

per-second pump station near Tillar, Arkansas; and 28 weirs in the main water supply channels. The secondary delivery system would consist of 75 lateral and 8 sublaterals to divert irrigation water from the main water supply channels to the beginning of an on-farm irrigation system; 4,830 relifts to supply water to the laterals and on-farm delivery systems; approximately 1,361,000 linear feet of permanent underground pipelines; and 670 on-farm reservoirs.

3. Additional alternatives that may be included are:

a. Water supply plans to meet three different design drought conditions.

b. Additional import points along the Arkansas River similar to the plan selected for detailed analysis in the Reconnaissance Study.

c. One import point on the Mississippi River to supply a portion of the unmet water needs of the Basin.

d. Utilization of on-farm storage and water conservation measures to meet all or a portion of the water needs in the Basin.

e. Upland reservoirs west of Bayou Bartholomew to supply a portion of the unmet water needs of the Basin.

f. Various levels of flood control and the impacts of the water supply alternatives on the existing level of flood protection.

g. Features to restore, protect, and/or enhance the environment. Opportunities exist to improve the productivity of streams and oxbow lake fisheries; restore, protect, and/or enhance the remaining tracts of bottomland hardwoods and forested wetlands to benefit Neotropical migratory birds; and migratory waterfowl.

h. Other alternatives may be developed through the scoping process described below.

4. The National Environmental Policy Act (40 CFR Parts 1500–1508) requires all Federal agencies involved in water resources planning to conduct a process termed “scoping.” This scoping process determines the issues to be addressed and identifies the significant issues related to a proposed action. To accomplish this, two public scoping meetings will be held. One meeting is tentatively scheduled to be held at Pine Bluff, Arkansas, and one meeting at McGehee, Arkansas. These meetings are scheduled to be held in August 2000. Significant issues identified in the scoping meetings will be analyzed in depth in the DEIS. Significant issues currently identified include, but are not limited to, excessive sedimentation, excessive nutrients, trash dumping, log jams, reduced instream flow, habitat alteration, lack of diverse use, lack of

public access, contaminants, and rock weirs. The Environmental Protection Agency, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Natural Resources Conservation Service, Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality, Arkansas Game and Fish Commission, and the Arkansas Soil and Water Conservation Commission will be invited to become cooperating agencies. These agencies will be asked to review data, the feasibility report, and appendixes. A public meeting will be held once the DEIS is completed. All interested agencies, groups, tribes, and individuals will be sent copies of the DEIS and final EIS.

5. The DEIS is estimated to be completed in March 2005.

Robert Crear,

Colonel, Corps of Engineers, District Engineer.

[FR Doc. 00–18537 Filed 7–20–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3710–PU–M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. ER00–2885–000]

Cedar Brakes I, L.L.C.; Notice of Issuance of Order

July 17, 2000.

Cedar Brakes I, L.L.C. (Cedar Brakes) submitted for filing a rate schedule under which Cedar Brakes will engage in wholesale electric power and energy transactions at market-based rates. Cedar Brakes also requested waiver of various Commission regulations. In particular, Cedar Brakes requested that the Commission grant blanket approval under 18 CFR Part 34 of all future issuances of securities and assumptions of liability by Cedar Brakes.

On July 12, 2000, pursuant to delegated authority, the Director, Division of Corporate Applications, Office of Markets, Tariffs and Rates, granted requests for blanket approval under Part 34, subject to the following:

Within thirty days of the date of the order, any person desiring to be heard or to protest the blanket approval of issuances of securities or assumptions of liability by Cedar Brakes should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214).

Absent a request for hearing within this period, Cedar Brakes is authorized to issue securities and assume obligations or liabilities as a guarantor,

indorser, surety, or otherwise in respect of any security of another person; provided that such issuance or assumption is for some lawful object within the corporate purposes of the applicant, and compatible with the public interest, and is reasonably necessary or appropriate for such purposes.

The Commission reserves the right to require a further showing that neither public nor private interests will be adversely affected by continued approval of Cedar Brakes’ issuances of securities or assumptions of liability.

Notice is hereby given that the deadline for filing motions to intervene or protests, as set forth above, is August 14, 2000.

Copies of the full text of the Order are available from the Commission’s Public Reference Branch, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426. The Order may also be viewed on the Internet at <http://www.ferc.fed.us/online/rims.htm> (call 202–208–2222 for assistance).

David P. Boergers,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00–18473 Filed 7–20–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. EC00–109–000]

Cinergy Capital & Trading, Inc. CinCap VI, LLC Sunbury Holdings, LLC; Notice of Filing

July 17, 2000.

Take notice that on July 11, 2000, Cinergy Capital & Trading, Inc., Inc., CinCap VI, LLC and Sunbury Holdings, LLC (collectively, the Applicants), tendered for filing a supplement to Exhibit H to their joint application filed on June 27, 2000, in the above-captioned docket.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest such filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). All such motions and protests should be filed on or before July 25, 2000. Protests will be considered by the Commission to determine the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on

file with the Commission and are available for public inspection. This filing may also be viewed on the Internet at <http://www.ferc.fed.us/online/rims.htm> (call 202-208-2222 for assistance).

David P. Boergers,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00-18479 Filed 7-20-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. SA00-1-000]

J. Dennis Corbin, Arlene E. Corbin, and the Estate of Mary Alice Corbin; Notice of Petition for Adjustment

July 17, 2000.

Take notice that on June 12, 2000, J. Dennis Corbin (filing on behalf of himself, Arlene E. Corbin, and the Estate of Mary Alice Corbin (collectively: Corbin)) filed a petition for adjustment under section 502(c) of the Natural Gas Policy Act of 1978,¹ requesting to be relieved of its obligation to pay the interest on the Kansas ad valorem tax reimbursement refunds Corbin owes to Northern Natural Gas Company. Absent such relief, Corbin would be required to make such refunds under the Commission's September 10, 1997 order in Docket No. RP97-369-000 *et al.*² The Commission's September 10 order on remand from the D.C. Circuit Court of Appeals³ directed first sellers under the NGPA to make Kansas ad valorem tax refunds, with interest, for the period from 1983 to 1988. The Corbin petition is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

J. Dennis Corbin states that he and his wife (Arlene E. Corbin) never purchased any working interest in oil wells, or any other type of petroleum interest. Mr. Corbin further notes that, although he did inherit an interest in some Kansas oil properties from his father (F.J. Corbin), after his father and step-mother (Mary Alice Corbin) died in 1981, the wells involved were shut down approximately 10 to 11 years ago, and he believes that his father's estate was closed some time in 1983 or 1984. Accordingly, Mr. Corbin states that he

does not understand how he and his wife could owe the refunds at issue, which pertain to certain Kansas wells operated by Burnett Corporation.

Mr. Corbin further acknowledges that he transferred one-half of his inherited interest in the Kansas oil properties to his wife. However, Mr. Corbin contends that, in view of the fact that his wife is presently responsible for caring for her 81-year-old blind mother, the burden imposed on his wife by the Commission's September 10 order is totally unjustified.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said petition should on or before 15 days after the date of publication in the **Federal Register** of this notice, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 384.214, 385.211, 385.1105, and 385.1106). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

David P. Boergers,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00-18477 Filed 7-20-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RP00-386-000]

Destin Pipeline Company, L.L.C.; Notice of Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff

July 18, 2000.

Take notice that on July 12, 2000 Destin Pipeline Company, L.L.C. (Destin) tendered for filing as part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Original Volume No. 1, the following revised tariff sheets to become effective August 15, 2000:

Fourth Revised Sheet No. 5
Second Revised Sheet No. 96
Second Revised Sheet No. 98
First Revised Sheet No. 99
Original Sheet No. 121A

On February 9 and May 19, 2000, the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) issued Order Nos. 637 and 637-A, respectively, which, among

other things directed pipelines to file revised tariff sheets to remove the price cap for short-term capacity releases for a 2½ year period and to modify any "Right of First Refusal" provisions to comply with the Commission's new policy determinations. Destin states that it is filing revised tariff sheets to comply with these directives.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with sections 385.214 or 385.211 of the Commission's rules and regulations. All such motions or protests must be filed in accordance with section 154.210 of the Commission's regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room. This filing may be viewed on the web at <http://www.ferc.fed.us/online/rims.htm> (call 202-208-2222 for assistance).

David P. Boergers,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00-18509 Filed 7-20-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. EC00-95-000]

Dynegy Inc., Dynegy Holdings Inc., Illinova Corporation and Midwest Generation, LLC; Notice of Filing

July 17, 2000.

Take notice that on July 11, 2000, Dynegy Inc. (Dynegy) Illinova Corporation (Illinova), Dynegy Holdings Inc. (DHI), and Dynegy Midwest Generation, Inc. (DMGI) (together, Applicants) tendered for filing a Supplement to the application filed May 22, 2000 under section 203 of the Federal Power Act, which requested that the Commission approve a series of transactions (Proposed Transfer) designed to transfer the equity ownership of DMGI from Illinova to Dynegy Catlin Member, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of DHI.

The Supplement consists of the documents that comprise Exhibit H

¹ 15 U.S.C. 3142(c) (1982).

² See 80 FERC ¶ 61,264 (1997); order denying reh'g issued January 28, 1998, 82 FERC ¶ 61,058 (1998).

³ *Public Service Company of Colorado v. FERC*, 91 F.3d 1478 (D.C. 1996), cert. denied, Nos. 96-954 and 96-1230 (65 U.S.L.W. 3751 and 3754, May 12, 1997) (Public Service).