February 7, 2000	February 9, 2000	February 16, 2000	February 18, 2000	February 22, 2000
Phoenix, AZ	Kansas City, MO	Jackson, MS	Washington, DC	Edinburg, TX
Hotel: Wyndham	Hotel: Hyatt	Hotel: Ramada Inn	Hotel:	Hotel: Holiday Inn
Garden Hotel	Regency Crown	South- West	Hyatt Regency	Address: 1806 South
North Phoenix	Center	Conference Center	Washington DC on	Closner Boulevard
Address: 2641 West	Address: 2345	Address:	Capitol Hill	Edinburg, TX 78539
Union Hills Drive,	McGee, Kansas	1525 Ellis Avenue	Address: 400 New	Tel: 956/383-8800
Phoenix, AZ 20001	City, MO 64108	Jackson, MS 39204	Jersey Ave. NW	Fax: 956/383-1540
Tel: 602/978-2222	Tel: 816/421-1234	Tel: 601/944-1150	Washington, DC	Rate: \$60.00*
Fax: 602/978-9139	Fax: 816/435-4190	Fax: 601/355-3602	20001	single/double *plus tax
Rate: \$89.00*	Rate: \$108.00*	Rate: \$45.00* single/	Tel: 202/737-1234	Deadline : 1/21/2000
single/double *plus tax	single - \$133.00*	double *plus tax	Fax: 202/393-7927	
Deadline : 1/21/2000	double *plus tax	Deadline : 1/14/2000	Rate: \$139.00*	Workshop to be held at
	Deadline : 1/21/2000		single/double *plus tax	University of Texas -
Workshop to be held at		Workshop to be held	Deadline: 1/17/2000	Pan American
Arizona State Univer-sity	RCI shuttle service	at Jackson State		
-West Campus	to hotel – \$20	University.	Six miles from	20 miles from McAllen
	roundtrip.		Reagan Washington	Airport.
20 miles from Sky	-	Ten miles from	National Airport.	-
Harbor International		Jackson International	_	
Airport.		Airport.		

Reduced Airfare: American Airlines has been designated as the official airline for these workshops. They will offer attendees zone fares and/or special discounts off the lowest published American Airline round-trip fare. To obtain the discount rate, call 800/433-1790 and refer to STAR File #672OUU.

[FR Doc. 00–2354 Filed 2–2–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4000–01–C

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RP00-30-000]

ANR Pipeline Company; Notice of Technical Conference

January 28, 2000.

Take notice that a technical conference will be held on Tuesday, February 8, 2000, at 10:00 a.m., in a room to be designated at the offices of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE, Washington, DC 20426.

All interested parties and Staff are permitted to attend.

David P. Boergers,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00–2373 Filed 2–2–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket Nos. OR98-1-000, OR96-2-000, and OR06-10-000]

ARCO Products Company, a Division of Atlantic Richfield Company, Equilon Enterprises L.L.C., Mobil Oil Corporation, and Texaco Refining and Marketing, Inc., Complainants v. SFPP, L.P., Respondent; Notice of Second Amended Complaint, and Third Original Complaint Against SFPP, L.P.

January 28, 2000.

Take notice that on January 10, 2000, ARCO Products Company, a Division of Atlantic Richfield Company, Equilon Enterprises, LLC, a successor in interest to Texaco Refining and Marketing, Inc., and Mobile Oil Corporation (Complainants) tendered for filing a complaint alleging that there are reasonable grounds to believe that all of the rates of SFPP. LP. subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission and not just and reasonable. According to Complainants, the overcharges are 40% of the current cost of service and revenue requirements, or a minimum of \$75,000.000 a year.

Complainants further allege that, to the extent any of the rates are subject to a threshold "changed circumstances standard" pursuant to the EP Act of 1992, this threshold is met. Complainants allege they are aggrieved and damaged by the unlawful acts of SFPP, L.P. and seek relief in the form of reduced rates in the future and reparations for past and current overcharges, with interest.

This complaint incorporates, and constitutes, a second amendment to the Second Amended Compliant filed this same day in related dockets that the Commission has heretofore held in abeyance, the same being Docket Nos. OR98–1–000, OR96–2–000, and OR96–10–000.

SFPP's motion for a further extension of time filed on January 24, 2000 is denied.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said complaint should file a motion to intervene or a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 214 and 211 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure 18 CFR 385.214, 385.211. All such motions or protests should be filed on or before February 14, 2000. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection. This filing may be viewed on the web at http://www/ferc/fed.us/online/rims.htm (call 202-208-2222 for assistance).

Answers to this complaint shall be due on or before February 14, 2000.

David P. Boergers,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00-2368 Filed 2-2-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RP00-168-000]

Northwest Pipeline Corporation v. El Paso Natural Gas Company; Notice of Complaint

January 28, 2000.

Take notice that on January 27, 2000, Northwest Pipeline Corporation (Northwest) filed a complaint against EL Paso Natural Gas Company (El Paso) pursuant to 18 CFR 206. Northwest asserts that El Paso is violating GISB standards 1.3.2 and 1.3.22 by failing to adhere to the confirmation deadlines and confirmation quantities set forth therein. Northwest claims that El Paso's failure results in scheduled quantity differences between the pipelines at the interconnect point. Northwest has been unsuccessful in trying to get EL Paso to comply and requests that the Commission order El Paso to adhere to, and to determine scheduled quantities for the period dating back to June 1, 1999 in accordance with, the GISB standards.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest this filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). All such motions or protests must be filed on or before February 16, 2000. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room. This filing may also be viewed on the Internet at http://www.fed.us/ online/rims.htm (call 202-208-2222) for assistance. Answers to the complaint

shall also be due on or before Febuary 16, 2000.

David P. Boergers,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00–2374 Filed 2–2–00; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket Nos. OR98-13-000 and OR98-1-000]

Tosco Corporation, Complainant v. SFPP, L.P., Respondent, Notice of Amended Compliant

January 28, 2000.

Take notice that on January 10, 2000, pursuant to Rule 206 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.206), the Procedural Rules Applicable to Oil Pipeline Proceedings (18 CFR 343.1(a)), and the Commission's Order Establishing Further Procedures issued in these dockets on January 13, 1999, Tosco Corporation (Tosco) hereby submits its amended complaint in this proceeding. This amended complaint modifies and supersedes the original complaint filed herein by Tosco on April 24, 1998.

Tosco respectfully requests that the Commission: (1) Examine the rates and charges collected by SFPP for its jurisdictional interstate service; (2) order refunds to Tosco, including appropriate interest thereon, for the applicable reparation period to the extent the Commission finds that such rates or charges were unlawful; (3) determine just, reasonable, and nondiscriminatory rates for SFPP's jurisdictional interstate service; (4) award Tosco reasonable attorney's fees and costs; and (5) order such relief as may be appropriate.

SFPP's motion for a further extension of time filed on January 24, 2000 is denied.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said complaint should file a motion to intervene or a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 214 and 211 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure 18 CFR 385.214, 385.211. All such motions or protests should be filed on or before February 14, 2000. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to

become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection. This filing may be viewed on the web at http://www.ferc.fed.us/online/rims.htm (call 202–208–2222 for assistance). Answers to this complaint shall be due on or before February 14, 2000.

David P. Boergers,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00–2369 Filed 2–2–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket Nos. OR96-15-000; OR98-1-000; OR98-2-000 (Consolidated)]

Ultramar Inc., Complainant v. SFPP, L.P. Respondent, ARCO Products Company, a Division of Atlantic Richfield Company; Texaco Refining and Marketing, Inc.; Mobil Oil Corporation, Complainants v. SFPP, L.P. and Ultramar Diamond Shamrock Corporation, Complainant v. SFPP, L.P. Respondent; Notice of Amended Complaint

January 28, 2000.

Take notice that on January 10, 2000, pursuant to Rule 206 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385,206), the Procedural Rules Applicable to Oil Pipeline Proceedings (18 CFR 343.1(a)), and the Commission's Order Establishing Further procedures issued in these dockets on January 13, 1999, Ultramar Diamond Shamrock Corporation and Ultramar Inc. (Ultramar) tendered for filing their amended complaint in the captioned proceedings. This amended complaint modifies and supplements the original complaints filed in these matters by Ultramar in Docket Nos. OR96–15–000 and OR98-2-000 on August 30, 1996 and November 21, 1997 respectively.

On August 30, 1996, Ultramar Inc. filed a complaint against SFPP, in Docket No. OR96–15, asserting that SFPP had violated the Interstate Commerce Act (ICA) by failing to file an interstate tariff for the Watson enhancement facilities (Drain Dry facilities) and that, generally, the rate for the same was and continues to be unjust and unreasonable and without basis.

Ultramar respectfully requests that the Commission: (1) Examine the challenged rates and charges collected by SFPP for its jurisdictional interstate service; (2) order refunds to Ultramar,