

7306.50.50, 7306.60.70, 7306.90.10. The HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and Customs purposes. The written description remains dispositive.

#### Background

On August 31, 1999, the petitioner in this case, North Star Steel Ohio (North Star) requested an administrative review of OCTG produced or exported by Siderca, an Argentine producer and exporter of OCTG, or any other affiliated party. The antidumping duty order was published in the **Federal Register** on August 11, 1995 (60 FR 41055). On October 4, 1999, the Department issued a questionnaire to Siderca (see Letter from International Trade Administration to Siderca). On November 1, 1999, counsel for the petitioner, also requested that the Department conduct a duty absorption review to determine whether Siderca S.A.I.C. has absorbed antidumping duties in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(j)(1)–(2) (1999).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** In its October 7, 1999 response to petitioner's request for review and the subsequent issuance of the Department's questionnaire, Siderca certified that "it did not, directly or indirectly, enter for consumption, or sell, export, or ship for entry for consumption in the United States subject merchandise during the POR." In addition, Siderca's U.S. affiliate, Siderca Corporation (which handles Siderca's merchandise in the United States) certified that it "did not sell, enter, or otherwise import for consumption into the United States, directly or indirectly, any of the subject merchandise during the POR." See Letter from Counsel for Siderca S.A.I.C. to the Secretary, October 7, 1999, p. 2.

On November 8, 1999, the Department requested information from Customs on those entries identified as consumption entries from Argentina in the Census proprietary entry-specific database. In its response of January 12, 2000, Customs provided documentation showing that there was only one entry subject to the antidumping case on OCTG from Argentina. The remaining entries covered shipments of mechanical tubing and boiler tubing, merchandise not subject to the antidumping duty order on oil country tubular goods. The one entry for consumption, nonseamless (welded) oil tubing classified under HTSUS 7306.20.60.50, was not produced by Siderca.

Based on the foregoing, there is no evidence that Siderca made any U.S. consumption entries of Argentine OCTG during the POR. The Department, therefore, determines that no subject

merchandise produced or exported by Siderca was entered into the United States for consumption during the POR and, thus, there are no entries subject to review.

Because Siderca was the only firm for which a review was requested and it had no U.S. entries for consumption of covered merchandise during the POR, there is no basis for continuing this administrative review. We, therefore, are rescinding this review in accordance with § 351.213(d)(3) of the Department's regulations. The cash deposit rate for all firms will continue to be the rate established in the most recently completed segment of this proceeding (i.e., 1.36 percent).

This administrative review and notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)) and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: February 15, 2000.

**Joseph A. Spetrini,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for AD/CVD Enforcement Group III.*

[FR Doc. 00-4249 Filed 2-22-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-489-805]

#### Certain Pasta from Turkey: Notice of Initiation of New Shipper Antidumping Duty Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce ("the Department") has received a request for new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on certain pasta from Turkey. In accordance with our regulations, we are initiating this new shipper review.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** February 23, 2000.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** John Brinkmann or Cindy Robinson at (202) 482-4126 or 482-3797, respectively; AD/CVD Enforcement, Office VI, Group II, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230.

#### Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 ("the Act") by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, unless

otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations refer to the regulations codified at 19 CFR part 351 (1999).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

The Department has received a request from a pasta producer and its affiliated exporter in Turkey, Beslen Makarna Gida Sanayi ve Ticaret A.S., and Beslen Pazariarma Gida Sanayi ve Ticaret A.S., respectively (collectively "Beslen"), to conduct a new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on certain pasta from Turkey, issued July 24, 1996 (61 FR 38545). This request was made pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(b).

##### Initiation of Review

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.214(b), in its request of January 27, 2000, Beslen certified that it did not export the subject merchandise to the United States during the period of investigation ("POI") (May 1, 1994 through April 30, 1995) and that it is not now, and never has been affiliated with any exporter or producer who exported the subject merchandise to the United States during the POI. Beslen submitted documentation establishing the date on which its merchandise was first entered for consumption in the United States, the volume of that first shipment and the date of its first sale to an unaffiliated customer in the United States.

In accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Act and section 351.214(d) of the Department's regulations, we are initiating a new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on certain pasta from Turkey. In accordance with 19 CFR 351.214(h)(i), we intend to issue the preliminary results of this review not later than 180 days from the date of publication of this notice. The standard period of review in a new shipper review initiated in the month immediately following the semiannual anniversary month is the six-month period immediately preceding the semiannual anniversary month.

Antidumping duty proceeding	Period to be reviewed
Turkey: Certain Pasta, A-489-805: Beslen Makarna Gida Sanayi ve Ticaret A.S. ....	07/01/99-12/31/99

Concurrent with publication of this notice, and in accordance with 19 CFR 351.214(e), we will instruct the Customs Service to allow, at the option of the

importer, the posting of a bond or security in lieu of a cash deposit for each entry of the merchandise exported by the company listed above, until the completion of the review.

Interested parties may submit applications for disclosure under administrative protective order in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305.

This initiation notice is in accordance with section 751(a) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)) and 19 CFR 351.214.

Dated: February 11, 2000.

**Holly A. Kuga,**

*Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, Group II.*

[FR Doc. 00-4251 Filed 2-22-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

#### Export Trade Certificate of Review

**ACTION:** Notice of Application to Amend Certificate.

**SUMMARY:** The Office of Export Trading Company Affairs ("OETCA"), International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce, has received an application to amend an Export Trade Certificate of Review ("Certificate"). This notice summarizes the proposed amendment and requests comments relevant to whether the Certificate should be issued.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Morton Schnabel, Director, Office of Export Trading Company Affairs, International Trade Administration, (202) 482-5131. This is not a toll-free number.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Title III of the Export Trading Company Act of 1982 (15 U.S.C. 4001-21) authorizes the Secretary of Commerce to issue Export Trade Certificates of Review. An Export Trade Certificate of Review protects the holder and the members identified in the Certificate from state and federal government antitrust actions and from private treble damage antitrust actions for the export conduct specified in the Certificate and carried out in compliance with its terms and conditions. Section 302(b)(1) of the Export Trading Company Act of 1982 and 15 CFR 325.6(a) require the Secretary to publish a notice in the **Federal Register** identifying the applicant and summarizing its proposed export conduct.

#### Request for Public Comments

Interested parties may submit written comments relevant to the determination

whether an amended Certificate should be issued. If the comments include any privileged or confidential business information, it must be clearly marked and a nonconfidential version of the comments (identified as such) should be included. Any comments not marked privileged or confidential business information will be deemed to be nonconfidential. An original and five copies, plus two copies of the nonconfidential version, should be submitted no later than 20 days after the date of this notice to: Office of Export Trading Company Affairs, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce, Room 1104H, Washington DC 20230. Information submitted by any person is exempt from disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552). However, nonconfidential versions of the comments will be made available to the applicant if necessary for determining whether or not to issue the certificate. Comments should refer to this application as "Export Trade Certificate of Review, application number 94-3A007."

Florida Citrus Exports, L.C.'s original Certificate was issued on February 23, 1995 (60 FR 12735, March 8, 1995), and lastly amended on May 5, 1998 (63 FR 25833, May 11, 1998). A summary of the application for an amendment follows.

#### Summary of the Application

*Applicant:* Florida Citrus Exports, L.C. ("FCE"), c/o Mr. Charles Sanders, Jr., Attorney at Law, 1485 50th Court, Vero Beach, Florida 32966.

*Contact:* Charles Sanders, Jr., Telephone: (561) 770-4685.

*Application No.:* 94-3A007.

*Date Deemed Submitted:* February 8, 2000.

*Proposed Amendment:* FCE seeks to amend its Certificate to:

(1) Add the following companies as new "Members" of the Certificate within the meaning of section 325.2(1) of the Regulations (15 CFR 325.2(1)): Harbor Island Citrus, Inc., Vero Beach, FL (Controlling Entity: First Atlantic Citrus, Inc., Vero Beach, FL); Minton Sun, Inc., Ft. Pierce, FL (Controlling Entity: Triple M Investment Company, Ft. Pierce, FL) and Seald Sweet LLC, Vero Beach, FL and

(2) Change the listing of the name of the "Member" Florida Fresh Citrus Sales, Inc. to River One International Marketing, Inc to reflect the current name of the corporation.

Dated: February 15, 2000.

**Morton Schnabel,**

*Director, Office of Export Trading Company Affairs.*

[FR Doc. 00-4137 Filed 2-22-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DR-P

## DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

### Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

**AGENCY:** Department of Education.

**SUMMARY:** The Leader, Information Management Group, Office of the Chief Information Officer invites comments on the submission for OMB review as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

**DATES:** Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before March 24, 2000.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments should be addressed to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention: Danny Werfel, Desk Officer, Department of Education, Office of Management and Budget, 725 17th Street, NW, Room 10235, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503 or should be electronically mailed to the internet address DWERFEL@OMB.EOP.GOV.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Section 3506 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35) requires that the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) provide interested Federal agencies and the public an early opportunity to comment on information collection requests. OMB may amend or waive the requirement for public consultation to the extent that public participation in the approval process would defeat the purpose of the information collection, violate State or Federal law, or substantially interfere with any agency's ability to perform its statutory obligations. The Leader, Information Management Group, Office of the Chief Information Officer, publishes that notice containing proposed information collection requests prior to submission of these requests to OMB. Each proposed information collection, grouped by office, contains the following: (1) Type of review requested, e.g. new, revision, extension, existing or reinstatement; (2) Title; (3) Summary of the collection; (4) Description of the need for, and proposed use of, the information; (5) Respondents and frequency of collection; and (6) Reporting and/or Recordkeeping burden. OMB invites public comment.