

the Consent Decree, Intalco agrees to perform or fund the remedy, subject to future orders or decrees. Additionally, Intalco agrees not to sue the United States for any response costs associated with the Site.

The Department of Justice will receive for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication comments relating to the Consent Decree.

Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General of the Environment and Natural Resources Division, Department of Justice, Washington, D.C., 20530, and should refer to *United States v. Intalco Aluminum*, D.J. Ref. 90-11-2-1135.

The Consent Decree may be examined at the Office of the United States Attorney, Suite 300, United States Courthouse, 920 West Riverside, Spokane, Washington, 99210; at the Office of the Wenatchee National Forest, 215 Melody Lane, Wenatchee, Washington, 98801; at the Office of the Holden Village, Holden, Washington; and a copy may be obtained from the Department of Justice Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, D.C. 20044-7611. In requesting a copy, please enclose a check in the amount of \$26.75 payable to the Consent Decree Library.

Joel M. Gross,

Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section,
Environment and Natural Resources Division.
[FR Doc. 00-1677 Filed 1-24-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Pursuant to the Safe Drinking Water Act

In accordance with departmental policy, 28 CFR 50.7, notice is hereby given that on January 6, 2000, a proposed consent decree in *United States v. Jupiter Oil Corp., et al.*, C.A. No. 98-CV-72684-DT (E.D. Mich.), was lodged with the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan. The proposed consent decree would resolve pending claims of the United States against defendants, Jupiter Oil Corporation and Blake Energy Company, Inc., in the above-referenced action.

The Amended Complaint in the above-referenced civil action seeks injunctive relief and civil penalties for violations of the Safe Drinking Water Act, 42 U.S.C. 300f *et seq.*, at an underground injection well known as the Smith E 01, located in St. Clair County, Michigan. The complaint alleges that defendants failed to comply

with various reporting requirements and mechanical integrity demonstration requirements set forth in applicable regulations, an underground injection control ("UIC") permit, and in Final Administrative Orders issued by the United States Environmental Protection Agency.

The proposed consent decree would require defendants to achieve and maintain compliance with the Safe Drinking Water Act, applicable regulations thereunder, and terms of the UIC permit for the Smith E 01 Well. In addition, the proposed consent decree would require defendants to pay a civil penalty of \$50,000.

The Department of Justice will receive, for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication, comments relating to the proposed Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General for the Environment and Natural Resources Division, United States Department of Justice, P.O. Box 7611, Ben Franklin Station, Washington, D.C. 20044-7611, and should refer to *United States v. Jupiter Oil Corp., et al.*, C.A. No. 98-CV-72684-DT (E.D. Mich.), and the Department of Justice Reference No. 90-5-1-1-4482.

The proposed Consent Decree may be examined at the Office of the United States Attorney for the Eastern District of Michigan, 231 West Fort Street, Suite 2001, Detroit, MI 48226; and at the Region 5 Office of the United States Environmental Protection Agency, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604-3590. A copy of the proposed Consent Decree may be obtained in person or by mail from the Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, Ben Franklin Station, Washington, D.C. 20044-7611. In requesting a copy, please refer to DJ #90-5-1-1-4482, and enclose a check in the amount of \$3.50 (14 pages at 25 cents per page for reproduction costs). Makes checks payable to the Consent Decree Library.

Joel M. Gross,

Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section,
Environment and Natural Resources Division.
[FR Doc. 00-1678 Filed 1-24-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Lodging of Stipulation and Settlement Agreement Pursuant to the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act

In accordance with 28 CFR 50.7, the Department of Justice gives notice that a proposed stipulation and settlement agreement in *United States, et al. v. Production Plated Plastics, Inc. et al.*,

Civil No. K87-CV-138 (W.D. Mich.), was lodged with the United States District Court for the Western District of Michigan on January 3, 2000.

The United States brought its action pursuant to Section 3008(a) and (g) of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976, as amended ("RCRA"), 42 U.S.C. 6928(a) and (g). The Complaint alleged that at relevant times the Defendants were the owners and/or operators of a manufacturing facility in Richland, Michigan (Richland Facility), where Defendants stored and disposed of hazardous waste in violation of RCRA. The Complaint sought: (1) The imposition of injunctive orders requiring Defendants to cease the improper storage and disposal of hazardous waste, and to prepare and implement closure plans for the Richland Facility's hazardous waste regulated units; and (2) the assessment of civil penalties for the alleged violations of RCRA.

The United States and its co-plaintiff, the State of Michigan, prevailed against Ladney and two other defendants in a 1992 train in this case. The proposed stipulation and settlement agreement would resolve Ladney's liability to the United States' claims against Ladney under RCRA. Ladney will be required to pay the United States \$100,000.

The Department of Justice will receive, for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication, comments relating to the proposed stipulation and settlement agreement. In accordance with RCRA Section 7003(d), 42 U.S.C. 6973(d), commentators also may request an opportunity for a public meeting in the affected areas to discuss the proposed covenants not to sue under RCRA Section 7003, 42 U.S.C. 6973.

All comments, and/or requests for a public meeting under RCRA Section 7003(d) should refer to *United States et al. v. Production Plated Plastics, et al.*, Civil No. K87-CV-138 (W.D. Mich.) and DOJ Reference No. 90-7-1-377A.

The proposed stipulation and settlement agreement may be examined at: (1) The Office of the United States Attorney for the Western District of Michigan, 330 Ionia, NW., Grand Rapids, Michigan 49503, (616) 456-2404; and (2) the United States Environmental Protection Agency (Region 5), 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604-3590 (contact Stuart Hersh (312)-886-6235).

A copy of the proposed stipulation and settlement agreement may also be obtained by mail from the Department of Justice Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044. In

requesting a copy, please refer to the reference case and DOJ Reference Number and enclose a check in the amount of \$3.50 for the document (14 pages at 25 cents per page reproduction costs), made payable to the Consent Decree Library.

Joel M. Gross,

*Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section,
Environment and Natural Resources Division.*

[FR Doc. 00-1679 Filed 1-24-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Motion to Modify Final Judgment and Memorandum in Support of Motion to Modify; United States v. Baroid Corp., et al.

Notice is hereby given that Smith International, Inc. ("Smith") has filed with the United States District Court for the District of Columbia a motion to modify the judgment in *United States v. Baroid Corporation, et al.*, Civil Action No. 93-2621. The Department has consented to modification of the Judgment but has reserved the right to withdraw its consent if it determines that, based upon comments filed or other information, consent to the modification is not in the public interest.

This case was filed on December 23, 1993, and alleged that the merger of Dresser Industries, Inc. ("Dresser") and Baroid Corporation ("Baroid") might substantially lessen competition in the United States in the manufacture and sale of two oil field service products, including drilling fluids, in violation of Section 7 of the Clayton Act. The Final Judgment was entered on April 12, 1994 and modified on September 19, 1996.

Under the Final Judgment, Dresser was required to divest either its 64 percent partnership interest in M-I Drilling Fluids Company or Baroid's wholly owned subsidiary, Baroid Drilling Fluids, Inc. Pursuant to the judgment, Dresser divested its partnership interest in M-I to Smith.

Paragraph IV.F. of the Final Judgment states that the purchaser of the divested drilling fluids business may not combine that business with any one of three named companies. One of those companies is Schlumberger Ltd. ("Schlumberger"). In July 1999, Smith formed a drilling fluids joint venture with Schlumberger, and the United States petitioned the United States District Court for the District of Columbia to find Smith and Schlumberger in civil and criminal

contempt for violating the Final Judgment by forming the joint venture. In December 1999, the District Court found Smith and Schlumberger guilty of criminal contempt and imposed a \$750,000 fine against each company. Smith and Schlumberger settled the civil contempt case, agreeing to disgorge a total of \$13.1 million in joint venture profits.

Smith's motion proposes modifying the Final Judgment to remove Schlumberger from Paragraph IV.F. The United States has consented, subject to the comment period, to the modification as being in the public interest because of Schlumberger's failure to achieve more than 2 percent of the U.S. drilling fluid market in the six years since the Final Judgment was filed.

Copies of the Complaint and Judgment, the pleadings related to the 1996 modification, Smith's motion and supporting memorandum, and the United States' consent are available for inspection in Room 215, Antitrust Division, U.S. Department of Justice, 325 7th St., NW., Washington, DC 20530 and at the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the District of Columbia, Third Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20001. Copies of any of these materials may be obtained upon request and payment of a copying fee.

Comments to the Department of Justice and to the Court regarding the proposed modification of the Final Judgment are invited from members of the public. They should be addressed to Roger W. Fones, Chief, Transportation, Energy and Agriculture Section, Antitrust Division, U.S. Department of Justice, Suite 500, 325 7th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20530 (202-307-6351.) Such comments must be received within 30 days.

Constance K. Robinson,

*Director of Operations & Merger Enforcement,
Antitrust Division.*

[FR Doc. 00-1680 Filed 1-24-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Occupational Safety and Health Administration

[Docket No. ICR-1218-0104(2000)]

Inorganic Arsenic; Extension of the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Approval of Information Collection (Paperwork) Requirements

AGENCY: Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA); Labor.

ACTION: Notice of an opportunity for public comment.

SUMMARY: OSHA solicits comments concerning the proposed reduction in, and extension of, the information collection requirements contained in the Inorganic arsenic standard (29 CFR 1910.1018).

REQUEST FOR COMMENT: The Agency is particularly interested in comments on the following issues:

- Whether the information collection requirements are necessary for the proper performance of the Agency's functions, including whether the information is useful;
- The accuracy of the Agency's estimate of the burden (time and costs) of the information collection requirements, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- The quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and
- Ways to minimize the burden on employers who must comply; for example, by using automated, electronic, mechanical, and other technological information collection and transmission techniques.

DATES: Submit written comments on or before March 27, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Submit written comments to the Docket Office, Docket No. ICR-1218-0104(2000), Occupational Safety and Health Administration, U.S. Department of Labor, Room N-2625, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, DC 20210; telephone: (202) 693-2350. Commenters may transmit written comments of 10 pages or less in length by facsimile to (202) 693-1648.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Nancy Dorris, Directorate of Policy, Occupational Safety and Health Administration, U.S. Department of Labor, Room N-3641, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, DC 20210; telephone: (202) 693-2444. A copy of the Agency's Information Collection Request (ICR) supporting the need for the information collection requirements in the Inorganic arsenic standard is available for inspection and copying in the Docket Office, or you may request a mailed copy by telephoning Nancy Dorris or Todd R. Owen at (202) 693-2444. For electronic copies of the ICR on the Inorganic arsenic standard, contact OSHA on the Internet at <http://www.osha-slc.gov>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

The Department of Labor, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, conducts a preclearance consultation program to