Proposed section 3.2130, paragraph (c) eliminates reference to the VA Form 4505 series as giving authority to VA employees to certify signatures by mark or thumbprint and substitutes a reference to 38 CFR 2.3. It is regulations, not forms, that give certain VA employees the authority to take affidavits, administer oaths, and certify documents. The regulations are also more readily available to the general public than VA Forms are. We believe this change more clearly identifies the VA employees authorized to certify signatures by mark or thumbprint.

This rulemaking is partly a response to the Presidential Memorandum on Plain Language, dated June 1, 1998 (63 FR 31885–86), and addressed to the heads of executive departments and agencies. The memorandum stated the President's goal to make government more responsive, accessible, and comprehensible in its communications with the public. As an integral part of his program, the President urged departments and agencies to consider rewriting existing regulations in plain language when they have the opportunity and resources to do so.

This rulemaking also addresses commentary from the judicial branch. In Zang v. Brown, 8 Vet. App. 246, 255 (1995) (Steinberg, J., separate views), the Court of Appeals for Veterans Claims (the Court) pointed to a "confusing tapestry" of VA regulations which should be the subject of review and reevaluation by the Secretary [of Veterans Affairs] with a view toward providing clear guidance for the adjudication of VA benefits claims."

In response to the President's memorandum and the Court's commentary, VA has undertaken a long-term, comprehensive project to revise its adjudication regulations. The Plain Language Regulations Project is charged with reorganizing and rewriting in plain language the adjudication regulations in part 3 of title 38, Code of Federal Regulations. The project team will use Reader-Focused Writing techniques to the extent possible while remaining faithful to the policies and mandates expressed in current statutes, regulations, and case law.

Unfunded Mandates

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act requires (in section 202) that agencies prepare an assessment of anticipated costs and benefits before developing any rule that may result in an expenditure by state, local, or tribal governments, in the aggregate, or by the private sector of \$100 million or more in any given year. This final rule will have no

consequential effect on state, local, or tribal governments.

Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Secretary certifies that the adoption of the proposed rule would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities as they are defined in the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601–612. The proposed rule does not directly affect any small entities. Only VA beneficiaries could be directly affected. Therefore, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 605(b), these amendments are exempt from the initial and final regulatory flexibility analysis requirements of sections 603 and 604.

The catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance program numbers for this proposal includes 64.100, 64.101, 64.104, 64.105, 64.109, 64.110, and 64.127.

List of Subjects in 38 CFR Part 3

Administrative practice and procedure, Claims, Disability benefits, Health care, Pensions, Veterans, Vietnam.

Approved: July 13, 2000.

Togo D. West, Jr.,

Secretary of Veterans Affairs.

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, VA proposes to amend 38 CFR part 3 as follows:

PART 3—ADJUDICATION

Subpart A—Pension, Compensation, and Dependency and Indemnity Compensation

1. The authority citation for part 3, subpart A continues to read as follows:

Authority: 38 U.S.C. 501(a), unless otherwise noted.

§ 3.113 [Removed]

2. Section 3.113 is removed.

Subpart C—[Reserved]

- 3. Subpart C is added and reserved.
- 4. A new Subpart D is added to read as follows:

Subpart D—Universal Adjudication Rules That Apply to Benefit Claims Governed by Part 3 of This Title

General

Sec.

3.2100 Scope of Applicability3.2130 Will VA accept a signature by mark or thumbprint?

Subpart D—Universal Adjudication Rules That Apply to Benefit Claims Governed by Part 3 of This Title

Authority: 38 U.S.C. 501(a), unless otherwise noted.

General

§ 3.2100 Scope of Applicability.

Unless otherwise specified, the provisions of this subpart apply only to claims governed by part 3 of this title.

(Authority: 38 U.S.C. 501(a))

§ 3.2130 Will VA accept a signature by mark or thumbprint?

VA will accept signatures by mark or thumbprint if:

- (a) They are witnessed by two people who sign their names and give their addresses, or
- (b) They are certified by a notary public or any other person having the authority to administer oaths for general purposes, or
- (c) They are certified by a VA employee who has been delegated authority by the Secretary under 38 CFR 2.3.

(Authority: 38 U.S.C. 5101)

[FR Doc. 00-18688 Filed 7-25-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8320-01-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Parts 50, 52 and 81

[FRL-6841-1]

RIN 2060-AJ05

Rescinding the Finding That the Preexisting PM-10 Standards are No Longer Applicable in Northern Ada County/Boise, Idaho

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed Rule; extension of comment period.

SUMMARY: Today, EPA is hereby extending the closing date of the public comment period regarding EPA's notice of proposed rulemaking "Rescinding the Finding that the Pre-existing PM-10 Standards are No Longer Applicable in Northern Ada County/Boise, Idaho," published June 26, 2000 at 65 FR 39321. The original comment period was to close on July 26, 2000. The new closing date will be August 31, 2000. The EPA is soliciting comments on this proposal and one of the comments we've received asks for an extension of the public comment period. Due to the complexity of the issues surrounding the action

EPA is proposing to take, we find it appropriate that we provide additional time for interested and affected parties to submit comments. All comments received by EPA on or prior to August 31, 2000 will be considered in the development of a final rule.

DATES: All comments regarding EPA's notice of proposed rulemaking issued on June 26, 2000 must be received by EPA on or before close of business August 31, 2000 instead of July 26, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be submitted to:

On paper. Send paper comments (in duplicate, if possible) to the Air and Radiation Docket and Information Center (6102), Attention: Docket No. A–2000–13, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW, Washington, DC 20460, telephone (202) 260–7548.

Electronically. Send electronic comments to EPA at: A-and-R-Docket@epa.gov. Avoid sending confidential business information (CBI). We accept comments as e-mail attachments or on disk. Either way, they must be in WordPerfect version 5.1, 6.1 or Corel 8 file format. Avoid the use of special characters and any form of encryption. You may file your comments on this proposed rule online at many Federal Depository Libraries. Be sure to identify all comments and data by docket number A-2000-13.

Public inspection. You may read the proposed rule (including paper copies of comments and data submitted electronically, minus anything claimed as CBI) at the Office of Air and Radiation Docket and Information Center located 3 at 401 M Street, SW, Washington, DC 20460. They are available for public inspection from 8 a.m. to 5:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. A reasonable fee may be charged for copying.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Questions about the proposal should be addressed to Gary Blais, Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards, Air Quality Strategies and Standards Division, Integrated Policy and Strategies Group, MD–15, Research Triangle Park, NC 27711, telephone (919) 541–3223 or e-mail to blais.gary@epa.gov. To ask about policy matters specifically regarding Northern Ada County/Boise, call Bonnie Thie, EPA Region 10, Office of Air Quality (OAQ–107), EPA, Seattle, Washington,(206) 553–1189.

Dated: July 19, 2000.

Henry C. Thomas, Jr.,

 $Acting\ Director,\ Office\ of\ Air\ Quality\ Planning\ and\ Standards.$

[FR Doc. 00–18884 Filed 7–25–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[TX-125-1-7463b; FRL-6840-2]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Texas; Revisions to Emergency Episode Plan Regulations

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The EPA is proposing to approve revisions to the Texas Natural Resource Conservation Commission emergency episode plan regulations in the Texas State Implementation Plan (SIP). These revisions update statutory citations, update references to the commission, and change various wordings to improve readability.

wordings to improve readability. In the "Rules and Regulations" section of this Federal Register, EPA is approving the State's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because EPA views this as a noncontroversial revision and anticipates no adverse comment. The EPA has explained its reasons for this approval in the preamble to the direct final rule. If EPA receives no relevant adverse comment, EPA will not take further action on this proposed rule. If EPA receives relevant adverse comment, EPA will withdraw the direct final rule and it will not take effect. The EPA will address all public comments in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting must do so at this time.

DATES: Written comments must be received by August 25, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be addressed to Mr. Thomas H. Diggs, Chief, Air Planning Section (6PD–L), at the EPA Region 6 Office listed below. Copies of documents relevant to this action are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the following locations. Anyone wanting to examine these documents should make an appointment with the appropriate office at least two working days in advance.

Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Air Planning Section (6PD–L), 1445 Ross Avenue, Dallas, Texas 75202–2733.

Texas Natural Resource Conservation Commission, Office of Air Quality, 12124 Park 35 Circle, Austin, Texas 78753.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Bill Deese of the EPA Region 6 Air Planning Section at (214) 665–7253 at the address above.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This document concerns revisions to the emergency episode plan regulations in the Texas SIP. For further information, please see the information provided in the direct final action that is located in the "Rules and Regulations" section of this **Federal Register** publication.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

Dated: July 14, 2000.

Julie Jensen,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 6. [FR Doc. 00–18788 Filed 7–25–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[PA158-4103b; FRL-6735-8]

Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Commonwealth of Pennsylvania; Approval of Revisions to Volatile Organic Compounds Regulations

AGENCY: Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA proposes to approve the State Implementation Plan (SIP) revisions submitted by the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. The revisions remove the alternate emission reduction limitations for the Minnesota Mining and Manufacturing Company (3M) located in Bristol, Pennsylvania, and make corrections to certain VOC regulations to make them consistent with federal requirements. In the Final Rules section of this **Federal Register**, EPA is approving the Commonwealth's SIP submittal as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial submittal and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this action, no further activity is contemplated. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule