granted requests for blanket approval under Part 34, subject to the following:

Within thirty days of the date of the order, any person desiring to be heard or to protest the blanket approval of issuances of securities or assumptions of liability by NYSD should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214).

Absent a request for hearing within this period, NYSD is authorized to issue securities and assume obligations or liabilities as a guarantor, endorser, surety, or otherwise in respect of any security of another person; provided that such issuance or assumption is for some lawful object within the corporate purposes of the applicant, and compatible with the public interest, and is reasonably necessary or appropriate for such purposes.

The Commission reserves the right to require a further showing that neither public nor private interests will be adversely affected by continued approval of NYSD's issuances of securities or assumptions of liability.

Notice is hereby given that the deadline for filing motions to intervene or protests, as set forth above, is September 18, 2000.

Copies of the full text of the Order are available from the Commission's Public Reference Branch, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426. The Order may also be viewed on the Internet at http://www.ferc.fed.us/online/rims.htm (call 202–208–2222 for assistance).

David P. Boergers,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00–21661 Filed 8–23–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP00-436-000]

OneOk Caprock Pipeline Company, OkTex Pipeline Company; Notice of Application

August 21, 2000.

Take notice that on August 11, 2000, OneOk Caprock Pipeline Company (Caprock), and OkTex Pipeline Company (OkTex), both at 100 West Fifth Street, Tulsa, Oklahoma 74103, tendered for filing in Docket No. CP00– 436–000 an application pursuant to section 7(b) and (c) of the Natural Gas Act (NGA) for permission and approval for Caprock to abandon certain pipeline facilities located in Texas and Oklahoma and for OkTex to acquire and operate the same facilities, all as more fully set forth in the application on file with the Commission and open to public inspection. This filing may be viewed on the web at http://www.ferc.fed.us/online/htm (call 202–208–2222 for assistance).

Caprock proposes to abandon the Beckham-Wheeler pipeline facilities by merger with OkTex. It is stated that the facilities consist of 1.88 miles of 20-inch pipeline and related facilities located in Beckham County, Oklahoma, and Wheeler County, Texas. It is explained that following the merger Caprock will cease to exist as a natural gas company, and that OkTex will be the surviving entity. It is asserted that following transfer of the facilities to OkTex, Caprock will no longer have any interstate facilities subject to regulation by the Commission, and that Caprock will cancel all tariffs. It is further asserted that OkTex will operate the facilities as part of its interstate system and will assume all service obligations and operational and economic responsibilities for the subject facilities. Caprock and OkTex state that the proposal will allow optimization of system operations and will improve service to customers.

Any questions regarding the application should be directed to C. Burnett Dunn, Attorney, at (918) 595–4816 or Kathleen Mazure at (202) 467–6370, Ext. 1022.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before September 11, 2000, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the NGA (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceedings. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designee on this application if no motion to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a motion for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Caprock or OkTex to appear or be represented at the hearing.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00–21666 Filed 8–23–00; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP00-440-000]

Pacific Interstate Offshore Company; Application

August 18, 2000.

Take notice that on August 15, 2000, Pacific Interstate Offshore Company (PIOC), 1021 Main, Suite 2100, Houston, Texas 77002, filed in Docket No. CP00–440–000 an application pursuant to Section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act for permission and approval to abandon all of its facilities and the services provided through those facilities, all as more fully set forth in the application on file with the Commission and open to public inspection. This filing may be viewed on the web at http://www.ferc.fed.us/online/htm (call 202–208–2222 for assistance).

PIOC states that it currently operates facilities consisting of 8.4 miles of 12inch pipeline, extending from the Platform Habitat in the Pitas Point Field, in the Federal waters, offshore California, to a point onshore near Carpinteria, California, along with a meter, regulator station, and appurtenant facilities. It is indicated that PIOC offers transportation services for shippers under its Part 284 blanket certificate. PIOC indicates that as of September 1, 1999, Nuevo Energy Company (Nuevo) acquired all of the issued and outstanding stock of PIOC from Sempra Energy, and that currently Nuevo, which owns all of the gas produced at the platform and holds all of the surrounding leases, is PIOC's only shipper.

PIOC states that Nuevo requires a reliable and reasonably priced outlet for the gas produced at its Platform Habitat. PIOC submits that, now that Nuevo, a producer, owns the PIOC facilities, the primary function of the facilities is gathering. PIOC has submitted a primary function analysis supporting its claim that its facilities qualify as gathering facilities exempt from jurisdiction under Section 1(b) of the Natural Gas Act.

Any questions regarding the application should be directed to Fred Lindemann, Torch Operating Company, 1221 Lamar, Suite 1600, Houston, Texas 77010 at (713) 753–1368.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before September 8, 2000, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the National Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceedings. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designee on this application if no motion to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a motion for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for PIOC to appear or be represented at the hearing.

David P. Boergers,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00–21601 Filed 8–23–00; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 6717–01–M**

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. OR00-8-000]

Ultramar Diamond Shamrock Corporation and Ultramar Inc., Complainants v. SFPP, L.P., Respondent; Notice of Complaint

August 18, 2000.

Take notice that on August 17, 2000, pursuant to Rule 206 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.206) and the Procedural Rules Applicable to Oil Pipeline Procedures (18 CFR 343.1(a)), Ultramar Diamond Shamrock Corporation and Ultramar Inc. (collectively referred to herein as Ultramar), tendered for filing a Complaint in the captioned proceeding. Ultramar alleges that SFPP, L.P. (SFPP) has violated and continues to violate the Interstate Commerce Act, 49 U.S.C. App 1 et seq. by charging unjust and unreasonable rates as it respects all of SFPP's jurisdictional interstate services associated with its East, West, North, and Oregon Lines as more fully set forth in the complaint.

Ultramar respectfully requests that the Commission: (1) Examine SFPP's challenged rates and charges for all its jurisdictional interstate services and declare that such rates and charges are unjust and unreasonable; (2) order refunds and/or reparations to Ultramar, including appropriate interest thereon, for the applicable refund and/or reparation periods to the extent the Commission finds that such rates and charges are unlawful; (3) determine just, reasonable, and nondiscriminatory rates for all of SFPP's jurisdictional interstate services; (4) award Ultramar reasonable attorney's fees and costs; and (5) order such other relief as may be appropriate.

Ultramar states that it has served the Complaint on SFPP. Pursuant to Rule 343.4 of the Commission's Procedural Rules Applicable to Oil Pipeline Proceedings, SFPP's response to this Complaint is due within 30 days of the filing of the Complaint.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest such filing should file a motion to intervene or a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). All such motions and protests should be filed on or before September 7, 2000. Protests will be considered by the Commission to

determine the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection. This filing may also be viewed on the Internet at http://www.ferc.fed.us/online/rims.htm (call 202–208–2222 for assistance).

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} Acting Secretary. \\ [FR Doc. 00-21660 Filed 8-23-00; 8:45 am] \end{tabular}$

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. EC00-124-000, et al.]

Hartford Power Sales, L.L.C., et al.; Electric Rate and Corporate Regulation Filings

August 17, 2000.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

1. Hartford Power Sales, L.L.C.

[Docket No. EC00-124-000]

Take notice that on August 14, 2000, Hartford Power Sales, L.L.C. filed an application for an order authorizing the proposed transfer of the Power Sales Agreement by and between HPS and The Connecticut Light and Power Company to Select Energy, Inc.

Comment date: September 13, 2000, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

2. Casco Bay Energy Company, LLC, et al.

[Docket No. EC00-125-000]

Take notice that on August 14, 2000, Casco Bay Energy Company, LLC, Duke Energy Oakland, LLC, Duke Energy Trenton, LLC, Duke Energy South Bay, LLC, Duke Energy Morro Bay, LLC, and Duke Energy Moss Landing, LLC (collectively the Applicants), filed a request for approval of the disposition of jurisdictional assets that may result from the transfer of the Applicants' limited liability company membership interests among the Applicants' upstream affiliates.

Comment date: September 5, 2000, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.