### **DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

#### Mine Safety and Health Administration

#### 30 CFR Part 72

#### RIN 1219-AB18

# Determination of Concentration of Respirable Coal Mine Dust; Correction

**AGENCY:** Mine Safety and Health Administration (MSHA), Labor. **ACTION:** Proposed rule; correction.

**SUMMARY:** This document corrects typographical errors which appeared in the preamble to the joint proposed rule that announced that the Secretary of Labor and the Secretary of Health and Human Services (the Secretaries) would find in accordance with sections 101 (30 U.S.C. 811) and 202(f)(2) (30 U.S.C. 842(f)(2)) of the Federal Mine Safety and Health Act of 1977 that the average concentration of respirable dust to which each miner in the active workings of a coal mine is exposed can be accurately measured over a single shift. No corrections are being made to the regulatory text.

The joint proposal published in the **Federal Register** on July 7, 2000, would rescind a previous 1972 finding by the Secretaries on the validity of such single-shift sampling.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Carol J. Jones, Director, Office of Standards, Regulations, and Variances, MSHA; 703–235–1910.

#### Corrections

The proposed rule as published by the **Federal Register** contains typographical errors. This document provides information so that a reader may correct those errors. Please note: if you received a copy of the proposed rule from MSHA in the mail, some of the corrections have already been made. These are marked with an \*.

In the proposed rule addressing determination of concentration of respirable coal mine dust, published in the **Federal Register** on July 7, 2000 (65 FR 42068), make the following corrections:

- 1. On page 42068, column one, line 42, change "1997" to read "1998".
- 2. On page 42069, column three, line 53, change "1999" to read "proposed".
- 3. On page 42079, column two, line 31, change "1n" to read "ln".
- 4. On page 42094, column two, line 13 change "\mu" to read "\mug".
- 5. On page 42094, column two, line 59, change "μg" to read "1,400 μg".
- 6. On page 42094, column three, line 17, change " $\mu$ " to read " $\mu$ m".

- 7. On page 42096, column one, line 14, change "Appendix B" to read "Appendix C".
- 8. On page 42097, column three, line 51, change "Appendix C" to read "Appendix D".
- 9. On page 42098, column one, lines 11, 20 and 27, change "Appendix B" to read "Appendix C".
- 10. On page 42101, column three, line 40, change "December 1999" to read "June 2000."
- 11.\* On page 42112, column one, line 44, replace " $Q_e$ " with " $\sigma_e$ ".
- 12.\* On page 42113, column 2, line 3, replace, "will is" with "is".
- 13. On page 42113, column three, line 19, and Table C-1, column one, line 23, replace "Tyvek," with "Tyvek®".
- 14.\* On page 42118, column one, line 21, replace "of  $\varepsilon$ " with "of  $\sigma_{\varepsilon}$ ".
- 15. $^{\hat{*}}$  On page 42119, column one, line 73, replace "equal to "2)" with "equal to  $\sqrt{2}$ ".

Dated: July 20, 2000.

#### Carol J. Jones,

Director, Office of Standards, Regulations and Variances.

[FR Doc. 00–18813 Filed 7–21–00; 12:45 pm] BILLING CODE 4510–43–P

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

## 40 CFR Part 52

[MD042-3051b; FRL-6838-2]

Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Maryland; Approval of Revisions to COMAR 26.11.12 Control of Batch Type Hot-Dip Galvanizing Installations

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA proposes to approve the State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision submitted by the State of Maryland for the purpose of establishing operational flexibility to meet visible emission limits for batch type hot-dip galvanizing installations. In the Final Rules section of this Federal Register, EPA is approving the State's SIP submittal as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial submittal and anticipates no adverse comments. A more detailed description of the state submittal and EPA's evaluation are included in a Technical Support Document (TSD) prepared in support of this rulemaking action. A copy of the TSD is available, upon request, from the EPA Regional Office

listed in the ADDRESSES section of this document. If no adverse comments are received in response to this action, no further activity is contemplated. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time.

**DATES:** Comments must be received in writing by August 24, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be addressed to Ms. Makeba A. Morris, Chief, Technical Assessment Branch, Mailcode 3AP22, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103. Copies of the documents relevant to this action are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the Air Protection Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103; and the Maryland Department of the Environment, 2500 Broening Highway, Baltimore, Maryland, 21224.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Ruth E. Knapp, (215) 814–2191, at the EPA Region III address above, or by email at knapp.ruth@epamail.epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: For further information, regarding the revisions to Maryland's regulation on batch type hot-dip galvanizing installations, please see the information provided in the direct final action, with the same title, that is located in the "Rules and Regulations" section of this Federal Register publication.

Dated: June 30, 2000.

### Bradley M. Campbell,

Regional Administrator, Region III. [FR Doc. 00–18529 Filed 7–24–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

# FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

### 47 CFR Part 73

[DA 00-1569, MM Docket No. 00-127, RM-9894]

### Digital Television Broadcast Service; Jamestown, North Dakota

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission requests comments on a petition filed by Red River Broadcast Company, licensee of

station KJRR(TV), NTSC Channel 7, Jamestown, North Dakota, requesting the substitution of DTV Channel 30 for station KRJJ(TV)'s assigned DTV Channel 14. DTV Channel 14 can be allotted to Iamestown, North Dakota, in compliance with the principle community coverage requirements of section 73.625(a) at reference coordinates (46-55-30 N. and 98-46-21 W.). However, since the community of Jamestown is located 400 kilometers of the U.S.-Canadian border, concurrence by the Canadian government must be obtained for this proposal. As requested, we propose to allot DTV Channel 30 to Jamestown with a power of 1000 and a height above average terrain (HAAT) of 135 meters.

**DATES:** Comments must be filed on or before September 11, 2000, and reply comments on or before September 26, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street, SW, Room TW-A325, Washington, DC 20554. In addition to filing comments with the FCC, interested parties should serve the petitioner, or its counsel or consultant, as follows: John T. Scott III, Crowell & Moring LLP, 1001 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20004 (counsel for Red River Broadcast Company).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Pam Blumenthal, Mass Media Bureau, (202) 418–1600.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a synopsis of the Commission's Notice of Proposed Rule Making, MM Docket No. 00–127, adopted July 19, 2000, and released July 20, 2000. The full text of this Commission decision is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Reference Center 445 12th Street, SW, Washington, DC. The complete text of this decision may also be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, International Transcription Services, Inc., (202) 857–3800, 1231 20th Street NW, Washington, DC 20036.

Provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 do not apply to this proceeding.

Members of the public should note that from the time a Notice of Proposed Rule Making is issued until the matter is no longer subject to Commission consideration or court review, all *ex parte* contacts are prohibited in Commission proceedings, such as this one, which involve channel allotments. See 47 CFR 1.1204(b) for rules governing permissible *ex parte* contacts.

For information regarding proper filing procedures for comments, see 47 CFR 1.415 and 1.420.

Federal Communications Commission.

#### Barbara A. Kreisman.

Chief, Video Services Division, Mass Media Bureau.

[FR Doc. 00–18766 Filed 7–24–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6712–01–P

# FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

### 47 CFR Part 73

[DA 00-1556; MM Docket No. 99-136; RM-9570]

# Radio Broadcasting Services; Babb, MT

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule; denial.

**SUMMARY:** This document denies a petition for rule making filed by the Battani Corporation requesting the allotment of Channel 233C3 at Babb, Montana. *See* 64 FR 24997, May 10, 1999. Based on the information submitted by Battani Corporation, we believe it has failed to establish that Babb qualifies as a community for allotment purposes and therefore it would not serve the public interest to allot a channel to Babb.

**ADDRESSES:** Federal Communications Commission, Washington, DC 20554.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kathleen Scheuerle, Mass Media Bureau, (202) 418–2180.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a summary of the Commission's Report and Order, MM Docket No. 99-136, adopted July 5, 2000, and released July 14, 2000. The full text of this Commission decision is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the Commission's Reference Center, 445 Twelfth Street, SW, Washington, DC. The complete text of this decision may also be purchased from the Commission's copy contractors, International Transcription Services, Inc., 1231 20th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20036; (202) 857-3800, facsimile (202) 857-3805.

Federal Communications Commission

### John A. Karousos,

Chief, Allocations Branch, Policy and Rules Division, Mass Media Bureau.

[FR Doc. 00–18757 Filed 7–24–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6712–01–P

# FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

#### 47 CFR Part 73

[DA 00-1570, MM Docket No. 00-128, RM-9912]

# Radio Broadcasting Services; Pilot Rock, OR

AGENCY: Federal Communications

Commission.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Commission requests comments on a petition filed by Aaron Bruton to allot Channel 221C3 to Pilot Rock, OR, as the community's first local aural service. Channel 221C3 can be allotted to Pilot Rock in compliance with the Commission's minimum distance separation requirements with a site restriction of 14.5 kilometers (9 miles) west, at coordinates 45–30–00 NL; 119–00–56 WL, to avoid a short-spacing to Station KWVR, Channel 221A, Enterprise, Oregon.

**DATES:** Comments must be filed on or before September 5, 2000, and reply comments on or before September 20, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street, S.W., Room TW-A325, Washington, DC 20554. In addition to filing comments with the FCC, interested parties should serve the petitioner, or its counsel or consultant, as follows: Aaron Bruton, 1832 Fern, Walla Walla, WA 99362 (Petitioner).

# **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Leslie K. Shapiro, Mass Media Bureau, (202) 418–2180.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a synopsis of the Commission's Notice of Proposed Rule Making, MM Docket No. 00–128, adopted July 5, 2000, and released July 14, 2000. The full text of this Commission decision is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Reference Center, 445 12th Street, SW, Washington, DC. The complete text of this decision may also be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, International Transcription Services, Inc., (202) 857–3800, 1231 20th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036.

Provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 do not apply to this proceeding.

Members of the public should note that from the time a Notice of Proposed Rule Making is issued until the matter is no longer subject to Commission consideration or court review, all exparte contacts are prohibited in Commission proceedings, such as this