(ii) Accomplish paragraph (d) of this AD.

Note 3: For the purposes of this AD, a
detailed visual inspection is defined as:

"An intensive visual examination of a specific structural area, system, installation, or assembly to detect damage, failure, or irregularity. Available lighting is normally supplemented with a direct source of good lighting at intensity deemed appropriate by the inspector. Inspection aids such as mirror, magnifying lenses, etc., may be used. Surface cleaning and elaborate access procedures may be required."

# Option 2: High Frequency Eddy Current and Detailed Visual Inspections

- (c) Remove the upper link and accomplish the requirements of paragraphs (c)(1) and (c)(2) of this AD, in accordance with Boeing Service Bulletin 767–57–0053, Revision 2, dated September 23, 1999.
- (1) Perform a high frequency eddy current inspection or a dye penetrant inspection to detect cracking of the pitch load fittings of the wing front spar.
- (2) Perform a detailed visual inspection of the inner and outer face pad-up areas of the pitch load fittings to detect damage or corrosion and to determine if the pad-up areas are parallel. Except as provided by paragraph (f) of this AD, if any damage, corrosion, or non-parallelism is detected, prior to further flight, rework the inner or outer face of the pitch load fitting where damage or corrosion was detected, and make pad-up areas parallel, as applicable, in accordance with the service bulletin.

#### Rework

- (d) For airplanes on which any cracking is detected during any inspection required by paragraph (b) of this AD, or on which the requirements of paragraph (c) of this AD have been accomplished: Prior to further flight, accomplish paragraph (d)(1) or (d)(2) of this AD, as applicable, in accordance with Boeing Service Bulletin 767–57–0053, Revision 2, dated September 23, 1999; and accomplish paragraph (e) of this AD.
- (1) For airplanes inspected in accordance with paragraph (c) of this AD and on which no cracking was detected: Make an insurance cut of the pitch load fitting lug.
- (2) For airplanes on which any cracking was detected during any inspection required by paragraph (b) or (c) of this AD: Except as provided by paragraph (f) of this AD, rework the lugs of the pitch load fittings of the wing front spar.

#### **Bushing Installation**

- (e) For airplanes on which the requirements specified in paragraph (d) of this AD have been accomplished: Prior to further flight, install new bushings in the pitch load fittings of the wing front spar as specified in paragraph (e)(1) or (e)(2) of this AD, in accordance with Boeing Service Bulletin 767–57–0053, Revision 2, dated September 23, 1999.
- (1) Option 1: Install new bushings using the high interference fit method, and repeat the inspections required by paragraph (b) or

(c) of this AD at the intervals specified in Table 1.3 of Figure 1. of the service bulletin.

(2) Option 2: Install new bushings using the FORCEMATE method, and repeat the inspections required by paragraph (b) or (c) of this AD at the interval specified in Table 1.4 of Figure 1. of the service bulletin.

#### Repair

(f) If any damage is detected that is outside the limits specified in Boeing Service Bulletin 767-57-0053, Revision 2, dated September 23, 1999, and the service bulletin specifies to contact Boeing for appropriate action: Prior to further flight, repair in accordance with a method approved by the Manager, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate; or in accordance with data meeting the type certification basis of the airplane approved by a Boeing Company Designated Engineering Representative (DER) who has been authorized by the Manager, Seattle ACO, to make such findings. For a repair method to be approved, as required by this paragraph, the approval letter must specifically reference this AD.

#### **Optional Terminating Action**

- (g) Accomplishment of the actions specified in paragraphs (g)(1) and (g)(2) of this AD constitutes terminating action for the actions required by this AD.
- (1) Modify the nacelle strut and wing structure in accordance with Boeing Service Bulletin 767–54–0080, dated October 7, 1999 (for Model 767 series airplanes powered by Pratt & Whitney engines); Boeing Service Bulletin 767–54–0081, dated July 29, 1999 (for Model 767 series airplanes powered by General Electric engines); or Boeing Service Bulletin 767–54–0082, dated October 28, 1999 (for Model 767 series airplanes powered by Rolls-Royce engines); as applicable.
- (2) Accomplish the lug bore inspections and insurance cut of the pitch load fitting in accordance with Boeing Service Bulletin 767–57–0053, Revision 2, dated September 23, 1999.

Note 4: The FAA is considering separate rulemaking actions to mandate accomplishment of Boeing Service Bulletins 767–54–0080, 767–54–0081, and 767–54–0082. Actions described in Boeing Service Bulletin 767–57–0053, Revision 2 (or previous issues of that service bulletin), as required by this AD will be specified as an integral part of the actions required to accomplish these service bulletins.

#### **Alternative Methods of Compliance**

(h) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Seattle ACO. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Seattle ACO.

**Note 5:** Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of

compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Seattle ACO.

#### **Special Flight Permits**

(i) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with §§ 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

#### **Incorporation by Reference**

(j) Except as provided in paragraphs (f) and (g)(1) of this AD, the actions shall be done in accordance with Boeing Service Bulletin 767–57–0053, Revision 2, dated September 23, 1999. This incorporation by reference was approved by the Director of the Federal Register in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. Copies may be obtained from Boeing Commercial Airplane Group, P.O. Box 3707, Seattle, Washington, 98124–2207. Copies may be inspected at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

#### **Effective Date**

(k) This amendment becomes effective on July 24, 2000.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on June 9, 2000.

#### Donald L. Riggin,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service. [FR Doc. 00–15183 Filed 6–16–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–U

#### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

#### **Federal Aviation Administration**

#### 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 99-NM-351-AD; Amendment 39-11791; AD 2000-12-13]

#### RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Airbus Model A319, A320, and A321 Series Airplanes

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This amendment supersedes an existing airworthiness directive (AD), applicable to certain Airbus Model A319, A320, and A321 series airplanes, that currently requires revising the FAA-approved Airplane Flight Manual (AFM) to increase monitoring of the

flight path of the airplane to detect certain software anomalies of the flight management guidance system, and take appropriate corrective actions. This amendment adds a requirement to either modify the existing on-board replaceable modules of the flight management guidance computers (FMGC) to incorporate software changes, or replace the FMGC's with new, improved FMGC's; which would terminate the requirements for the AFM revision. This amendment is prompted by the issuance of mandatory continuing airworthiness information by a foreign civil airworthiness authority. The actions specified by this AD are intended to prevent erroneous navigational calculations, which could result in an increased risk of collision with terrain or other airplanes.

DATES: Effective July 24, 2000.

The incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in the regulations is approved by the Director of the Federal Register as of July 24, 2000.

The incorporation by reference of certain other publications, as listed in the regulations, was approved previously by the Director of the Federal Register as of November 3, 1997 (62 FR 53939, October 17, 1997).

ADDRESSES: The service information referenced in this AD may be obtained from Airbus Industrie, 1 Rond Point Maurice Bellonte, 31707 Blagnac Cedex, France. This information may be examined at the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, Rules Docket, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Norman B. Martenson, Manager,

Norman B. Martenson, Manager, International Branch, ANM–116, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055–4056; telephone (425) 227–2110; fax (425) 227–1149.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: A proposal to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) by superseding 97-21-10, amendment 39-10163 (62 FR 53939, October 17, 1997), which is applicable to certain Airbus Model A319, A320, and A321 series airplanes, was published in the Federal Register on April 14, 2000 (65 FR 20105). The action proposed to continue to require a revision to the Airplane Flight Manual (AFM) to increase monitoring of the flight path of the airplane to detect certain software anomalies of the flight management guidance system, and take appropriate

corrective actions. The action proposed to add a requirement to either modify all existing on-board replaceable modules of the FMGC's to incorporate software changes, or replace all existing FMGC's with new, improved FMGC's; which would terminate the requirements for the AFM revision. The action also proposed to limit the applicability of the existing AD to airplanes on which a certain modification has been installed or service bulletin has been accomplished, and to exclude airplanes on which another modification has been installed or service bulletin has been accomplished.

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of this amendment. Due consideration has been given to the comments received.

#### **Support for the Proposal**

One commenter, the manufacturer, concurs with the content of the proposal.

# **Later Revision of French Airworthiness Directive**

The same commenter states that related French airworthiness directive 1999–411–140(B) has been revised to Revision 1, dated May 3, 2000, to include in the applicability Airbus Model A319 and A320 series airplanes having Airbus Modification 26717. The commenter notes that the proposed AD already includes these airplanes in its applicability, but suggests that the AD be revised to refer to the latest revision of the French airworthiness directive.

The FAA concurs that Revision 1 of the related French airworthiness directive matches the applicability of this AD and should be referenced for completeness. **Note 4** of the final rule has been revised to include a reference to Revision 1 of the French airworthiness directive.

#### Conclusion

After careful review of the available data, including the comments noted above, the FAA has determined that air safety and the public interest require the adoption of the rule with the change previously described. The FAA has determined that this change will neither increase the economic burden on any operator nor increase the scope of the AD.

#### **Cost Impact**

There are approximately 200 airplanes of U.S. registry that will be affected by this AD.

The actions that are currently required by AD 97–21–10 take approximately 1 work hour per airplane

to accomplish, at an average labor rate of \$60 per work hour. Required parts will be provided by the manufacturer at no charge to the operators. Based on these figures, the cost impact of the previously required actions on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$60 per airplane.

The new actions that are required by this new AD will take approximately 1 work hour per airplane to accomplish, at an average labor rate of \$60 per work hour. Based on these figures, the cost impact of the new requirements of this AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$12,000, or \$60 per airplane.

The cost impact figures discussed above are based on assumptions that no operator has yet accomplished any of the requirements of this AD action, and that no operator would accomplish those actions in the future if this AD were not adopted.

#### **Regulatory Impact**

The regulations adopted herein will not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, it is determined that this final rule does not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this action: (1) Is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A final evaluation has been prepared for this action and it is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained from the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

#### List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

#### **Adoption of the Amendment**

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration amends part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

# PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

#### § 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by removing amendment 39–10163 (62 FR

53939, October 17, 1997), and by adding a new airworthiness directive (AD), amendment 39–11791, to read as follows:

**2000–12–13 Airbus Industrie:** Amendment 39–11791. Docket 99–NM–351–AD. Supersedes AD 97–21–10, Amendment 39–10163.

Applicability: Model A319, A320, and A321 series airplanes; certificated in any

category; on which any of the Airbus modifications has been installed or any of the Airbus service bulletins has been accomplished, as listed in the following table; except those airplanes on which Airbus Modification 26716, 26799, 26968, or 27831 has been installed; or except those airplanes on which Airbus Service Bulletin A320–22–1063, A320–22–1064, A320–22–1065, A320–22–1069, or A320–22–1069 has been accomplished:

Affected model(s)	Airbus modification installed
A319 and A321 A319, A320, and A321	25469 (reference Airbus Service Bulletin A320–22–1054). 26093.
A320	24065 (reference Airbus Service Bulletin A320–22–1040) or 24067 (reference Airbus Service Bulletin A320–22–1039).
A320	25314 (reference Airbus Service Bulletin A320–22–1051) or 25315 (reference Airbus Service Bulletin A320–22–1050).
A320 and A321	24064 (reference Airbus Service Bulletin A320–22–1034) or 24066 (reference Airbus Service Bulletin A320–22–1029).
A320 and A321	25199 (reference Airbus Service Bulletin A320–22–1045) or 25200 (reference Airbus Service Bulletin A320–22–1046).
A320 and A321	25240 (reference Airbus Service Bulletin A320–22–1033) or 25274 (reference Airbus Service Bulletin A320–22–1056).
A319, A320, and A321	26243. 26717.

Note 1: This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been otherwise modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (e)(1) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent erroneous navigational calculations, which could result in an increased risk of collision with terrain or other airplanes, accomplish the following:

### Restatement of Requirements of AD 97–21–10

(a) Within 10 days after November 3, 1997 (the effective date of AD 97–21–10, amendment 39–10163), revise the Normal Procedures Section of the FAA-approved Airplane Flight Manual (AFM) by inserting a copy of Model A319/320/321 Flight Manual Temporary Revision 4.03.00/02, dated May 28, 1997, into the AFM.

Note 2: When the temporary revision specified in paragraph (a) of this AD has been incorporated into the general revisions of the AFM, the general revisions may be inserted in the AFM, provided the information contained in the general revisions is identical to that specified in Model A319/320/321 Flight Manual Temporary Revision 4.03.00/02.

#### New Requirements of this AD

- (b) Within 18 months after the effective date of this AD, accomplish either paragraph (b)(1) or (b)(2) of this AD, in accordance with Airbus Service Bulletin A320–22–1063, Revision 01, dated October 8, 1999; A320–22–1064, dated September 15, 1998; A320–22–1065, dated October 28, 1998; A320–22–1067, Revision 01, dated July 7, 1999; A320–22–1068, dated December 9, 1998; or A320–22–1069, dated February 1, 1999; as applicable. Following accomplishment of either paragraph (b)(1) or (b)(2) of this AD, the AFM revision required by paragraph (a) of this AD may be removed from the AFM.
- (1) Modify all existing on-board replaceable modules of the flight management guidance computers (FMGC) to incorporate software changes in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of the applicable service bulletin.
- (2) Replace all existing FMGC's with new, improved FMGC's in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of the applicable service bulletin.
- (c) Accomplishment of either the modification or replacement action required by paragraph (b) of this AD constitutes terminating action for the AFM requirements of paragraph (a) of AD 98–19–08, amendment 39–10750. Following accomplishment of either of those actions, remove the FAA-approved AFM revision required by that AD (Airbus A319/320/321 Airplane Flight Manual Temporary Revision 9.99.99/44, Issue 2, dated March 3, 1998).

#### Spares

(d) As of the effective date of this AD, no person shall install any FMGC part number B546BAM0205, B546CAM0101, B546BCM0204, B398BAM0207, B398AAM0410, B546CCM0101, B546CCM0103, or

B398BCM0107; unless it has been modified in accordance with this AD.

#### Alternative Methods of Compliance

- (e)(1) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, International Branch, ANM–116, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, International Branch, ANM–116.
- (2) Alternative methods of compliance, approved previously in accordance with AD 97–21–10, amendment 39–10163, are approved as alternative methods of compliance with paragraph (a) of this AD.

**Note 3:** Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the International Branch, ANM-116.

#### Special Flight Permits

(f) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with §§ 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

#### Incorporation by Reference

(g) The actions shall be done in accordance with Model A319/320/321 Flight Manual Temporary Revision 4.03.00/02, dated May 28, 1997; Airbus Service Bulletin A320-22-1063, Revision 01, dated October 8, 1999; Airbus Service Bulletin A320-22-1064, dated September 15, 1998; Airbus Service Bulletin A320-22-1065, dated October 28, 1998; Airbus Service Bulletin A320-22-1067, Revision 01, dated July 7, 1999; Airbus

Service Bulletin A320–22–1068, dated December 9, 1998; and Airbus Service Bulletin A320–22–1069, dated February 1, 1999; as applicable.

(1) The incorporation by reference of Airbus Service Bulletin A320–22–1063, Revision 01, dated October 8, 1999; Airbus Service Bulletin A320–22–1064, dated September 15, 1998; Airbus Service Bulletin A320–22–1065, dated October 28, 1998; Airbus Service Bulletin A320–22–1067, Revision 01, dated July 7, 1999; Airbus Service Bulletin A320–22–1068, dated December 9, 1998; and Airbus Service Bulletin A320–22–1069, dated February 1, 1999; is approved by the Director of the Federal Register in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51.

(2) The incorporation by reference of Model A319/320/321 Flight Manual Temporary Revision 4.03.00/02, dated May 28, 1997, was approved previously by the Director of the Federal Register as of November 3, 1997 (62 FR 53939, October 17, 1997)

(3) Copies may be obtained from Airbus Industrie, 1 Rond Point Maurice Bellonte, 31707 Blagnac Cedex, France. Copies may be inspected at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

**Note 4:** The subject of this AD is addressed in French airworthiness directive 1999–411–140(B), dated October 20, 1999, and Revision 1, dated May 3, 2000.

(h) This amendment becomes effective on July 24, 2000.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on June 9, 2000.

#### Donald L. Riggin,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service. [FR Doc. 00–15182 Filed 6–16–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–U

#### DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

#### **Federal Aviation Administration**

#### 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 2000-NM-78-AD; Amendment 39-11794; AD 2000-12-16]

RIN 2120-AA64

# Airworthiness Directives; Boeing Model 747 Series Airplanes

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

**ACTION:** Final rule; request for

comments.

**SUMMARY:** This amendment supersedes an existing airworthiness directive (AD), applicable to certain Boeing Model 747 series airplanes, that currently requires repetitive inspections to detect fatigue cracking or loose or missing fasteners of the aft torque bulkheads of the outboard

nacelle struts; and repair, if necessary. This amendment expands the applicability of the existing AD to include certain additional airplanes, and removes certain other airplanes from the applicability of the existing AD. For all airplanes subject to this AD, this amendment also requires accomplishment of a new terminating action. This action is necessary to prevent fatigue cracking and loose or missing fasteners in the aft torque bulkheads of the outboard nacelle struts, which could result in failure of an outboard nacelle strut diagonal brace load path and possible separation of the nacelle from the wing. This action is intended to address the identified unsafe condition.

**DATES:** Effective July 5, 2000.

The incorporation by reference of Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 747— 54A2184, Revision 1, dated May 6, 1999, as listed in the regulations, is approved by the Director of the **Federal Register** as of July 5, 2000.

The incorporation by reference of Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 747–54A2184, dated July 3, 1997, as listed in the regulations, was approved previously by the Director of the Federal Register as of March 18, 1999 (64 FR 10205, March 3, 1999).

Comments for inclusion in the Rules Docket must be received on or before August 18, 2000.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-114, Attention: Rules Docket No. 2000-NM-78-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056. Comments may be inspected at this location between 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. Comments may also be sent via the Internet using the following address: 9-anm-iarcomment@faa.gov. Comments sent via the Internet must contain "Docket No. 2000-NM-78-AD" in the subject line and need not be submitted in triplicate.

The service information referenced in this AD may be obtained from Boeing Commercial Airplane Group, P.O. Box 3707, Seattle, Washington 98124–2207. This information may be examined at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Tamara L. Anderson, Aerospace Engineer, Airframe Branch, ANM–120S, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055–4056; telephone (425) 227–2771; fax (425) 227–1181.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On February 22, 1999, the FAA issued AD 99-05-06, amendment 39-11054 (64 FR 10205, March 3, 1999), applicable to certain Boeing Model 747 series airplanes, to require repetitive inspections to detect fatigue cracking or loose or missing fasteners of the aft torque bulkheads of the outboard nacelle struts; and repair, if necessary. That action was prompted by a report indicating that cracking was found in the aft torque bulkheads of the outboard nacelle struts, and by the availability of new service instructions for detecting fatigue cracking that would not have been detected by the required actions of the existing AD. The requirements of that AD are intended to detect and correct such fatigue cracking and loose or missing fasteners, which could result in failure of an outboard nacelle strut diagonal brace load path and possible separation of the nacelle from the wing.

# **Explanation of Relevant Service Information**

Since the issuance of AD 99-05-06, the FAA has reviewed and approved Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 747-54A2184, Revision 1, dated May 6, 1999. The alert service bulletin describes procedures for repetitive inspections to detect fatigue cracking or loose or missing fasteners of the aft torque bulkheads of the outboard nacelle struts; and repair, if necessary. These procedures are substantially similar to those described in Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 747-54A2184, dated July 3, 1997, which was referenced in AD 99-05-06 as an appropriate source of service information for accomplishment of certain requirements of that AD. However, Revision 1 of the alert service bulletin adds new airplanes (Group 5) that are subject to the repetitive inspections (and repair, if necessary) described in the original issue of the alert service bulletin and required by AD 99-05-06. For certain airplanes (i.e., the airplanes listed in Groups 1, 2, and 5 of the alert service bulletin), the alert service bulletin also describes procedures for a terminating action that eliminates the need for the repetitive inspections described in the alert service bulletin for affected airplanes. The terminating action involves installation of doublers and fillers on the forward side of the lower spar fitting. Accomplishment of the actions specified in the alert service bulletin is