8, 1999. This incorporation by reference was approved by the Director of the Federal Register in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. Copies may be obtained from Fokker Services B.V., P.O. Box 231, 2150 AE Nieuw-Vennep, the Netherlands. Copies may be inspected at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the Office of the Federal Register 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

Note 6: The subject of this AD is addressed in Dutch airworthiness directive BLA 1997–116/2 (A), dated October 29, 1999.

Effective Date

(i) This amendment becomes effective on October 3, 2000.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on August 17, 2000.

Donald L. Riggin,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 00–21459 Filed 8–28–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 47

Court of Competent Jurisdiction

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Interpretive rule.

SUMMARY: The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) interprets the phase ''court of competent jurisdiction'' as used in Title 14, Code of Federal Regulations § 47.37 as meaning a court of the country where the aircraft was last registered.

EFFECTIVE DATE: August 29, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Joseph R. Standell, Federal Aviation Administration (AMC–7), Post Office Box 25082, Oklahoma City, OK 73125. Telephone (405) 954–3296.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 37.37(b)(2) of the Code of Federal Regulations (14 CFR Part 47) requires an applicant for United States registration of an aircraft to provide evidence satisfactory to the Administrator that foreign registration of the aircraft has terminated. Satisfactory evidence included ''a final judgment or decree of a court of competent jurisdiction that determines, under the law of the country concerned, that the registration has in fact become invalid.'' (14 CFR 47.37(b)(2)) FAA interprets the phrase ''court of competent jurisdiction'' to mean a court of the country where the aircraft was last registered.

Issued in Oklahoma City, OK on August 22, 2000.

Joseph R. Standell,

Aeronautical Center Counsel.

[FR Doc. 00–22037 Filed 8–28–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 71

[Airspace Docket No. 00–ACE–15]

Amendment to Class E Airspace; Coffeyville, KS

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Direct final rule; confirmation of effective date.

SUMMARY: This document confirms the effective date of a direct final rule which revises Class E airspace at Coffeyville, KS.

EFFECTIVE DATE: The direct final rule published at 65 FR 38722 is effective on 0901 UTC, October 5, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kathy Randolph, Air Traffic Division, Airspace Branch, ACE–520C, DOT Regional Headquarters Building, Federal Aviation Administration, 901 Locust, Kansas City, MO 64106; telephone: (816) 329–2525.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FAA published this direct final rule with a request for comments in the **Federal Register** on June 22, 2000 (65 FR 38722). The FAA uses the direct final rulemaking procedure for a non-controversial rule where the FAA believes that there will be no adverse public comment. This direct final rule advised the public that no adverse comments were anticipated, and that unless a written adverse comment, or a written notice of intent to submit such an adverse comment, were received within the comment period, the regulation would become effective on October 5, 2000. No adverse comments were received, and thus this notice confirms that this direct final rule will become effective on that date.

Issued in Kansas City, MO on August 16, 2000.

Herman J. Lyons, Jr.,

Manager, Air Traffic Division, Central Region.

[FR Doc. 00–22040 Filed 8–28–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 71

[Airspace Docket No. 00–ACE–14]

Amendment to Class E Airspace; Pratt, KS; Correction

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Direct final rule; confirmation of effective date and correction.

SUMMARY: This document confirms the effective date of a direct final rule which revises the Class E airspace at Pratt, KS, and corrects an error in the airport name of the Pratt Municipal Airport as published in the **Federal Register** June 22, 2000 (65 FR 38721), Airspace Docket No. 00–ACE–14.

DATES: The direct final rule published at 65 FR 38721 is effective on 0901 UTC, October 5, 2000.

This correction is effective on October 5, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kathy Randolph, Air Traffic Division, Airspace Branch, ACE–520C, DOT Regional Headquarters Building, Federal Aviation Administration, 901 Locust, Kansas City, MO 64106; telephone: (816) 329–2525.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

History

On June 22, 2000, the FAA published in the **Federal Register** a direct final rule; request for comments which revises the Class E airspace at Pratt, KS, (FR document 00–15534, 65 FR 38721, Airspace Docket No. 00–ACE–14). An error was subsequently discovered in the airport name of the Pratt Municipal Airport. This action corrects that error. After careful review of all available information related to the subject presented above, the FAA has determined that air safety and the public interest require adoption of the rule. The FAA has determined that this correction will not change the meaning of the action or add any additional burden on the public beyond that already published. This action corrects the error in the name of the Pratt Municipal airport and confirms the effective date to the direct final rule.

The FAA uses the direct final rulemaking procedure for a non-controversial rule where the FAA believes that there will be no adverse public comment. This direct final rule advised the public that no adverse comments were anticipated, and that unless a written adverse comment, or a

written notice of intent to submit such an adverse comment, were received within the comment period, the regulation would become effective on October 5, 2000. No adverse comments were received, and thus this notice confirms that this direct final rule will be effective on that date.

Correction to the Direct final rule

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me, the name of the Pratt Municipal Airport as published in the **Federal Register** on June 22, 2000 (65 FR 38721), **Federal Register** Document 00–15534; page 38722, column one) is corrected as follows:

§ 71.1 [Corrected]

On page 38722, in the first column, in the text header, correct the name of the Pratt Municipal Airport, KS, by removing Pratt Municipal Airport, KS, and substituting Pratt Industrial Airport, KS.

Issued in Kansas City, MO on August 17, 2000.

Herman J. Lyons, Jr.,

Manager, Air Traffic Division, Central Region.

[FR Doc. 00–22039 Filed 8–28–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–M

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Food and Drug Administration

21 CFR Part 56

[Docket No. 98N–0144]

Biological Products Regulated Under Section 351 of the Public Health Service Act; Implementation of Biologics License; Elimination of Establishment License and Product License; Technical Amendment

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

ACTION: Final rule; technical amendment.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is amending the biologics regulations to correct inadvertent errors. This action is necessary to ensure the accuracy and consistency of the regulations.

DATES: This rule is effective August 29, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Stephen M. Ripley, Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (HFM–17), Food and Drug Administration, 1401 Rockville Pike, suite 200N, Rockville, MD 20852–1448, 301–827–6210.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: FDA has discovered that errors have inadvertently become incorporated into the agency's regulations for biologics. In the **Federal Register** of October 20, 1999 (64 FR 56441), a final rule incorrectly revised § 56.102 (21 CFR 56.102) in paragraph (b)(11) instead of correctly revising paragraph (b)(10). Section 56.102 (b)(10) and (b)(11) were affected by this inadvertent error. This document corrects those errors.

List of Subjects in 21 CFR Part 56

Human research subjects, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Safety.

Therefore, under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, the Public Health Service Act, and authority delegated to the Commissioner of Food and Drugs, 21 CFR part 56 is amended as follows:

PART 56—INSTITUTIONAL REVIEW BOARDS

1. The authority citation for 21 CFR part 56 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 21 U.S.C. 321, 346, 346a, 348, 351, 352, 353, 355, 360, 360c–360f, 360h–360j, 371, 379e, 381; 42 U.S.C. 216, 241, 262, 263b–263n.

2. Section 56.102 is amended by revising paragraphs (b)(10) and (b)(11) to read as follows:

§ 56.102 Definitions.

* * * * *

(b) * * *

(10) An application for a biologics license, described in part 601 of this chapter.

(11) Data and information regarding a biological product submitted as part of the procedures for determining that licensed biological products are safe and effective and not misbranded, as described in part 601 of this chapter.

* * * * *

Dated: August 4, 2000.

Margaret M. Dotzel,

Associate Commissioner for Policy.

[FR Doc. 00–21895 Filed 8–28–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4160–01–F

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Food and Drug Administration

21 CFR Part 333

[Docket No. 99N–1819]

RIN 0910–AA01

Topical Antifungal Drug Products for Over-the-Counter Human Use; Amendment of Final Monograph

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is issuing a final rule amending the monograph for over-the-counter (OTC) topical antifungal drug products. The amendment makes a minor change in the indications for these drug products. This final rule is part of the ongoing review of OTC drug products conducted by FDA.

DATES: This regulation is effective May 16, 2002. The compliance date for products with annual sales less than $25,000 is May 16, 2003. The compliance date for all other OTC drug products is May 16, 2002.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Gerald M. Rachanow, Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (HFD–560), Food and Drug Administration, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, MD 20857, 301–827–2307.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

In the **Federal Register** of September 23, 1993 (58 FR 49890), FDA published a final monograph for OTC topical antifungal drug products in part 333 (21 CFR part 333), subpart C. That monograph includes labeling in § 333.250. Section 333.250(b)(1) contains the following introductory language for the indications statement: (Select one of the following: ''Treats,'' ''For the treatment of,'' ''For effective treatment of,'' ''Cures,'' ''For the cure of,'' ''Clears up,'' or ''Proven clinically effective in the treatment of''). Section 333.250(b)(2) contains similar language for products labeled for the prevention of athlete's foot.

In the **Federal Register** of July 22, 1999 (64 FR 39452), FDA published a proposed amendment of the monograph for OTC topical antifungal drug products to revise the indications in § 333.250(b)(1)(i) and (b)(2)(i). The proposed revision added the word ''most'' after the introductory parenthetical ''Select one of the following'' choices and before the name