summary: The NRC has recently submitted to OMB for review the following proposal for the collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). The NRC hereby informs potential respondents that an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and that a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

- 1. Type of submission, new, revision, or extension: Extension.
- 2. The title of the information collection: 10 CFR Part 50, "Domestic Licensing of Production and Utilization Facilities".

Facilities".

3. The form number if applicable: Not applicable.

- 4. How often the collection is required: As necessary in order for NRC to meet its responsibilities to conduct a detailed review of applications for licenses and amendments thereto to construct and operate nuclear power plants, preliminary or final design approvals, design certifications, research and test facilities, reprocessing plants and other utilization and production facilities, licensed pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and to monitor their activities.
- 5. Who will be required or asked to report: Licensees and applicants for nuclear power plants and non-power reactors (research and test facilities).
- 6. An estimate of the number of responses: 7,907.
 7. The estimated number of annual

7. The estimated number of annual respondents: 175.

8. An estimate of the total number of hours needed annually to complete the requirement or request: 4.7M (approximately 2.3M reporting hours and 2.4M recordkeeping hours); an average of 26.5K per respondent.

9. An indication of whether Section 3507(d), Pub. L. 104–13 applies: Not

applicable.

10. Abstract: 10 CFR Part 50 of the NRC's regulations, "Domestic Licensing of Production and Utilization Facilities," specifies technical information and data to be provided to the NRC or maintained by applicants and licensees so that the NRC may make determinations necessary to promote the health and safety of the public, in accordance with the Act. The reporting and recordkeeping requirements contained in 10 CFR part 50 are mandatory for the affected licensees and applicants.

A copy of the final supporting statement may be viewed free of charge at the NRC Public Document Room, 2120 L Street, NW (lower level), Washington, DC. OMB clearance requests are available at the NRC worldwide web site (http://www.nrc.gov/NRC/PUBLIC/OMB/index.html). The document will be available on the NRC home page site for 60 days after the signature date of this notice.

Comments and questions should be directed to the OMB reviewer listed below by October 10, 2000. Comments received after this date will be considered if it is practical to do so, but assurance of consideration cannot be given to comments received after this date: Amy Farrell, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs (3150–0011), NEOB–10202, Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503.

Comments can also be submitted by telephone at (202) 395–3087.

The NRC Clearance Officer is Brenda Jo. Shelton, 301–415–7233.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 31st day of August 2000.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. **Brenda Jo Shelton**,

NRC Clearance Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 00–23143 Filed 9–7–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-247]

Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.; Facility Operating License No. DPR-26, Receipt of Additional Information Relating to Petition for Director's Decision Under 10 CFR 2.206

Notice is hereby given that additional information has been submitted in support of a Petition dated March 14, 2000, filed by Mr. David A. Lochbaum, on behalf of the Union of Concerned Scientists, the Nuclear Information & Resource Service, the PACE Law School Energy Project, and Public Citizen's Critical Mass Energy Project (petitioners). The petitioners requested that the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take action with regard to Indian Point Nuclear Generating Unit No. 2 (IP2), owned and operated by Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. (the licensee). The petitioners requested that the NRC issue an order to the licensee preventing the restart of IP2, or that the license for IP2 be modified to limit it to zero power, until (1) all four steam generators are replaced, (2) the steam generator tube integrity concerns identified in Dr. Joram Hopenfeld's

differing professional opinion (DPO) and in Generic Safety Issue 163 are resolved, and (3) potassium iodide tablets are distributed to residents and businesses within the 10-mile emergency planning zone (EPZ) or stockpiled in the vicinity of IP2. The original Petition was published in the Federal Register on April 11, 2000 (65 FR 19398). Previously, supplemental information consisting of a letter from Mr. Lochbaum dated April 14, 2000, a letter from Mr. Riccio dated April 12, 2000, and information provided at a public meeting on April 7, 2000, was acknowledged by letter dated June 26, 2000, and published in the Federal **Register** on July 14, 2000 (65 FR 43789). Subsequent to these supplemental letters, additional information and requests were received by letters dated June 12, June 29, and July 13, 2000.

As stated in the original and second Federal Register notices, the requests that the NRC prevent the licensee from restarting IP2 until all four steam generators are replaced and until potassium iodide tablets are distributed to people and businesses within the 10mile EPZ or are stockpiled in the vicinity of IP2 are being treated pursuant to 10 CFR 2.206 of the Commission's regulations. On the basis of information provided in the June 29 supplement, the NRC staff determined that the request that IP2 not be permitted to restart until after a fullparticipation emergency preparedness exercise has been successfully completed meets the criteria for review under 10 CFR 2.206. As provided by Section 2.206, action will be taken on this request within a reasonable time.

In their June 12 supplement, the petitioners requested that IP2 not be allowed to restart until concerns identified in an internal Federal **Emergency Management Agency** (FEMA) memorandum dated May 12, 2000, are addressed. Specifically, the petitioners requested that NRC and FEMA re-evaluate the adequacy of the IP2 emergency planning drills and that a new, more realistic exercise be conducted. However, in a letter to the NRC dated June 20, 2000, FEMA clarified the positions stated in the internal FEMA memorandum, and confirmed that FEMA continues to find that there is reasonable assurance of the adequacy of offsite emergency preparedness at IP2. In addition, the NRC staff determined that the issues raised in this supplement had already been the subject of NRC staff review at IP2 and that the information provided in the supplement was not sufficient to warrant further inquiry.

In the July 13 supplement, the petitioners requested the reinstatement of their request that Dr. Hopenfeld's DPO be resolved before allowing IP2 to restart, asserting that the resignation of a DPO panel member raised doubts about the efficacy of the DPO process, and that, therefore, the Petition Review Board should reconsider its rejection of Dr. Hopenfeld's DPO for review under the 10 CFR 2.206 process. However, the NRC staff rejected this request because it did not meet the the 10 CFR 2.206 criteria. Dr. Hopenfeld's concerns were generic in nature and the information the petitioners had provided was not uniquely applicable to IP2 to support the assertions raised in their 10 CFR 2.206 Petition. The information in the July 13 supplement did not provide any information to alter that determination, and, therefore, this request will not be treated pursuant to 10 CFR 2.206 of the Commission's regulations.

Copies of the Petition and additional information are available for inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street NW., Washington, DC, and accessible electronically through the ADAMS Public Electronic Reading Room link at the NRC Web site (http://www/nrc.gov).

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 31st day of August 2000.

Roy P. Zimmerman,

Acting Director, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 00–23144 Filed 9–7–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Occupational Safety and Health Review Commission

Sunshine Act Meeting

TIME AND DATE: 10 a.m. on September 15,

PLACE: The Commission's National Office at One Lafayette Centre, 1120 20th St., NW., 9th Floor, Washington, DC 20036–3419.

STATUS: Pursuant to 29 CFR § 2203.3(a) the first part of this meeting will be open to the public.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED: This meeting will be opened to allow the Commission to evaluate the Commission's pilot program for the Settlement Part (29 CFR § 2200.120) and to decide whether to make it permanent. After that matter is disposed of the meeting will be closed for the

Commission to consider cases pending for adjudication.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION: Earl R. Ohman, Jr., General Counsel, (202) 606–5410.

Earl R. Ohman, Jr.,

General Counsel.

[FR Doc. 00–23192 Filed 9–5–00; 5:11 pm] BILLING CODE 7600–01–M

OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET

Issuance of OMB Circular A-76 Transmittal Memorandum No. 22

AGENCY: Office of Management and Budget, Executive Office of the President.

SUMMARY: The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) publishes technical changes to the OMB Circular A–76 Revised Supplemental Handbook.

DATES: The OMB Circular A–76
Transmittal Memorandum No. 22 is effective with publication in the Federal Register and shall apply to all cost comparisons where the in-house offer remains sealed as of the date of this publication. Inventories produced in accordance with the Federal Activities Inventory Reform Act shall also comply with these changes.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. David C. Childs, Office of Federal Procurement Policy, NEOB Room 9013, Office of Management and Budget, 725 17th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20503, Telephone No. (202) 395–6104.

Availability: Copies of the OMB Circular A–76, its Revised Supplemental Handbook and currently applicable Transmittal Memoranda may be obtained at the OMB home page. The online address (URL) is http://www.whitehouse.gov/OMB/circulars/index.html#numerical. Paper copies of the Circular and Supplemental Handbook can be obtained by contacting the Office of Federal Procurement Policy, NEOB, Room 9013, Office of Management and Budget, 725 17th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20503, Telephone No. (202) 395–7579.

Interested parties are reminded that OMB Circular No. A–76, Transmittal Memoranda 1 through 14 have been canceled. Transmittal Memorandum No. 15 provided the Revised Supplemental Handbook dated March 27, 1996 (Federal Register, April 1, 1996, pages 14338–14346). Transmittal Memoranda 16, 17, and 18, which provided A–76 related Federal pay raise and material escalation cost factors are canceled. Transmittal Memorandum No. 19, to the

extent that it provided A-76 related Federal pay raise and material escalation cost factors, has been canceled. The standard retirement cost factors for the weighted average CSRS/ FERS pension and Federal retiree health cost estimates and the post-retirement health costs also provided by Transmittal Memorandum No. 19, remain in effect. Transmittal Memorandum No. 20, which implemented the Federal Activities Inventory Reform (FAIR) Act, remains in effect. Transmittal Memorandum No. 21, which provides the current A-76 related Federal pay raise and material escalation cost factors also remains in effect.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

On May 4, 2000 (65 FR 25966), the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) requested agency and public comments on proposed changes to the OMB Circular A–76 Revised Supplemental Handbook. The proposed changes would:

(1) Amend the Federal Activities Inventory Reform Act (FAIR) implementation guidance provided by OMB Circular A-76 Transmittal Memorandum No. 20, by changing the A-76 Revised Supplemental Handbook at Appendix 2, paragraph g.3., to provide for 30 working days rather than 30-calendar days as the period during which an interested party may submit its initial challenge to an agency's FAIR Act inventory. It was also proposed that Appendix 2, paragraph g.4., be changed to provide for 28 working days rather than 28 calendar days as the period during which the agency should issue its decision on the initial challenge;

(2) Delete Part 1, Chapter 3, paragraph K.1.e., of the Revised Supplemental Handbook, which requires A–76 cost comparison appellants to "demonstrate that the items appealed (in an A–76 cost comparison) individually or in aggregate, would reverse the tentative decision." The proposed change was intended to avoid any conflict in requiring a single A-76 cost comparison administrative appeal period, as provided at Part 1, Chapter 3, paragraph K.7.

(3) Strengthen OMB's longstanding policy of limiting the participation of directly affected employees on an A–76 cost comparison Source Selection Board or its evaluation teams by revising Part 1, Chapter 3, paragraph H. 3.b. of the Revised Supplemental Handbook.

OMB received 13 responses to its request for comments (65 FR 25966); 6 Federal agencies, 5 industry or trade groups, 1 employee organization and one individual. A discussion of the