Bird Management, (703) 358-1714; or Ronald Kokel (703) 358-1714.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On August 19, 1999, a notice was published in the

**Federal Register** (64 FR 45269) announcing that the Service intended to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement for resident Canada goose management. On March 1, 2002, the Environmental Protection Agency notified the public of the availability of the DEIS in the **Federal Register** (67 FR 9448). In the March 1, 2002 notice, we indicated that the comment period would end on May 30, 2002. This notice is provided pursuant to Fish and Wildlife Service regulations for implementing the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (40 CFR 1506.6).

#### Public Scoping Meetings

Eleven public hearings will be held on the following dates at the indicated locations and times:

1. April 1, 2002; Dallas, Texas, at the Hyatt Regency Downtown, 300 Reunion Boulevard, 7 p.m.
2. April 23, 2002; Palatine, Illinois, at the Holiday Inn Express, 1550 E. Dundee Road, 7 p.m.
3. April 24, 2002; Waupun, Wisconsin, at the Waupun High School, 801 E. Lincoln, 7 p.m.
4. May 7 2002; Franklin, Tennessee, at Franklin Cool Springs Marriott, 700 Cool Springs Blvd., 7 p.m.
5. May 14, 2002; Bloomington, Minnesota, at the Minnesota Valley National Wildlife Refuge Visitors Center, 3815 East 80th Street, 7 p.m.
6. May 15, 2002; Brookings, South Dakota, at Brookings Area Multiplex, 824 32nd Avenue, 7 p.m.
7. May 20, 2002; Richmond, Virginia, at the Comfort Inn Conference Center, 3200 W. Broad Street, 7 p.m.
8. May 21, 2002; Danbury, Connecticut, at the Holiday Inn, 80 Newtown Road, 7 p.m.
9. May 22, 2002; North Brunswick, New Jersey, at the Ramada Inn, 999 U.S. Route 1 South, 7 p.m.
10. May 29, 2002; Denver, Colorado, at the Colorado Department of Wildlife, Northeast Region Service Center, Hunter Education Building, 6060 Broadway, 7 p.m.
11. May 30, 2002; Bellevue, Washington, at the DoubleTree Hotel, 300—112th Avenue S.E., 7 p.m.

In order to be considered, comments must include your name and postal mailing address; we will not consider anonymous comments. All comments received, including names and addresses, will become part of the public record. The public may inspect comments during normal business hours in Room 634—Arlington Square Building, 4401 N. Fairfax Drive, Arlington, Virginia. Requests for such comments will be handled in

accordance with the Freedom of Information Act and the Council on Environmental Quality's National Environmental Policy Act regulations (40 CFR 1506.6(f)). Our practice is to make comments available for public review during regular business hours. Individual respondents may request that we withhold their home address from the record, which we will honor to the extent allowable by law. If a respondent wishes us to withhold his/her name and/or address, this must be stated prominently at the beginning of the comment.

The DEIS evaluates alternative strategies to reduce, manage, and control resident Canada goose populations in the continental United States and to reduce goose-related damages. The objective of the DEIS is to provide a regulatory mechanism that would allow State and local agencies, other Federal agencies, and groups and individuals to respond to damage complaints or damages by resident Canada geese. The DEIS is a comprehensive programmatic plan intended to guide and direct resident Canada goose population growth and management activities in the conterminous United States. The DEIS analyzes seven management alternatives: (1) No Action (Alternative A); (2) Increase Use of Nonlethal Control and Management (excludes all permitted activities) (Alternative B); (3) Increase Use of Nonlethal Control and Management (continued permitting of those activities generally considered nonlethal) (Alternative C); (4) New Regulatory Options to Expand Hunting Methods and Opportunities (Alternative D); (5) Integrated Depredation Order Management (consisting of an Airport Depredation Order, a Nest and Egg Depredation Order, a Agricultural Depredation Order, and a Public Health Depredation Order) (Alternative E); (6) State Empowerment (PROPOSED ACTION) (Alternative F); and (7) General Depredation Order (Alternative G). Alternatives were analyzed with regard to their potential impacts on resident Canada geese, other wildlife species, natural resources, special status species, socioeconomics, historical resources, and cultural resources.

Our proposed action (Alternative F) would establish a regulation authorizing State wildlife agencies (or their authorized agents) to conduct (or allow) management activities, including the take of birds, on resident Canada goose populations. Alternative F would authorize indirect and/or direct population control strategies such as aggressive harassment, nest and egg destruction, gosling and adult trapping