

valorem duties at the PRC-wide rate. Because the PRC-wide entity was not reviewed during this POR, the PRC-wide rate remains that established in the less-than-fair-value investigation. For entries made by PRC companies for which the Department has rescinded the administrative review (*i.e.*, Chen Fu, Longjing and ZLAP), Customs shall assess ad valorem duties at the rates applicable at the time of entry.

#### Cash Deposit Requirements

The following deposit rates shall be required for merchandise subject to the order entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of these final results of administrative and new shipper reviews, as provided by section 751(a)(1) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for each reviewed company will be the rate indicated above; (2) the cash deposit rate for PRC exporters who received a separate rate in a prior segment of the proceeding but for whom the Department has rescinded the review (*i.e.*, Longjing and ZLAP) or of whom the review was not requested for this POR will continue to be the rate assigned in that segment of the proceeding; (3) the cash deposit rate for the PRC NME entity (*i.e.*, all other exporters, including Chen Fu, which have not been reviewed) will continue to be 43.32 percent; and (4) the cash deposit rate for non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise from the PRC will be the rate applicable to the PRC supplier of that exporter. These deposit requirements shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an

APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing this determination and notice in accordance with sections section 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213.

Dated: October 24, 2000.

**Troy H. Cribb,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

#### Appendix—Issues in Decision Memo

##### Comments

1. Data from Another Segment of the Proceeding
2. Request for Verification
3. Applying the Separate Rates Test to Laizhou Hongda
4. Treatment of Laizhou Hongda's U.S. Sales
5. Factor Allocation Methodology Used by Respondents Which Also Produce Non-Subject Merchandise
6. Considering the Use of Submitted Surrogate Values
7. Surrogate Value Selection for Plastic Bags
8. Calculation of the Surrogate Profit Ratio
9. Surrogate Value Selection for Firewood
10. Surrogate Value Selection for Labor
11. Surrogate Value Selection for Foreign Inland Freight

[FR Doc. 00-27813 Filed 10-27-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-U**

#### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

##### International Trade Administration

[A-570-848]

#### Notice of Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of New-Shipper Antidumping Review: Freshwater Crawfish Tail Meat From the People's Republic of China

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** October 30, 2000.

##### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Christian Hughes or Maureen Flannery, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4106 and (202) 482-3020, respectively.

##### The Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act) are to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to 19 CFR part 351 (1999).

#### Background

On June 1, 2000, the Department published a notice of initiation of new shipper administrative reviews of the antidumping duty order on Freshwater Crawfish Tail Meat from the People's Republic of China, covering the period September 1, 1999 through February 29, 2000 (65 FR 35046). The preliminary results are currently due no later than November 21, 2000.

#### Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results

Because of the complexities enumerated in the Memorandum from Barbara E. Tillman to Joseph A. Spetrini, Extension of Time Limit for the Preliminary Results of New Shipper Review of Freshwater Crawfish Tail Meat from the People's Republic of China, dated October 24, 2000, we find this case is extraordinarily complicated and thus are unable to complete this review by the scheduled deadline. Therefore, in accordance with section 351.214(i)(2) of the Department's regulations, the Department is extending the time period for issuing the preliminary results of review by 120 days (*i.e.*, until March 21, 2001).

Dated: October 24, 2000.

**Edward C. Yang,**

*Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary, AD/CVD Enforcement Group III.*

[FR Doc. 00-27811 Filed 10-27-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

#### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

##### International Trade Administration

[A-588-810]

#### Mechanical Transfer Presses From Japan: Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of extension of time limit for preliminary results of administrative review.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** October 30, 2000.

##### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mark Hoadley, AD/CVD Enforcement, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington DC 20230; telephone: (202)482-0666.

##### The Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to

the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Act) by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to the current regulations, codified at 19 CFR part 351 (1999).

### Background

On February 29, 2000, the Department of Commerce (the Department) received a request from Komatsu, Ltd. (Komatsu) for an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on mechanical transfer presses from Japan. On March 30, 2000, the Department published a notice of initiation of this administrative review covering the period of February 1, 1999 through January 31, 2000 (65 FR 16875).

### Extension of Time Limits for Preliminary Results

Because of the complexities enumerated in the *Memorandum from Barbara E. Tillman to Joseph A. Spetrini, Extension of Time Limit for the Administrative Review of Mechanical Transfer Presses from Japan*, dated October 24, 2000, it is not practicable to complete this review within the time limits mandated by section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

Therefore, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A), the Department is extending the time limits for the preliminary results to February 28, 2001. The final results continue to be due 120 days after the publication of the preliminary results.

Dated: October 24, 2000.

**Edward C. Yang,**

*Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary, AD/CVD Enforcement Group III.*

[FR Doc. 00-27814 Filed 10-27-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-201-817]

### Oil Country Tubular Goods ("OCTG") From Mexico; Preliminary Results of Sunset Review of Antidumping Duty Order

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of Preliminary Results of Full Sunset Review: Oil Country Tubular Goods ("OCTG") from Mexico.

**SUMMARY:** On July 3, 2000, the Department of Commerce ("the

Department") initiated a sunset review of the antidumping duty order on oil country tubular goods ("OCTG") from Mexico (65 FR 41053) pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"). On the basis of substantive responses filed by domestic and respondent interested parties, the Department determined to conduct a full review. As a result of this review, the Department preliminarily finds that revocation of the antidumping duty order would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the levels indicated in the *Preliminary Results of Review* section of this notice.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** October 30, 2000.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** John P. Maloney, Jr. or James P. Maeder, Office of Policy for Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-1503 or (202) 482-3330, respectively.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

### Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Act are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act ("URAA"). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department regulations are to 19 CFR Part 351 (2000). Guidance on methodological or analytical issues relevant to the Department's conduct of sunset reviews is set forth in the Department's Policy Bulletin 98.3—*Policies Regarding the Conduct of Five-Year ("Sunset") Reviews of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders; Policy Bulletin*, 63 FR 18871 (April 16, 1998) ("*Sunset Policy Bulletin*").

### Background

On July 3, 2000, the Department initiated a sunset review of the antidumping duty order on OCTG from Mexico (65 FR 41053), pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act. The Department received a notice of intent to participate on behalf of U.S. Steel Group, a unit of USX Corporation, North Star Steel Ohio, IPSCO Tubulars, Inc., Lone Star Steel Company, Maverick Tube Corporation, Newport Steel and Koppel Steel Divisions of NS Group, and Grant-Prideco ("domestic interested parties"), within the applicable deadline (July 18, 2000) specified in section 351.218(d)(1)(i) of the *Sunset Regulations*. On August 2, 2000, respondent interested parties Tubos de

Acero de Mexico, S.A. ("TAMSA") and Hylsa, S.A. de C.V. ("Hylsa") notified the Department of their intent to participate in this review. Domestic interested parties claimed interested-party status under section 771(9)(C) of the Act, as the U.S. producers of a domestic like product; TAMSA and Hylsa are interested parties pursuant to section 771(9)(A) of the Act as foreign producers and exporters of subject merchandise.

On August 2, 2000, we received complete substantive responses from domestic interested parties, within the 30-day deadline specified in the *Sunset Regulations* under section 351.218(d)(3)(i). On August 2, 2000, we received complete substantive responses from TAMSA and Hylsa. The Department received rebuttal comments from domestic interested parties on August 7, 2000. On August 22, 2000, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.218 (e)(2), the Department determined to conduct a full (240-day) sunset review of this order.<sup>1</sup>

### Scope of Review

Imports covered by this review are oil country tubular goods, hollow steel products of circular cross-section, including oil well casing, tubing, and drill pipe, of iron (other than cast iron) or steel (both carbon and alloy), whether seamless or welded, whether or not conforming to American Petroleum Institute (API) or non-API specifications, whether finished or unfinished (including green tubes and limited service OCTG products). This scope does not cover casing, tubing, or drill pipe containing 10.5 percent or more of chromium. The OCTG subject to this review are currently classified in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) under item numbers: 7304.21.30.00, 7403.21.60.00, 7304.29.10.10, 7304.29.10.20, 7304.29.10.30, 7304.29.10.40, 7304.29.10.50, 7304.29.10.60, 7304.29.10.80, 7304.29.20.10, 7304.29.20.20, 7304.29.20.30, 7304.29.20.40, 7304.29.20.50, 7304.29.20.60, 7304.29.20.80, 7304.29.30.10, 7304.29.30.20, 7304.29.30.30, 7304.29.30.40, 7304.29.30.50, 7304.29.30.60, 7304.29.30.80, 7304.29.40.10, 7304.29.40.20, 7304.29.40.30, 7304.29.40.40, 7304.29.40.50, 7304.29.40.60, 7304.29.40.80, 7304.29.50.15, 7304.29.50.30, 7304.29.50.45, 7304.29.50.60,

<sup>1</sup> See August 22, 2000, Memoranda for Jeffrey A. May, Re: Oil Country Tubular Goods ("OCTG") from Mexico; Adequacy of Respondent Interested Parties' Response to the Notice of Initiation.