

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**Submission for OMB Review;
Comment Request**

The Department of Commerce (DOC) has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance of the following proposal for collection of information under provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

Agency: Bureau of Export Administration (BXA).

Title: Application for a Duplicate License.

Agency Form Number: None.

OMB Approval Number: 0694-0031.

Type of Request: Extension of a currently approved collection of information.

Burden: 27 hours.

Average Time Per Response: 15 minutes per response.

Number of Respondents: 100 respondents.

Needs and Uses: This collection of information is necessary to identify original export licenses of respondents who request duplicate export licenses for lost or destroyed licenses.

Affected Public: Individuals, businesses or other for-profit institutions.

Respondent's Obligation: Required to obtain or retain a benefit.

OMB Desk Officer: David Rostker, (202) 395-3897.

Copies of the above information collection proposal can be obtained by calling or writing Linda Engelmeier, DOC Forms Clearance Officer, (202) 482-3272, Department of Commerce, Room 6086, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington DC 20230 (or via the Internet at lengelme@doc.gov).

Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice to David Rostker, OMB Desk Officer, Room 10202, New Executive Office Building, Washington, D.C. 20503.

Dated: August 15, 2000.

Madeleine Clayton,

Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 00-21244 Filed 8-18-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-33-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**International Trade Administration
[A-570-838]****Notice of Amendment to the Agreement Between the United States Department of Commerce and the Government of the People's Republic of China Suspending the Antidumping Investigation on Honey From the People's Republic of China**

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce and the Government of the People's Republic of China have signed an Amendment to the Agreement Suspending the Antidumping Investigation on Honey from China

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 31, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Becky Hagen or James Doyle, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3362 (Hagen) and (202) 482-0159 (Doyle).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**Background**

On July 5, 2000, the Department of Commerce ("Department") and the Government of the People's Republic of China ("PRC") initialed an Amendment to provide for the continuation of exports of honey from the PRC to the United States until August 1, 2001. The Department subsequently released the Amendment to interested parties for comment. No interested party filed comments and therefore the Department and the Government of the PRC signed a final Amendment on July 31, 2000. The text of the final Amendment follows this notice.

Dated: August 10, 2000.

Troy H. Cribb,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

Amendment to the Antidumping Suspension Agreement on Honey Between the United States Department of Commerce and the Government of the People's Republic of China

The United States Department of Commerce (Department) and the Government of the People's Republic of China (PRC) hereby amend Section XII of the Agreement Suspending the Antidumping Investigation on Honey from the People's Republic of China, signed August 2, 1995, as amended, by adding the following language

immediately after the first sentence of Section XII:

In order to provide for the continuation of exports of honey from the PRC to the United States during and immediately following the Department's administrative review pursuant to section 751(a) of the Act and the five-year review by the Department and the International Trade Commission pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act, the export limits provided for in Section III of this Agreement, as amended, shall remain in force through August 1, 2001.

If, after said date, the underlying proceeding remains suspended, the Government of the PRC and the Department will enter into consultations to agree upon export limits in order to permit future shipments under the Agreement. If, prior to said date, the underlying proceeding is terminated as a result of either the termination review or the sunset review, the Agreement, this Amendment and the export limits contained therein will be terminated.

Dated: July 31, 2000.

Richard W. Moreland,
For the United States Department of Commerce.

Dated July 31, 2000.

Shi Jianzin,
For the Ministry of Foreign Trade and Economic Cooperation, PRC.

[FR Doc. 00-21242 Filed 8-18-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**International Trade Administration
[A-565-801]****Notice of Postponement of Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Stainless Steel Butt-Weld Pipe Fittings From the Philippines**

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: August 21, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Fred Baker or Robert James at (202) 482-2924 and (202) 482-0649, respectively, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue., NW., Washington, DC 20230.

Postponement of Final Determination

The Department of Commerce is postponing the final determination in the antidumping duty investigation of stainless steel butt-weld pipe fittings from the Philippines.

On August 2, 2000 the Department published its preliminary determination in this investigation. See *Notice of Preliminary Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Stainless Steel Butt-Weld Pipe Fittings from the Philippines*, 65 FR 47393 (August 2, 2000). The notice stated that the Department would issue its final determination no later than 75 days after the date of issuance of the notice.

Pursuant to section 735(a)(2)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, on July 31, 2000, Tung Fong Industrial Co., Ltd. (Tung Fong), a respondent in the investigation, requested that the Department postpone its final determination to the fullest extent permitted by the statute and the Department's regulations. In addition, it consented to an extension of the period for the imposition of provisional measures to the fullest extent permitted, or six months, whichever is later. In accordance with section 735(a)(2)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.210(b), because (1) the preliminary determination was affirmative; (2) the requesting exporter accounts for a significant proportion of exports of the subject merchandise; and (3) no compelling reasons for denial exist, we are granting Tung Fong's request and are postponing the final determination until no later than 135 days after publication of the preliminary determination in the **Federal Register** (i.e., until no later than December 15, 2000). Suspension of liquidation will be extended accordingly.

This postponement is in accordance with section 735(a)(2)(A) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.210(b)(2).

Dated: August 11, 2000.

Troy H. Cribb,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 00-21240 Filed 8-18-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C-122-815]

Pure and Alloy Magnesium From Canada; Ministerial Error in Final Results of Full Sunset Reviews of Countervailing Duty Orders

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of ministerial error in final results of full sunset reviews: pure and alloy magnesium from Canada [C-122-815].

SUMMARY: On July 5, 2000, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") published in the **Federal Register** the final results of the full sunset reviews of the countervailing duty orders on pure and alloy magnesium from Canada (65 FR 41444, July 5, 2000). Subsequent to the publication of that notice, we received a submission on behalf of Magnesium Corporation of America, ("Magcorp") alleging a ministerial error in the calculation of the "all others" rate (see July 3, 2000, Allegation of Ministerial Error by Magcorp at 2). On July 13, 2000, the Department concluded that the "all others" rate of 4.48 percent, which was published in the *Final Result of Review*, and reported to the International Trade Commission (the "Commission"), was in error. The correct "all others" rate is 7.34 percent. **EFFECTIVE DATE:** July 5, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Kathryn B. McCormick or James Maeder, Office of Policy for Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street & Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-1930 and (202) 482-3330, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On July 5, 2000, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") published in the **Federal Register** the final results of the full sunset reviews of the countervailing duty orders on pure and alloy magnesium from Canada (65 FR 41444, July 5, 2000). Subsequent to the publication of that notice, we received a submission on behalf of Magcorp alleging a ministerial error in the calculation of the "all others" rate. In addition, Magcorp requested that this allegation be commented on and considered on an expedited basis because the Commission was scheduled to vote in this review on July 13, 2000. Consequently, interested parties were given until July 6, 2000, to comment on Magcorp's allegation.

The Department did not receive comments from interested parties by the July 6, 2000, deadline.

On July 13, 2000, the Department notified the Commission that the final results of review contained a ministerial error in the "all others" rate, and that the correct "all others" rate is 7.34 percent.¹

¹ See July 13, 2000, Letter from Troy H. Cribb, Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration to Lynn Featherstone, Director, Office of Investigations, International Trade Commission.

Analysis

In the final results of this sunset review, the Department determined that it would report to the Commission the most recent "all others" rate of 4.48 percent *ad valorem*, from the third administrative reviews, covering the period from January 1, 1994, through December 31, 1994, which were published April 17, 1997 (see *Pure and Alloy Magnesium from Canada; Final Results of the Third (1994) Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 62 FR 18749 (April 17, 1997)). However, according to the final results of the second administrative reviews, covering the period January 1, 1993, through December 31, 1993, and published on September 16, 1997, the most recent rate is 7.34 percent *ad valorem* (see *Pure and Alloy Magnesium from Canada; Final Results of the Second (1993) Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 62 FR 48607, 48610 (September 16, 1997)).

Moreover, reliance on the rate published in the second (1993) administrative reviews is consistent with the Department's post-Uruguay Round Agreements Act ("URAA") practice, and in accordance with section 777A(e)(1) of the Act, which replaced the general rule in favor of a country-wide rate with a general rule in favor of individual rates for investigated and reviewed companies. As a result, the procedures for establishing countervailing duty rates, including those for non-reviewed companies, are now essentially the same as those in antidumping cases, except as provided for in section 777A(e)(2)(B) of the Act. Therefore, the countervailing duty case deposit rate applicable to a company can no longer change, except pursuant to a request for a review of that company. See *Federal-Mogul Corporation and the Torrington Company v. United States*, 822 F. Supp. 782 (CIT 1993) and *Floral Trade Council v. United States*, 822 F. Supp. 766 (CIT). Accordingly, the cash deposit rate applied to companies not reviewed during the 1994 reviews is that established in the most recently completed administrative proceeding conducted pursuant to the statutory provisions that were in effect prior to the URAA amendments, i.e., these 1993 administrative reviews. See *Pure and Alloy Magnesium from Canada; Final Results of the First (1992) Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 62 FR 13857 (March 24, 1997).²

² See also *Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon Steel Plate from Mexico; Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review*, 65 FR 13368, 13369