

within 30 days of publication of this notice to David Rostker, OMB Desk Officer, Room 10202, New Executive Office Building, Washington, D.C. 20230.

Dated: September 22, 2000.

**Madeleine Clayton,**

*Departmental Forms Clearance Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer.*

[FR Doc. 00-24847 Filed 9-26-00; 8:45 a.m.]

**BILLING CODE 3510-JT-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Docket 54-2000]

#### Foreign-Trade Zone 8—Toledo, Ohio Area Application for Expansion

An application has been submitted to the Foreign-Trade Zones (FTZ) Board (the Board) by the Toledo-Lucas County Port Authority, grantee of Foreign-Trade Zone 8, requesting authority to expand its zone in the Toledo, Ohio area, within the Toledo/Sandusky Customs port of entry. The application was submitted pursuant to the provisions of the Foreign-Trade Zones Act, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a-81u), and the regulations of the Board (15 CFR part 400). It was formally filed on September 19, 2000.

FTZ 8 was approved on October 11, 1960 (Board Order 51, 25 FR 9909, 10/15/60) and expanded on January 22, 1973 (Board Order 92, 38 FR 3015, 1/31/73); January 11, 1985 (Board Order 277, 50 FR 2702, 1/18/85); August 19, 1991 (Board Order 532, 56 FR 42026, 8/26/91); and June 12, 2000 (Board Order 1102, 65 FR 37960, 6/19/00). The general-purpose zone currently consists of 3 sites (497 acres) in the Toledo area: *Site 1* (150 acres)—within the Port of Toledo complex at the Overseas Cargo Center, Toledo; *Site 2* (337 acres)—at the Toledo Express Airport, in Swanton, Ohio, some 5 miles west of Toledo; and, *Site 3* (10 acres)—at the First Choice Packaging warehouse facility, 1501 West State Street, Fremont.

The applicant is now requesting authority to expand the general-purpose zone to include an additional site: *Proposed Site 4* (471 acres)—Cedar Point Development Park and adjacent areas, east of Lallendorf Road, south of Cedar Point Road and west of Wynn Road, Oregon. The site is owned primarily by the City of Oregon, the Oregon on the Bay Economic Development Foundation and several private owners. No specific manufacturing requests are being made at this time. Such requests would be made to the Board on a case-by-case basis.

In accordance with the Board's regulations, a member of the FTZ Staff has been designated examiner to investigate the application and report to the Board.

Public comment on the application is invited from interested parties. Submissions (original and 3 copies) shall be addressed to the Board's Executive Secretary at the address below. The closing period for their receipt is November 27, 2000. Rebuttal comments in response to material submitted during the foregoing period may be submitted during the subsequent 15-day period (to December 11, 2000).

A copy of the application and accompanying exhibits will be available for public inspection at each of the following locations: U.S. Department of Commerce, Export Assistance Center, 300 Madison Avenue, Suite 270, Toledo, OH 43604; Office of the Executive Secretary, Foreign-Trade Zones Board, Room 4008, U.S. Department of Commerce 14th & Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230.

Dated: September 20, 2000.

**Dennis Puccinelli,**

*Executive Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 00-24849 Filed 9-26-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-U**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-428-814, A-428-815]

#### Amended Final Determinations of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Certain Cold-Rolled and Corrosion Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products From Germany

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Amendment to final determinations of antidumping duty investigations in accordance with decision upon remand.

**SUMMARY:** We are amending the "all others" cash deposit rate to 21.66% ad valorem for certain cold-rolled carbon steel flat products from Germany and 10.02% ad valorem for corrosion resistant carbon steel flat products from Germany.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** September 27, 2000.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Cynthia Thirumalai, Office 1, Group 1, AD/CVD Enforcement, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution

Avenue, NW, Washington DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-4087.

### The Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), are references to the provisions in effect as of December 31, 1994. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department of Commerce's (the Department's) regulations refer to 19 CFR part 353 (April 1997).

### Background

On April 27, 1995, the United States Court of International Trade (CIT) remanded to the Department the amended final determinations in the antidumping duty investigations of certain cold-rolled and corrosion resistant carbon steel flat products from Germany. *See Thyssen Stahl AG v. United States*, 886 F. Supp. 23 (CIT 1995) (see also Notice of Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value; Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products, Certain Cold-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products, Certain Corrosion-Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products and Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon Steel Flat Products From Germany, 58 FR 37136 (July 9, 1993), as amended, 58 FR 44170 (August 19, 1993)). In its remand, the CIT instructed the Department to recalculate the dumping margins for Thyssen Stahl AG (Thyssen) by 1) disallowing the adjustment for currency hedging gains on U.S. sales, and 2) multiplying the rate of the German value-added tax (VAT) by the U.S. price and then increasing that price by the resultant amount (while not subtracting the VAT from the home market price). On June 27, 1995, the Department filed its results of redetermination pursuant to the CIT's order.

Following the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit's (CAFC's) decision with respect to the VAT methodology in *Federal Mogul Corp. v. United States*, 63 F.3d 1572 (Fed.Cir. 1995) (*Federal Mogul*), the Department requested a second remand in these cases to conform the VAT methodology in the above-referenced investigations to that resulting from *Federal Mogul*. The CIT granted the Department's request for a second remand in Slip Op. 95-183 (November 17, 1995). Pursuant to *Federal Mogul*, the Department changed its treatment of home market consumption taxes by adding to U.S. price the absolute amount of such taxes incurred on the comparison home market sales. On January 22, 1996, the Department filed its revised final remand results.

On May 12, 1997, the CIT affirmed the final revised remand determinations in *Thyssen Stahl AG v. United States* Slip Op. 97-55 (May 12, 1997). That decision was appealed. On July 27, 1998, the CAFC affirmed the decision of the CIT in *Thyssen Stahl AG v. United States*, Slip Op. 97-1509 (July 27, 1998).

In light of the final and conclusive court decision in this action, we are amending the "all others" cash deposit rate from 19.03% to 21.66% ad valorem for cold-rolled and from 4.18% to 10.02% ad valorem for corrosion resistant carbon steel flat products from Germany. We are not amending the cash deposit rates for Thyssen because they have been superseded by subsequent administrative reviews for this company.

#### Amended Final Determination

As there is now a final and conclusive court decision in this action, we are amending the amended final determinations on certain cold-rolled and corrosion resistant carbon steel flat products from Germany, pursuant to section 516A(e) of the Act. As a result of these remand redeterminations, the recalculated final weighted-average margins are as follows:

Manufacturer/producer/exporter	Margin percentage
Cold-Rolled:	
Klockner Stahl GmbH .....	23.54
Thyssen Stahl AG .....	20.64
All Others .....	21.66
Corrosion Resistant:	
Thyssen Stahl AG .....	10.02
All Others .....	10.02

#### Cash Deposit Instructions

The "all others" cash deposit rates of 21.66% ad valorem for cold-rolled and 10.02% ad valorem for corrosion resistant carbon steel flat products from Germany will be effective upon publication of this notice of amended final determinations on all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date.

These amended final determinations and notice are in accordance with sections 736(a)(1) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)) and 19 CFR 353.20(a)(4) (1994).

Dated: September 20, 2000.

**Troy H. Cribb,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 00-24851 Filed 9-26-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-823-805]

#### Final Results of Full Sunset Review: Silicomanganese From Ukraine

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of final results of full sunset review: silicomanganese from Ukraine.

**SUMMARY:** On May 30, 2000, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") published a notice of preliminary results of the full sunset review of the suspended antidumping investigation on silicomanganese from Ukraine (65 FR 34440) pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"). We provided interested parties an opportunity to comment on our preliminary results. We did not receive comments from either domestic or respondent interested parties. As a result of this review, the Department finds that termination of the suspended antidumping investigation would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at levels indicated in the *Final Results of Review* section of this notice.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** September 27, 2000.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Martha V. Douthitt, Office of Policy for Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482-5050 or (202) 482-3330, respectively.

#### Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Act are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act ("URAA"). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department regulations are to 19 CFR Part 351 (1999). Guidance on methodological or analytical issues relevant to the Department's conduct of sunset reviews is set forth in the Department's Policy Bulletin 98.3—*Policies Regarding the Conduct of Five-year ("Sunset") Reviews of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders*; Policy Bulletin, 63 FR 18871 (April 16, 1998) ("Sunset Policy Bulletin").

#### Background

On May 30, 2000, the Department of Commerce ("the Department")

published in the **Federal Register** a notice of preliminary results of the full sunset review of the suspended antidumping investigation on silicomanganese from Ukraine, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act. In our preliminary results, we found that termination of the suspended antidumping investigation would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping, and we preliminarily determined the following dumping margin likely to prevail if the suspended antidumping investigation were terminated:

Manufacturers/Exporters	Margin (percent)
Country-wide .....	163.00

We did not receive a case brief on behalf of either domestic or respondent interested parties within the deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.309(c)(1)(i).

#### Scope of Review

The merchandise covered by this sunset review is silicomanganese. Silicomanganese, which is sometimes called ferrosilicon manganese, is a ferroalloy composed principally of manganese, silicon, and iron, and normally containing much smaller proportions of minor elements, such as carbon, phosphorous, and sulfur. Silicomanganese generally contains by weight not less than four percent iron, more than 30 percent manganese, more than eight percent silicon, and not more than three percent phosphorous. All compositions, forms, and sizes of silicomanganese are included within the scope of this review, including silicomanganese slag, fines, and briquettes. Silicomanganese is used primarily in steel production as a source of both silicon and manganese. This sunset review covers all silicomanganese, regardless of its tariff classification. Most silicomanganese is currently classifiable under subheading 7202.30.0000 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS"). Some silicomanganese may also currently be classifiable under HTSUS subheading 7202.99.5040. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, our written description of the subject merchandise remains dispositive.

#### Analysis of Comments Received

The Department did not receive case briefs from either domestic or respondent interested parties. Therefore, we have not made any changes to our