

Proposed Rules

Federal Register

Vol. 65, No. 187

Tuesday, September 26, 2000

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains notices to the public of the proposed issuance of rules and regulations. The purpose of these notices is to give interested persons an opportunity to participate in the rule making prior to the adoption of the final rules.

FEDERAL HOUSING FINANCE BOARD

12 CFR Parts 917, 925, 930, 931, 932, 933, 956, and 960

[No. 2000-23]

RIN 3069-AB01

Capital Requirements for Federal Home Loan Banks

AGENCY: Federal Housing Finance Board.

ACTION: Proposed Rule; extension of public comment period.

SUMMARY: On July 13, 2000, the Federal Housing Finance Board (Finance Board) published a proposed rule in the **Federal Register** (65 FR 43408 (July 13, 2000)) that would amend its regulations to implement a new capital structure for the Federal Home Loan Banks (Banks), as is required by the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act (GLB Act). As discussed in that **Federal Register** release, the GLB Act mandates a new, risk-based capital structure for the Bank system that includes elements with more permanence than the one based on six-month redeemable stock that had been in effect. The capital system which governed the Banks prior to the GLB Act amendments remains in effect until the new capital regulations are adopted and the Banks fulfill the transition requirements set forth in those rules. The timely transition to the new capital structure is especially important given that the GLB Act eliminated mandatory membership requirements for federal savings associations, and membership in the Bank system is now voluntary for all members. The GLB Act also required the Finance Board to issue regulations implementing the capital requirement by November 12, 2000.

The proposed regulation provided for a public comment period of ninety days, which would have ended on October 11, 2000. Numerous commenters have requested that the Finance Board extend this comment period to allow the Banks and their membership time to fully discuss the new capital regulation

before submitting comments on the proposal. Commenters have suggested extensions of the comment period of 60 days, 180 days and even one year. The Finance Board realizes that well-considered comments from the Banks and their members are essential to developing a regulation that allows a smooth transition to the new capital structure. The Finance Board also recognizes, however, the importance to the Bank system of implementing this new structure within the transition period mandated by the GLB Act. To balance these goals, the Finance Board is committed to finding ways to implement the new statutory requirements and work productively with the Banks and their membership in developing the new rules. Thus, after considering the requests for extension of the public comment period and the importance of fulfilling the legal and regulatory goals of the GLB Act, the Finance Board is extending the close of the comment period for the proposed capital regulation from October 11, 2000 until November 20, 2000.

DATES: The comment period on the proposed rule is extended until November 20, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be mailed to: Elaine L. Baker, Secretary to the Board, by electronic mail at bakere@fhfb.gov, or by regular mail at the Federal Housing Finance Board, 1777 F Street, NW., Washington, DC 20006. Comments will be available for public inspection at this address.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Scott L. Smith, Acting Director, (202) 408-2991; Ellen Hancock, Senior Financial Analyst, (202) 408-2906; or Christina Muradian, Senior Financial Analyst, (202) 408-2584; or Julie Paller, Senior Financial Analyst, (202) 408-2482, Office of Policy Research and Analysis; or Deborah F. Silberman, General Counsel, (202) 408-2570; or Neil R. Crowley, Deputy General Counsel (202) 408-2990; or Thomas E. Joseph, Attorney-Advisor, (202) 408-2512, Office of General Counsel, Federal Housing Finance Board, 1777 F Street, NW., Washington, DC 20006. A telecommunication device for deaf persons (TDD) is available at (202) 408-2579.

Dated: September 19, 2000.

By the Board of Directors of the Federal Housing Finance Board.

William C. Apgar,

HUD Secretary Designee to the Board.

[FR Doc. 00-24619 Filed 9-25-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6725-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 99-CE-73-AD]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; British Aerospace HP137 Mk1 and Jetstream Series 200 Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: This document proposes to adopt a new airworthiness directive (AD) that would apply to all British Aerospace HP137 Mk1 and Jetstream series 200 airplanes. The proposed AD would require you to inspect the vertical stabilizer skin for disbonding, corrosion, cracks, and loose rivets, and repair any vertical stabilizer skin where discrepancies are found. The proposed AD is the result of mandatory continuing airworthiness information (MCAI) issued by the airworthiness authority for the United Kingdom. The actions specified by the proposed AD are intended to prevent failure of the vertical stabilizer caused by disbonding, corrosion, cracks, or loose rivets in the stabilizer skin. Such failure could lead to aircraft controllability problems.

DATES: The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) must receive any comments on this proposed rule on or before October 27, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to FAA, Central Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, Attention: Rules Docket No. 99-CE-73-AD, 901 Locust, Room 506, Kansas City, Missouri 64106. Comments may be inspected at this location between 8 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, holidays excepted.

Service information that applies to the proposed AD may be obtained from British Aerospace Regional Aircraft,

Prestwick International Airport, Ayrshire, KA9 2RW, Scotland; telephone: (01292) 479888; facsimile: (01292) 479703. This information also may be examined at the Rules Docket at the address above.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. S.M. Nagarajan, Aerospace Engineer, FAA, Small Airplane Directorate, 901 Locust, Room 301, Kansas City, Missouri 64106; telephone: (816) 329-4145; facsimile: (816) 329-4090.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

How do I comment on the proposed AD? The FAA invites comments on this proposed rule. You may submit whatever written data, views, or arguments you choose. You need to include the rule's docket number and submit your comments in triplicate to the address specified under the caption **ADDRESSES**. The FAA will consider all comments received on or before the closing date. We may amend the proposed rule in light of comments received. Factual information that supports your ideas and suggestions is extremely helpful in evaluating the effectiveness of the proposed AD action and determining whether we need to take additional rulemaking action.

Are there any specific portions of the proposed AD I should pay attention to? The FAA specifically invites comments on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed rule that might suggest a need to modify the rule. You may examine all comments we receive before and after the closing date of the rule in the Rules Docket. We will file a report in the Rules Docket that summarizes each FAA contact with the public that concerns the substantive parts of the proposed AD.

We are re-examining the writing style we currently use in regulatory documents, in response to the Presidential memorandum of June 1, 1998. That memorandum requires federal agencies to communicate more clearly with the public. We are interested in your comments on whether the style of this document is clearer, and any other suggestions you might have to improve the clarity of FAA communications that affect you. You can get more information about the Presidential memorandum and the plain language initiative at <http://www.plainlanguage.gov>.

How can I be sure FAA receives my comment? If you want us to acknowledge the receipt of your comments, you must include a self-addressed, stamped postcard. On the postcard, write "Comments to Docket No. 99-CE-73-AD." We will date stamp and mail the postcard back to you.

Discussion

What events have caused this proposed AD? The Civil Aviation Authority (CAA), which is the airworthiness authority for the United Kingdom, recently notified the FAA that an unsafe condition may exist on all British Aerospace HP137 Mk1 and Jetstream series 200 airplanes. The CAA reports instances of delamination and corrosion of the vertical stabilizer skin. Such damage resulted in cracks around the rivet holes.

What are the consequences if the condition is not corrected? If not detected and corrected, a damaged vertical stabilizer skin could lead to failure of the vertical stabilizer with consequent airplane controllability problems.

Is there service information that applies to this subject? British Aerospace has issued Jetstream Alert Service Bulletin 55-A-JA-990640, Issued: September 1, 1999.

What are the provisions of this service bulletin? This service bulletin:

- Includes procedures for inspecting the vertical stabilizer skin for disbonding, corrosion, cracks, and loose rivets; and
- Specifies repairing any vertical stabilizer skin where discrepancies are found in accordance with the procedures in the maintenance manual or an FAA-approved repair scheme.

What action did the CAA take? The CAA classified this service bulletin as mandatory in order to assure the continued airworthiness of these airplanes in the United Kingdom. The CAA classifying a service bulletin as mandatory is the same in the United Kingdom as the FAA issuing an AD in the United States.

Was this in accordance with the bilateral airworthiness agreement? These airplane models are manufactured in the United Kingdom and are type certificated for operation in the United States under the provisions of section 21.29 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.29) and the applicable bilateral airworthiness agreement. Pursuant to this bilateral

airworthiness agreement, the CAA has kept FAA informed of the situation described above.

The FAA's Determination and an Explanation of the Provisions of the Proposed AD

What has FAA decided? The FAA has examined the findings of the CAA; reviewed all available information, including the service information referenced above; and determined that:

- The unsafe condition referenced in this document exists or could develop on other British Aerospace HP137 Mk1 and Jetstream series 200 airplanes of the same type design;
- The actions specified in the previously-referenced service information should be accomplished on the affected airplanes, except for reporting any discrepancies to British Aerospace; and
- AD action should be taken in order to correct this unsafe condition.

What does the proposed AD require? This proposed AD would require you to inspect the vertical stabilizer skin for disbonding, corrosion, cracks, and loose rivets, and repair any vertical stabilizer skin where discrepancies are found.

Are there differences between the proposed AD and the Service Bulletin? British Aerospace Jetstream Alert Service Bulletin 55-A-JA-990640, Issued: September 1, 1999, specifies reporting the results of the inspections to British Aerospace Regional Aircraft. This NPRM does not specify this action. The FAA recommends that each owner/operator submit this information and we are including a note in the proposed AD to communicate this. British Aerospace and the British CAA will use this information to determine whether repetitive inspections are necessary, and, if so, at what intervals.

The FAA will evaluate the information from the British CAA and may initiate further rulemaking action to propose a repetitive inspection requirement.

Cost Impact

How many airplanes does the proposed AD impact? We estimate that the proposed AD affects 85 airplanes in the U.S. registry.

What is the cost impact of the proposed AD on owners/operators of the affected airplanes? We estimate the following costs to accomplish the proposed inspection:

Labor cost	Parts cost	Total cost per airplane	Total cost on U.S. airplane operators
5 workhours × \$60 per hour = \$300	No parts required	\$300 per airplane	\$25,500

Regulatory Impact

Does this proposed AD impact various entities? The regulations proposed herein would not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, it is determined that this proposed rule would not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132.

Does this proposed AD involve a significant rule or regulatory action? For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this proposed action (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities

under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this action has been placed in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding a new airworthiness directive (AD) to read as follows:

British Aerospace: Docket No. 99-CE-73-AD

(a) *What airplanes are affected by this AD?* This AD affects HP137 Mk1 and Jetstream series 200 airplanes, all serial numbers, that are certificated in any category.

(b) *Who must comply with this AD?*

Anyone who wishes to operate any of the above airplanes on the U.S. Register must comply with this AD.

(c) *What problem does this AD address?*

The actions specified by this AD are intended to prevent failure of the vertical stabilizer caused by disbonding, corrosion, cracks, or loose rivets in the stabilizer skin. Such failure could lead to aircraft controllability problems.

(d) *What actions must I accomplish to address this problem?* To address this problem, you must accomplish the following:

Action	Compliance time	Procedures
(1) Inspect the right and left hand side of the vertical stabilizer skin for disbonding, corrosion, cracks, and loose rivets.	Within the next 60 calendar days after the effective date of this AD, unless already accomplished.	In accordance with the ACCOMPLISHMENT INSTRUCTIONS section of British Aerospace Jetstream Alter Service Bulletin 55-A-JA-990640, Issued: September 1, 1999.
(2) Repair any vertical stabilizer skin where a discrepancy is found.	Prior to further flight after the inspection.	(i) If the discrepancies are within the limits specified in the maintenance manual: Use the procedures in the maintenance manual; or (ii) If the discrepancies are outside the limits specified in the maintenance manual: Use an FAA-approved repair scheme obtained from British Aerospace at the address specified in paragraph (h) of this AD.

Note 1: British Aerospace Jetstream Alert Service Bulletin 55-A-JA-990640, Issued: September 1, 1999, specifies reporting the results of the inspections to British Aerospace Regional Aircraft. The FAA highly recommends that each owner/operator submit this information. British Aerospace and the British CAA will use this information to determine whether repetitive inspections are necessary, and, if so, at what intervals. The FAA will evaluate the information from the British CAA and may initiate further rulemaking action to propose a repetitive inspection requirement.

(e) *Can I comply with this AD in any other way?* You may use an alternative method of compliance or adjust the compliance time if:

(1) Your alternative method of compliance provides an equivalent level of safety; and
(2) The Manager, Small Airplane Directorate, approves your alternative. Submit your request through an FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may

add comments and then send it to the Manager, Small Airplane Directorate.

Note 2: This AD applies to each airplane identified in paragraph (a) of this AD, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (e) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if you have not eliminated the unsafe condition, specific actions you propose to address it.

(f) *Where can I get information about any already-approved alternative methods of compliance?* Contact Mr. S.M. Nagarajan, Aerospace Engineer, FAA, Small Airplane Directorate, 901 Locust, Room 301, Kansas

City, Missouri 64106; telephone: (816) 329-4145; facsimile: (816) 329-4090.

(g) *What if I need to fly the airplane to another location to comply with this AD?* The FAA can issue a special flight permit under sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate your airplane to a location where you can accomplish the requirements of this AD.

(h) *How do I get copies of the documents referenced in this AD?* You may obtain copies of the documents referenced in this AD from British Aerospace Regional Aircraft, Prestwick International Airport, Ayrshire, KA9 2RW, Scotland. You may examine these documents at FAA, Central Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, 901 Locust, Room 506, Kansas City, Missouri 64106.

Note 3: The subject of this AD is addressed in British Aerospace Jetstream Alert Service Bulletin 55-A-JA-990640, Issued: September 1, 1999. This service bulletin is classified as

mandatory by the United Kingdom Civil Aviation Authority (CAA).

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on September 18, 2000.

Marvin R. Nuss,

Acting Manager, Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 00-24627 Filed 9-25-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 99-CE-79-AD]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Raytheon Aircraft Company Beech Models A36, B36TC, and 58 Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: This document proposes to adopt a new airworthiness directive (AD) that would apply to certain Raytheon Aircraft Company (Raytheon) Beech Models A36, B36TC, and 58 airplanes. The proposed AD would require you to inspect for missing rivets on the right hand side of the fuselage and, if necessary, install rivets. Raytheon has identified several instances of missing rivets on these airplanes. The actions specified by this proposed AD are intended to install missing rivets in the right hand fuselage panel assembly in the area above the right wing and below the cabin door threshold. These rivets must be present for the fuselage to carry the ultimate load and prevent critical structural failure with loss of airplane control.

DATES: The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) must receive any comments on this proposed rule by October 30, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Send comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Central Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, Attention: Rules Docket No. 99-CE-79-AD, 901 Locust, Room 506, Kansas City, Missouri 64106. You may look at comments at this location between 8 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, except holidays.

You may get the service information referenced in the proposed AD from Raytheon Aircraft Company, P.O. Box 85, Wichita, Kansas 67201-0085; telephone: (800) 429-5372 or (316) 676-

3140. You may read this information at the Rules Docket at the address above.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: T.N. Baktha, Aerospace Engineer, FAA, Wichita Aircraft Certification Office, 1801 Airport Road, Mid-Continent Airport, Wichita, Kansas 67209; telephone: (316) 946-4155; facsimile: (316) 946-4407.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

How do I comment on this proposed AD? We invite your comments on the proposed rule. You may send whatever written data, views, or arguments you choose. You need to include the rule's docket number and send your comments in triplicate to the address mentioned under the caption **ADDRESSES**. We will consider all comments received by the closing date mentioned above, before acting on the proposed rule. We may change the proposals contained in this notice because of the comments received.

Are there any specific portions of the proposed AD I should pay attention to? The FAA specifically invites comments on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed rule that might call for a need to change the proposed rule. You may examine all comments we receive. We will file a report in the Rules Docket that summarizes each FAA contact with the public that concerns the substantive parts of this proposal.

The FAA is reexamining the writing style we currently use in regulatory documents, in response to the Presidential memorandum of June 1, 1998. That memorandum requires federal agencies to communicate more clearly with the public. We are interested in your comments on the ease of understanding this document, and any other suggestions you might have to improve the clarity of FAA communications that affect you. You can get more information about the Presidential memorandum and the plain language initiative at <http://www.faa.gov/language/>. Q P='03'≤

How can I be sure FAA receives my comment?

If you want to know that we received your comments, you must include a self-addressed, stamped postcard. On the postcard, write "Comments to Docket No. 99-CE-79-AD." We will date stamp and mail the postcard back to you.

Discussion

What events have caused this proposed AD? Raytheon has identified several instances of missing rivets on these airplanes:

Model	Serial No.
Model A36 Bonanza.	Serials E-1 through E-3231; and E-3233.
Model B36TC Bonanza.	Serials EA-1 through EA-635.
Model 58 Baron.	Serials TH-1 through TH-1811; and TH-1813 through TH-1897.

Raytheon production and inspection personnel identified the missing rivets. The missing rivets are the result of a quality control problem.

What are the consequences if the condition is not corrected? This condition results in the airplane being unable to carry the ultimate load.

Relevant Service Information

What service information applies to this subject? Raytheon has issued Mandatory Service Bulletin SB 53-3341, revised: May 2000.

What are the provisions of this service bulletin? The service bulletin describes procedures for inspecting for missing rivets and installing rivets in the lower right hand fuselage panel assembly in the area above the right wing and below the cabin door threshold.

The FAA's Determination and an Explanation of the Provisions of the Proposed AD

What has FAA decided? After examining the circumstances and reviewing all available information related to the incidents, we have determined that:

- The unsafe condition referenced in this document exists or could develop on other Raytheon Beech Models A36, B36TC, and 58 airplanes of the same type design;
- These airplanes should have the actions specified in the above service bulletin incorporated; and
- The FAA should take AD action to correct this unsafe condition.

What does this proposed AD require? This proposed AD would require you to:

- Inspect for missing rivets on the right hand fuselage; and
- If necessary, install rivets.

What are the differences between the service bulletin and the proposed AD?

Raytheon requires you to inspect for missing rivets and, if necessary, install rivets, as soon as possible after receipt of the Service Bulletin, but no later than the next scheduled 100 hour or annual inspection. We propose a requirement that you inspect and, if necessary, install the missing rivets within the next 100 hours time-in-service (TIS) after the effective date of the proposed AD. We believe that 100 hours TIS will give the owners/operators of the affected airplanes enough time to have the