10. Dr. Chine I. Chang, Director, Army Research Office, U.S. Army Materiel Command;

11. Mr. John C. Lawkowski, Assistant Deputy Chief of Staff for Resource Management, U.S. Army Materiel Command;

12. Dr. Mitra Dutta, Director, Research and Technology Integration, U.S. Army Research Office, U.S. Army Materiel Command;

13. Mr. James L. Flinn III, Deputy to the Commanding General, U.S. Army Aviation and Missile Command, U.S. Army Materiel Command;

14. Mr. Paul Bogosian, Deputy PEO-Aviation, Army Acquisition Executive PEO;

15. Mr. Harold Holmes, Deputy for Systems Deployment, National Missile Defense Joint Program Office;

16. Mr. Robert J. Spazzarini, Chief Counsel, U.S. Army Aviation and Missile Command, U.S. Army Materiel Command;

17. Mr. Jimmy C. Morgan, Director, Armament and Chemical Acquisition & Logistics Agency, U.S. Army Materiel Command;

18. Mr. Brian M. Simmons, Technical Director, U.S. Army Test and Evaluation Command, U.S. Army Materiel Command;

19. Mr. David J. Shaffer, Director, U.S. Army Materiel Systems Analysis Activity, U.S. Army Materiel Command;

20. Dr. Narayanaswamy Radhakrishnan, Director, Computational Information Sciences Directorate, U.S. Army Research Laboratory, U.S. Army Materiel Command;

21. Mr. James L. Bacon, Program Manager for Chemical Demil Operations, Army Acquisition Executive;

22. Ms. Melinda McMillon Darby, Deputy Chief of Staff for Personnel, U.S. Army Materiel Command;

23. Mr. Truman W. Howard, Director, Weapon Science Directorate, Research, Development and Engineering Center, U.S. Army Aviation and Missile Command, U.S. Army Materiel Command;

24. Mr. Joseph T. Lehman, Senior Technical Executive for Fire Support, Fire Support Armaments Center (ARDEC), U.S. Army Tank-automotive and Armaments Command, U.S. Army Materiel Command;

25. Mr. Vemula P. Rao, Vice President for Customer Engineering, U.S. Army Tank-automotive and Armaments Command, U.S. Army Materiel Command; and

26. Mr. Anthony A. LaPlaca, Director of CECOM Logistics and Readiness Center, U.S. Army Communications-Electronics Command, U.S. Army Materiel Command.

Gregory D. Showalter,

Army Federal Register, Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 00–22220 Filed 8–30–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3710–08–P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Army

Performance Review Boards Membership

AGENCY: Department of the Army, DoD.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is given of the names of members of the Performance Review Boards for the Department of the Army.

EFFECTIVE DATE: August 9, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Nancy Quick, U.S. Army Senior Executive Service Office, Assistant Secretary of the Army (Manpower and Reserve Affairs), 111 Army Pentagon, Washington, DC 20310–0111.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 4314 (c)(1) through (5) of Title 5 U.S.C., requires each agency to establish, in accordance with regulations, one or more Senior Executive Service performance review boards. The boards shall review and evaluate the initial appraisal of senior executives' performance by supervisors and make recommendations to the appointing authority or rating official relative to the performance of the executives.

The members of the Performance Review Board for the U.S. Army Consolidated Commands are:

1. Mr. Joseph H. Plunkett, Assistant Deputy Chief of Staff for Personnel and Installation Management, U.S. Army Forces Command;

2. Mr. James S. Koons, Assistant Deputy Chief of Staff for Logistics and Readiness, U.S. Army Forces Command;

3. Mr. William S. Rich, Jr., Deputy/Technical Director, National Ground Intelligence Center, U.S. Army Intelligence and Security Command;

4. Mr. Richard A. McSeveney, Deputy to the Commander for Installation Support, U.S. Army Military District of Washington;

5. Mr. John C. Metzler, Jr., Superintendent, Arlington National Cemetery;

6. Mr. William R. Lucas, Deputy to the Commander, Military Traffic Management Command;

7. Mr. William J. Cooper, Director of Transportation Engineering Agency, Military Traffic Management Command;

8. Mr. Mark J. Lumer, Principal Assistant Responsible for Contracting, U.S. Army Space and Missile Defense Command;

9. Mr. Laurence H. Burger, Director of Space and Missile Defense Battle Lab, U.S. Army Space and Missile Defense Command;

10. Dr. Charles N. Davidson, Director of U.S. Army Nuclear and Chemical Agency, U.S. Army Training and Doctrine Command;

11. Mr. William M. Robinson, Assistant Deputy Chief of Staff for Engineering (International Affairs), U.S. Army Europe;

12. Ms. Toni B. Wainwright, Assistant Deputy Chief of Staff for Personnel (Civilian Personnel), U.S. Army Europe; and

13. MG Warren L. Freeman, Director of the District of Columbia National Guard.

The members of the Performance Review Board for the Army Acquisition Executive are:

Army Acquisition Executive Potential Board Members

1. Mr. David Borland, Vice Director to the Director of Information Systems for Command, Control, Communications and Computers;

2. Dr. Walter F. Morrison, Jr., Director for Research, Office of Assistant Secretary of the Army (Acquisition, Logistics & Technology);

3. Mr. Edward T. Bair, Program Executive Officer for Intelligence, Electronic Warfare & Sensors; and

4. Mr. T. Kevin Carroll, Program Executive Officer for Standard Army Management Information System.

The members of the Performance Review Board for the Chief of Staff are:

1. Dr. James J. Streilein, Director of the Army Evaluation Center, U.S. Army Test and Evaluation Command;

2. Dr. C. David Brown, Director for Technical Mission, U.S. Army Test and Evaluation Command;

3. Dr. Jeffrey J. Clarke, Chief Historian, U.S. Army Center of Military History;

4. Mr. Robert N. Kittel, Special Assistant for Communications and Transportation, U.S. Army Legal Services Agency;

5. Ms. Janet C. Menig, Deputy Assistant Chief of Staff for Installation Management, Office of the Assistant Chief of Staff for Installation Management;

6. Mr. Daniel J. Shedlowski, Technical Director, U.S. Army Center for Army Analysis;

7. Mr. Edgar B. Vandiver III, Director of U.S. Army Center for Army Analysis;

8. Ms. Maureen T. Lischke, Chief Information Officer and Program Executive Officer for Information Systems, National Guard Bureau;

9. Mr. Christopher Gardner, Assistant Chief, National Guard Bureau;

10. MG Warren L. Freeman, Commanding General, District of Columbia National Guard;

11. MG Irene Trowell-Harris, Assistant, Headquarters, U.S. Air Force;

12. MG Robert A. Harding, Assistant Deputy Chief of Staff for Intelligence;

13. Ms. Jean Bennett, Director of Intelligence Programs, Plans & Studies, Office of the Deputy Chief of Staff for Intelligence;

14. Mr. Thomas Dillon, Director of Foreign Disclosure, Office of the Deputy Chief of Staff for Intelligence;

15. BG H.A. Curry, Director of Plans, Operations and Logistics Automation, Office of the Deputy Chief of Staff for Logistics;

16. BG Barbara Doornik, Special Assistant to the Deputy Chief of Staff for Logistics for Transportation for Quadrennial Defense Review, Office of the Deputy Chief of Staff for Logistics;

17. Ms. Donna L. Shands, Assistant Director of Supply and Maintenance, Office of the Deputy Chief of Staff for Logistics;

18. Mr. Joe R. Billman, Director of Logistics Program Analysis, Office of the Deputy Chief of Staff for Logistics;

19. MG Phillip R. Kensinger, Jr., Assistant Deputy Chief of Staff of Operations and Plans, Office of the Deputy Chief of Staff for Operations and Plans;

20. BG William G. Webster, Jr., Director of Training, Office of the Deputy Chief of Staff for Operations and Plans;

21. Mr. Vernon M. Bettencourt, Jr., Technical Advisor to the Deputy Chief of Staff for Operations and Plans, Office of the Deputy Chief of Staff for Operations and Plans;

22. Mr. Wendell H. Lunceford, Jr., Director of the Army Model and Simulation Office, Office of the Deputy Chief of Staff for Operations and Plans;

23. BG William Heilman, Director of Human Resources, Office of the Deputy Chief of Staff for Personnel;

24. MG Geoffrey Miller, Assistant Deputy Chief of Staff for Personnel, Office of the Deputy Chief of Staff for Personnel;

25. Dr. Zita Simutis, Technical Director, U.S. Army Research Institute; and

26. Dr. Edgar Johnson, Director of the U.S. Army Research Institute.

John A. Hall,

Alternate Army Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 00–22243 Filed 8–30–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3710–08–M

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Army, Corps of Engineers

Intent To Prepare a Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS), Lock and Dam 3 Mississippi River Navigation Safety and Embankments Projects

AGENCY: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, DoD.

ACTION: Notice of intent.

SUMMARY: Lock and Dam 3 is a navigation dam and lock on the Mississippi River six miles upstream from Red Wing, Minnesota. The lock and dam was built on a bend in the river, and completed in 1938. Its position on a bend in the river makes downbound navigation difficult, because of an outdraft current that tends to sweep towboats and barges away from the lock toward the gated part of the dam. The outdraft condition has resulted in a number of accidents, and has been cause for concern for many years. A related problem with Lock and Dam 3 is maintaining the structural integrity of a set of three earthen embankments that connect the gated part of the dam to high ground on the Wisconsin side. The upstream embankment is federally-owned and contains a series of rock overflow sections. The intermediate and downstream embankments are privately owned. These embankments impound Marsh and Gantenbein Lakes, and separate them from the Mississippi River. The three Wisconsin side embankments divide the eight-foot head at the dam into three steps, and work together as part of Lock and Dam 3. The downstream embankment is eroding and is expected to fail in the next decade or two. Failure of the downstream embankment would threaten the intermediate and upstream embankments.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Questions pertaining to the issues about the DEIS may be addressed to Mr. Robert Whiting, Chief, Environmental and Economic Analysis Branch, St. Paul District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, 190 5th Street East, St. Paul, MN 55101, Telephone: (651) 290–5264.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

1. The potential exists for towboat operators to lose control of their tows because of the outdraft current. Barges have broken loose and lodged in the dam gate bays, rendering the dam gates inoperable, causing the water level in the navigation pool to rise, overflowing the earthen embankment. This kind of event occurred in 1993, resulting in significant erosion in the upper embankment near the gated part of the dam and in the lower embankment.

2. Two projects to address the navigation safety and embankments concerns have been proposed by the St. Paul District and approved by Corps of Engineers Headquarters. A ported guardwall was proposed to guide downbound towboats into the lock. This project has not been funded. The St. Paul District also recommended reconstructing the Wisconsin-side embankment, following a downstream alignment along the tailwater and the southern boundary of Gantenbein Lake. Recent surveys in the tailwater identified the presence of a species-rich mussel bed, including state-listed endangered species. In an effort to address the navigation safety and embankment concerns at Lock and Dam 3, the St. Paul District is conducting a re-evaluation of these related problems.

3. Significant resources and issues to be addressed in the DEIS will be determined through coordination with Federal, State, and local agencies, the general public; interested private organizations, industry, and the Prairie Island Dakota Community. Anyone who has an interest in participating in the development of the DEIS is invited to contact the St. Paul District, Corps of Engineers.

4. Major issues identified to date for discussion in the DEIS are:

a. Structural integrity and operational reliability of Lock and Dam 3.

b. Risk of navigation accidents, erosion of embankments, and accidental drawdown of Pool 3.

c. Recreational boating opportunity and safety.

d. Natural resources including the fishery, native mussels, wildlife, aquatic and floodplain habitats.

e. Water quality, contaminants, and sediment transport processes.

5. Additional issues of interest may be identified through public and agency meetings. A notice of those meetings will be provided to interested parties and to local news media.

6. The effort to jointly address the related navigation safety and embankments problems at Lock and Dam 3 is considered major in scope. Depending on the alternative plan proposed, the project could have significant effects on navigation, public safety, regional economics, floodplain wetlands, the fishery, native mussels and wildlife.

7. An environmental review will be conducted according to National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, Council of Environmental Quality