e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

 $\hat{T}ype\ of\ Review$: Revision of a currently approved collection.

Agency: Bureau of Labor Statistics.

Title: Labor Market Information (LMI)

Cooperative Agreement. *OMB Number:* 1220–0079.

Affected Public: State, Local or Tribal Government.

Information collection	Respondents	Frequency	Total responses	Average time	Total hours
Work Statements BIF (LMI 1A & B) Quarterly Automated Financial Reports Monthly Automated Financial Reports BLS Cooperative Financial Report (LMI 2A) Quarterly Status Report (LMI 2B)	48 48 7	1 1 4 *8 12 4	55 55 192 348 84 4–120	1–2 hr	55–110 55–330 32–160 32–160 84–420 4–12
Total Avg. totals	1–55 1055		774–890 832		264–1300 781

^{*} Reports are not received for end-of-quarter months, i.e., December, March, June, September.

Total annualized capital/startup costs: \$0.

Total annual costs (operating/maintaining systems or purchasing services): \$0.

Description: The LMI Cooperative Agreement includes all information needed by the State Employment Security Agencies to apply for funds to assist them to operate one or more of the five LMI programs operated by the Bureau of Labor Statistics, and, once awarded, report on the status of obligation and expenditure of funds, as well as close out the Cooperative Agreement.

Ira L. Mills,

Departmental Clearance Officer. [FR Doc. 00–22331 Filed 8–30–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–24–M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-37,735 and NAFTA-3842]

International Business Machines
Corporation (IBM), Storage Technology
Division, Disk Substrate
Manufacturing, Rochester, Minnesota;
Notice of Negative Determination
Regarding Application for
Reconsideration

By application postmarked July 28, 2000, petitioners request administrative reconsideration of the Department's negative determination regarding eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) petition number TA—W—37,735 and North American Free Trade Agreement-Transitional Adjustment Assistance (NAFTA—TAA) petition number NAFTA—3842, applicable to workers and former workers of International Business Machines Corporation (IBM), Storage

Technology Division, Disk Substrate Manufacturing, Rochester, Minnesota. The denial notices were signed on June 29, 2000, and published in the **Federal Register** on July 24, 2000, TA–W–37,735 (65 FR 45620) and NAFTA–3842 (65 FR 45621).

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

- (1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;
- (2) if it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or
- (3) if in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a misinterpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The petitioners report that IBM lost a contract to build disk drives for EMC; the contract was awarded to an overseas company. IBM then decided to use glass disks in their computers. The Rochester glass plant now supplies 10% of the glass disks in IBM computers and disk drives, with the remainder being sourced from abroad. The petitioners add that they were informed the Rochester plant would never be a major supplier of these disks because the foreign competition was much cheaper, and the plant was now for research purposes. The petitioners also state that it is doubtful that the subject firm is out of the aluminum business because IBM recently signed a major contract with Compag to be able to use each other's storage devices. Compaq uses aluminum disks and imports them.

The Department did not investigate the petitioners allegation of the subject firm's reliance on imports of disks because the Rochester, Minnesota, worker group produced disk substrates, which is a component for IBM's further production of storage disks at other locations. The Department is required to examine the impact of imports of articles like or directly competitive with those produced by the workers' firm.

The workers were denied eligibility to apply for TAA based on the finding that the contributed importantly criterion of the worker group eligibility requirements of Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, was not met. Layoffs of workers producing disk substrates was attributable to the change in technology. Fewer workers are required to produce glass disk substrates than the aluminum magnesium material.

The NAFTA-TAA petition investigation for the same worker group revealed that criteria (3) and (4) of paragraph (a)(1) of Section 250 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, were not met. The subject firm did not import from Mexico or Canada, articles like or directly competitive with the disk substrates produced by the workers of the firm. There was no shift in production from the Warrensburg plant to Mexico or Canada. The major contributing factor to the reduction in employment at the Rochester, Minnesota plant was a change in technology. The IBM Rochester plant is using glass for manufacturing disk substrates which requires fewer workers than aluminum magnesium material.

Conclusion

After review of the application and investigative findings, I conclude that there has been no error or misinterpretation of the law or of the facts which would justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. Accordingly, the application is denied.

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 18th day of August 2000.

Grant D. Beale,

Program Manager, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 00–22327 Filed 8–31–00; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-37,304 and NAFTA-3683]

Nova Bus, Inc., Transit Bus Division, Roswell, New Mexico; Dismissal of Application for Reconsideration

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(C) an application for administrative reconsideration was filed with the Director of the Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance for workers at Nova Bus, Inc., Transit Bus Division, Roswell, New Mexico. The application contained no new substantial information which would bear importantly on the Department's determination. Therefore, dismissal of the application was issued.

TA–W–37,304 and NAFTA–3683; Nova Bus, Inc., Transit Bus Div., Roswell, New Mexico (August 8, 2000)

Signed at Washington, DC, this 23rd day of August, 2000.

Edward A. Tomchick,

Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 00–22324 Filed 8–30–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–30–U

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-37,740]

CompAir LeRoi, Independence, Virginia; Notice of Revised Determination on Reopening

By letter of July 10, 2000, one of the petitioners requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's denial of Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) for workers and former workers of the subject firm.

The workers at CompAir LeRoi, Independence, Virginia, engaged in employment related to the production of air compressor pumps, were denied eligibility to apply for TAA based on the finding that criterion (3) of the worker group eligibility requirements of Section 222 of the Trade Act, as amended, was not met. The notice of negative determination was signed on June 14,

2000, and was published in the **Federal Register** on June 29, 2000 (65 FR 40134)

Review of the information provided by the subject firm shows that when the company implemented plans to shift production to another domestic location, the final product to be relocated from Independence, Virginia, was the reciprocating compressor line. Further review of the information contained in the investigation file shows that although the company intended to temporarily source assembled reciprocating compressors from a foreign supplier, no immediate plan was in place for domestic production of that product. During the first quarter of 2000, sales or production and employment declined when production ceased, and company imports of reciprocating compressors began.

The workers were not separated identifiable by product line.

Conclusion

After careful consideration of the new facts obtained on reopening, it is concluded that the workers of CompAir LeRoi, Independence, Virginia, were adversely affected by increased imports of compressors like or directly competitive with the articles produced at the subject firm.

"All workers of CompAir LeRoi, Independence, Virginia, who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after May 19, 1999, through two years from the date of this determination, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974."

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 8th day of August 2000.

Grant D. Beale,

Program Manager, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 00–22329 Filed 8–30–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–30–M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-37,586]

Enefco International Limited, Footwear Subdivision, Waterjet Subdivision, Auburn, Maine; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2273) the Department of Labor issued a Certification of Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance on July 31, 2000, applicable to all workers of Enefco International Limited, Footwear Subdivision located in Auburn, Maine.

The notice will soon be published in the **Federal Register**.

At the request of the State agency, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. New findings show that the Department's certification inadvertently omitted the workers at the plant in the Waterjet Subdivision. The subject firm reported increased reliance on imports of cushioning pads formerly produced by the sole worker in the Waterjet Subdivision. Accordingly, the Department is amending the certification to include workers in the Waterjet Subdivision Enefco International Limited in Auburn, Maine.

The amended notice applicable to TA–W–37,586 is hereby issued as follows:

All workers of Enefco International Limited, Footwear Subdivision, Waterjet Subdivision, Auburn, Maine, who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after April 7, 1999 through July 31, 2002, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed in Washington, D.C., this 18th day of August 2000.

Grant D. Beale,

Program Manager, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 00–22326 Filed 8–30–00; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-37,636]

Voyager Emblem Incorporated, Sanborn, New York; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2273) the Department of Labor issued a Notice of Certification Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance on July 19, 2000, applicable to workers of Voyager Emblem Incorporated, Sanborn, New York. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on August 1, 2000 (65 FR 46954).

At the request of the State agency, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. The workers produce embroidered emblems. New findings show that there was previous certification for the subject firm workers, TA–W–34,392, which was issued on May 15, 1998. That certification expired May 15, 2000. To avoid an overlap in worker group