

CALFED staff. BDAC has established a subcommittee called the Ecosystem Roundtable to provide input on annual workplans to implement ecosystem restoration projects and programs.

Minutes of the meeting will be maintained by the Program, Suite 1155, 1416 Ninth Street, Sacramento, CA 95814, and will be available for public inspection during regular business hours, Monday through Friday within 30 days following the meeting.

Dated: October 12, 2000

**Lester Snow,**

*Regional Director, Mid-Pacific Region.*

[FR Doc. 00-26702 Filed 10-17-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-MN-M

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-334]

### Certain Condensers, Parts Thereof and Products Containing Same, Including Air Conditioners for Automobiles; Notice of Rescission of Limited Exclusion Order

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has rescinded the limited exclusion order previously issued in the above-captioned investigation.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Donnette Rimmer, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-0663.

**SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION:** The authority for the Commission's action is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in section 210.76 of the Commission's rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.76).

On August 20, 1997, the Commission issued a limited exclusion order in the investigation based upon a finding that respondents Showa Aluminum Corporation and Showa Aluminum Corporation of America (collectively "Showa") had violated section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), by importing certain condensers that infringed claims 9 and 10 of U.S. Letters Patent assigned to Modine.

On September 7, 2000, Showa and complainant Modine Manufacturing Company ("Modine") filed a joint petition to rescind the remedial order

under Commission rule 210.76 on the basis of a settlement agreement they had reached. Showa and Modine asserted that their settlement agreement constituted "changed conditions of fact or law" sufficient to justify rescission of the order under Commission rule 210.76(a), 19 CFR 210.76(a).

Having reviewed the parties' submissions, the Commission determined that the petition and settlement agreement satisfy the requirements of rule 210.76(a). The Commission therefore issued an order rescinding the limited exclusion order previously issued in the investigation.

Copies of the Commission's order and all other nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E. Street, SW., DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. Public documents are available for downloading from the Commission's internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). Hearing impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal at (202) 205-1810.

Issued: October 10, 2000.

By Order of the Commission.

**Donna R. Koehnke,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 00-26747 Filed 10-17-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337-TA-439]

### Certain HSP Modems, Software and Hardware Components Thereof, and Products Containing Same; Notice of Investigation

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Institution of investigation pursuant to 19 U.S.C. § 1337.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on September 15, 2000, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. § 1337, on behalf of PCTEL, Inc. of Milpitas, California. A supplement to the complaint was filed on October 3, 2000. The complaint, as supplemented, alleges violations of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States

after importation of certain HSP modems, software and hardware components thereof, and products containing same by reason of infringement of claims 1-2 of U.S. Letters Patent 5,787,305, claims 1-4, 7-8, and 11-15 of U.S. Letters Patent 5,931,950, claims 1, 2, 10, and 15-17 of U.S. Letters Patent 4,841,561, and claims 1, 6-7, 10-12, and 15-19 of U.S. Letters Patent 5,940,459. The complaint further alleges that there exists, or is in the process of being established, an industry in the United States as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

The complainant request that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue a permanent exclusion order and permanent cease and desist orders.

**ADDRESSES:** The complaint, except for any confidential information contained therein, is available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Room 112, Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone 202-205-2000. Hearing-impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may be obtained by accessing its internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Shival P. Virmani, Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone 202-205-2568.

**Authority:** The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and in section 210.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10 (2000).

### Scope of Investigation

Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on October 10, 2000, *ordered* that—

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain HSP modems, software or hardware components thereof, or products containing same by

reason of infringement of claims 1 or 2 of U.S. Letters Patent 5,787,305, claims 1–4, 7–8, or 11–15 of U.S. Letters Patent 5,931,950, claims 1, 2, 10, or 15–17 of U.S. Letters Patent 4,841,561, or claims 1, 6–7, 10–12, or 15–19 of U.S. Letters Patent 5,940,459, and whether there exists, or is in the process of being established, an industry in the United States as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

(2) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainant is—PCTEL, Inc., 1331 California Circle, Milpitas, CA 95035.

(b) The respondents are the following companies alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served:

ESS Technology, Inc., 48401 Fremont Blvd., Fremont, CA 94538

Smart Link Ltd., 7 Giborei Israel St., Southern Industrial Zone, Netanya 42505, Israel

Smart Link Technologies, Inc., 44 Pleasant St., Watertown, MA 02172

(c) Shival P. Virmani, Esq., Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Room 401–J, Washington, D.C. 20436, who shall be the Commission investigative attorney, party to this investigation; and

(3) For the investigation so instituted, the Honorable Debra Morriss is designated as the presiding administrative law judge.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in accordance with 210.13 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(d) and 210.13(a) of the Commission's Rules, such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of the respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice

and to enter both an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of a limited exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against such respondent.

Issued: October 11, 2000.

By order of the Commission.

**Donna R. Koehnke,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 00–26748 Filed 10–17–00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020–02–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### National Institute of Corrections

#### Advisory Board Meeting

*Time and Date:* 8:45 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. on Monday, November 13, 2000 & 8:00 a.m. to 12 noon on Tuesday, November 14, 2000.

*Place:* Holiday Inn Holidome & Conference Center, 5400 Holiday Drive, Frederick, Maryland 21703.

*Status:* Open.

*Matters to be Considered:* Tours/ Presentations Related to the Maryland Community Criminal Justice Treatment Program in Frederick County; Updates on Mental Health Program Options and Interstate Compact Activities; Results of Advisory Board Hearings; and Reports by Program Divisions.

**CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION:** Larry Solomon, Deputy Director, 202–307–3108, ext. 155.

**Larry Solomon,**

*Deputy Director.*

[FR Doc. 00–26757 Filed 10–17–00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410–36–M**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Federal Bureau of Prisons

#### Notice of Intent To Prepare a Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the Development of a Medium-Security Federal Correctional Facility in Pollock (Grant Parish), Louisiana.

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Prisons, Department of Justice.

**ACTION:** Notice of intent to prepare a draft environmental impact statement (DEIS).

#### SUMMARY:

#### Proposed Action

The United States Department of Justice, Federal Bureau of Prisons, has determined that an additional medium-

security Federal Correctional Institution (FCI) is needed in its system. The Federal Bureau of Prisons (BOP) is facing unprecedented growth in its inmate population. As a result, medium-security correctional institutions will be impacted. The BOP currently controls a 464-acre parcel to the southeast of the Pollock Municipal Airport, located eight miles southwest of the Town of Pollock and 17 miles north of Alexandria, Louisiana. Construction is currently underway for a high-security U.S. Penitentiary, an adjacent minimum-security satellite Camp, and ancillary facilities that will serve the various components. The BOP proposes to construct and operate a medium-security FCI on a portion of the 464-acre parcel. The medium-security facility would provide habitation for approximately 1152 inmates. The site appears to be of sufficient size to provide space for housing, programs, administrative services and other support areas. However, the BOP will also analyze a 320-acre tract to the immediate west for the project and/or for future expansion. This Notice also initiates the BOP's responsibilities under the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended.

#### The Process

In the process of evaluating the site, several aspects will receive detailed examination including: but not limited to utilities, traffic patterns, noise levels, visual intrusion, threatened and endangered species, and socioeconomic impacts. Possible archeological and cultural resources will be studied and documented pursuant to the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended.

#### Alternatives

In developing the DEIS, the option of "no action" for the proposed facility will be fully and thoroughly examined.

#### Scoping Process

During the preparation of the DEIS, there will be opportunities for public involvement in order to determine the issues to be examined. A Scoping Meeting will be held at the Pollock Elementary School on 4001 Highway 8 at 7:00 p.m. on November 15, 2000, in Pollock, Louisiana. The meeting will be well publicized and will be held at a time which will make it possible for the public and interested agencies or organizations to attend. In addition, other meetings and discussions will be held by BOP representatives, local officials and other interested community parties.