

Site 1: (35 acres)—175 Progress Place, Springdale (Hamilton County), Ohio;

Site 2: (122 acres)—Cincinnati Machine-UNOVA facilities, 4701 Marburg Avenue, Cincinnati;

Site 3: (460 acres)—Milacron, Inc., facilities within the Clermont County Industrial Park, 4165 Half Acre Road, Batavia (Clermont County), Ohio;

Site 4: (490 acres)—Milacron, Inc., facilities within the Brown County Industrial Park, 418 West Main Street, Mt. Orab (Brown County), Ohio; and,

Site 5: (160 acres)—West Hamco Industrial Park, 4160 Half Acre Road, Batavia, Ohio.

The applicant is now requesting authority to expand Site 3 by adding additional industrial space (406 acres) located at 1981 Front Wheel Drive, Afton Industrial Area in Batavia, immediately adjacent to the western boundary of the existing site. The proposed expansion area (4 parcels) is owned by: A—Flannery Developers (89 acres); B—Central Trust (53 acres); C—Ronald E. Clark (33 acres); and D—ZF Batavia (231 acres).

No specific manufacturing requests are being made at this time. Such requests would be made to the Board on a case-by-case basis.

In accordance with the Board's regulations, a member of the FTZ Staff has been designated examiner to investigate the application and report to the Board.

Public comment on the application is invited from interested parties. Submissions (original and three copies) shall be addressed to the Board's Executive Secretary at the address below. The closing period for their receipt is October 2, 2000. Rebuttal comments in response to material submitted during the foregoing period may be submitted during the subsequent 15-day period (to October 17, 2000).

A copy of the application and the accompanying exhibits will be available for public inspection at each of the following locations:

U.S. Department of Commerce Export Assistance Center, Suite 2650, 36 East 7th Street, Cincinnati, OH 45202

Office of the Executive Secretary, Foreign-Trade Zones Board, U.S. Department of Commerce, Room 3716, 14th Street & Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230.

Dated: July 27, 2000.

**Dennis Puccinelli,**

*Executive Secretary, Foreign-Trade Zones Board.*

[FR Doc. 00-19691 Filed 8-2-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-560-810]

#### Notice of Postponement of Final Antidumping Duty Determination and Extension of Provisional Measures: Certain Expandable Polystyrene Resins From Indonesia

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** August 3, 2000.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Valerie Ellis at (202) 482-2336 or Charles Riggle at (202) 482-0650, AD/CVD Enforcement, Office V, DAS Group II, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230.

#### Postponement of Final Determinations

The Department of Commerce (the Department) is postponing the final determination in the antidumping duty investigation of certain expandable polystyrene resins from Indonesia.

On June 26, 2000, the Department published its preliminary determination in this investigation. See *Notice of Preliminary Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Certain Expandable Polystyrene Resins From Indonesia*, 65 FR 39349. The notice stated that the Department would issue its final determination no later than 75 days after the date of issuance of the notice.

Pursuant to section 735(a)(2)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), on July 11, 2000, PT Risjad Brasali Styrimdo (RBS), the sole respondent in the investigation, requested that the Department postpone its final determination. Further to this request, RBS requested that the Department extend to not more than six months the application of the provisional measures prescribed under paragraphs (1) and (2) of section 733(d) of the Act. In accordance with section 735(a) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.210(b), because the preliminary determination in this case is affirmative and the request for postponement was submitted in writing by an exporter who accounts for a significant proportion of exports of the subject merchandise in this investigation, we are postponing the final determination until no later than 135 days after the publication of the preliminary determination in the **Federal Register** (i.e., until no later than November 8, 2000). Suspension of

liquidation will be extended accordingly.

This extension is in accordance with section 735(a)(2)(A) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.210(b)(2).

Dated: July 27, 2000.

**Troy H. Cribb,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 00-19690 Filed 8-2-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-570-832]

#### Pure Magnesium From the People's Republic of China; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Sunset Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of final results of antidumping duty sunset review; Pure magnesium from the People's Republic of China.

**SUMMARY:** On April 3, 2000, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") published the notice of initiation of sunset review of the antidumping duty order on pure magnesium from the People's Republic of China ("China") (65 FR 17484) pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"). On the basis of a notice of intent to participate and an adequate substantive response filed on behalf of domestic interested parties, and inadequate response (in this case, no response) from respondent interested parties, the Department determined to conduct an expedited sunset review. As a result of this review, the Department finds that revocation of the antidumping duty order would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the levels listed below in the "Final Results of Review" section of this notice.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** August 3, 2000.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Martha V. Douthit, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street & Constitution Avenue, Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482-5050.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### The Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the

effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department of Commerce's (the Department's) regulations are to 19 CFR Part 351 (1999). Guidance on methodological or analytical issues relevant to the Department's conduct of sunset reviews is set forth in the Department Policy Bulletin 98:3—*Policies Regarding the Conduct of Five-year ("Sunset") Reviews of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders*; *Policy Bulletin*, 63 FR 18871 (April 16, 1998) (*Sunset Policy Bulletin*).

### Background

On April 3, 2000, the Department initiated a sunset review of the antidumping duty order on pure magnesium from China (65 FR 17484), pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"). On April 18, 2000, the Department received a notice of intent to participate on behalf of Magnesium Corporation of America ("Magcorp"), within the applicable deadline in accordance with 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(i). On May 3, 2000, the Department received a complete substantive response from Magcorp within the 30-day deadline specified in the *Sunset Regulations* under section 351.218(d)(3)(i). In its substantive response, Magcorp claimed interested party status under section 771(9)(C) of the Act, as a U.S. manufacturer of the domestic like product. Magcorp states that it was the petitioner in the original antidumping duty investigation that led to the order and has been diligent in maintaining the order. See Magcorp's, May 3, 2000, Substantive Response at 4. On June 7, 2000, we informed the International Trade Commission ("Commission") that, on the basis of inadequate responses from respondent interested parties, we were conducting an expedited sunset review of this order consistent with 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2). See Letter to Lynn Featherstone, Director, Office of Investigations from Jeffrey A. May, Director, Office of Policy.

### Scope of Review

The product covered by this review is pure magnesium regardless of chemistry, form or size, unless expressly excluded from the scope of this order. Primary magnesium is a metal or alloy containing by weight primarily the element magnesium and produced by decomposing raw materials into magnesium metal. Pure primary magnesium is used primarily as a chemical in the aluminum alloying,

desulfurization, and chemical reduction industries. In addition, pure primary magnesium is used as an input in producing magnesium alloy. Pure primary magnesium encompasses products (including, but not limited to, butt ends, stubs, crowns and crystals) with the following primary magnesium contents. Since the antidumping duty order was issued, we have clarified that the scope of the original order includes, but is not limited to, butt ends, stubs, crowns and crystals. See May 22, 1997, instructions in U.S. customs and November 14, 1997, Final Scope Rule of Antidumping Duty Order on Pure Magnesium from China: (1) Products that contain at least 99.95 percent primary magnesium, by weight (generally referred to as "ultra-pure" magnesium); (2) Products that contain less than 99.95 percent but not less than 99.8 percent primary magnesium, by weight (generally referred to as "pure" magnesium); and (3) Products (generally referred to as "off-specification pure" magnesium) that contain 50 percent or greater, but less than 99.8 percent primary magnesium, by weight, and that do not conform to ASTM specifications for alloy magnesium. "Off-specification pure" magnesium is pure primary magnesium containing magnesium scrap, secondary magnesium, oxidized magnesium or impurities (whether or not intentionally added) that cause the primary magnesium content to fall below 99.8 percent by weight. It generally does not contain, individually or in combination, 1.5 percent or more, by weight, of the following alloying elements: Aluminum, manganese, zinc, silicon, thorium, zirconium and rare earths.

Excluded from the scope of this order are alloy primary magnesium (that meets specifications for alloy magnesium), primary magnesium anodes, granular primary magnesium (including turnings, chips and powder), having a maximum physical dimension (*i.e.*, length or diameter) of one inch or less, secondary magnesium (which has pure primary magnesium content of less than 50 percent by weight), and remelted magnesium whose pure primary magnesium content is less than 50 percent by weight. Pure magnesium products covered by this order are currently classifiable under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS") subheadings 8104.11.00, 8104.19.00, 8104.20.00, 8104.30.00, 8104.90.00, 3824.90.11, 3824.90.19 and 9817.00.90. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes,

our written description of the scope is dispositive.

### Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case by the domestic interested party to this sunset review are addressed in the "Issues and Decision Memorandum" ("Decision Memo") from Jeffrey A. May, Director, Office of Policy, Import Administration, to Troy H.V. Cribb, Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated August 1, 2000, which is adopted by this notice. The issues discussed in the Department's Decision Memo include the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping and the magnitude of the margin likely to prevail were the order revoked. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in this review and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum which is on file in Central Records Unit, room B-099, of the Department's main building.

In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memo can be accessed directly on the Web at [www.ita.doc.gov/import\\_admin/records/frn/](http://www.ita.doc.gov/import_admin/records/frn/), under the heading ("China"). The paper copy and electronic version of the Decision Memo are identical in content.

### Final Results of Review

We determine that revocation of the antidumping duty order would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the following percentage weighted-average margins:

Manufacturer/exporter	Margin (percent)
Country Wide rate .....	108.26

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders ("APO") of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305 or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing this determination and notice in accordance with sections 751(c), 752, and 771(i) of the Act.

Dated: July 28, 2000.

**Troy H. Cribb,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 00-19693 Filed 8-2-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**