contact Ms. Nancy Purdy at (702) 293–8533.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of Reclamation, including whether the information shall have practical use; (b) the accuracy of Reclamation's estimated burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, use, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Title: Diversons, Return Flow, and Consumptive Use of Colorado River Water in the Lower Colorado River Basin.

OMB No.: 1006-0015.

Abstract: Reclamation delivers Colorado River water to water users for diversion and beneficial consumptive use in the States of Arizona, California, and Nevada. Under Supreme Court order, the United States is required, at least annually, to prepare and maintain complete, detailed, and accurate records of diversions of water, return flow, and consumptive use. This information is needed to ensure that a State or a water user within a State does not exceed its authorized use of Colorado River water. Water users are obligated to provide information on diversions and return flows to Reclamation by provisions in their water delivery contracts. Reclamation determines the consumptive use by subtracting return flow from diversions or by other engineering means. Without the information collected, Reclamation could not comply with the order of the United States Supreme Court to prepare and maintain detailed and accurate records of diversions, return flow, and consumptive use.

Description of respondents: The Lower Basin States (Arizona, California, and Nevada), local and tribal entities, water districts, and individuals that use Colorado River water.

Frequency: Annually, or otherwise as determined by the Secretary of the Interior.

Estimated completion time: An average of 6 hours per respondent.

Annual responses: 54 respondents. Annual burden hours: 290.

An Agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. Reclamation will display a valid OMB control number on the forms. The **Federal Register** notice with a 60-day comment period soliciting comments on this collection of information was published on February 15, 2000 (65 FR 7562). Reclamation did not receive any comments on this collection of information during the comment period.

OMB has up to 60 days to approve or disapprove this information collection, but may respond after 30 days, therefore, public comment should be submitted to OMB within 30 days in order to assure maximum consideration.

Murlin Coffey,

Manager, Property and Office Services, [FR Doc. 00–14270 Filed 6–6–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–94–M

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

Agency Form Submitted to OMB for Review

AGENCY: International Trade Commission.

ACTION: In accordance with the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35), the Commission has submitted a request for approval of surveys to the Office of Management and Budget for review.

PURPOSE OF INFORMATION COLLECTION:

The forms are for use by the Commission in connection with analysis of the effectiveness of section 337 remedial exclusion orders, instituted under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1337).

Summary of Proposal

- (1) Number of forms submitted: Two. (2) Title of form: USITC Survey Regarding Outstanding § 337 Exclusion Orders.
 - (3) Type of request: New.

(4) Frequency of use: survey, single data gathering, scheduled for 2000.

- (5) Description of responding firms: Complainants that obtained exclusion orders from the Commission following an investigation under Section 337 that remains in effect at the time of the survey.
- (6) Estimated number of responding firms: 37.
- (7) Estimated total number of hours to complete the forms: 37.
- (8) Information obtained from the firm that qualifies as confidential business information will be so treated by the Commission and not disclosed in a manner that would reveal the individual operations of a firm.

DATES: To be assured of consideration, written comments must be received on or before July 7, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Copies of the forms and supporting documents are posted on the Commission's World Wide Web site at http://www.usitc.gov or may be obtained from Lynn I. Levine, Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone, 202-205-2560. Comments about the proposals should be directed to the Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Room 10102, Washington, DC 20503, ATTENTION: Desk Officer for the International Trade Commission. All comments should be specific, indicating which part of the survey is objectionable, describing the concern in detail, and including specific suggested revisions or language changes. Copies of any comments should be provided to Robert Rogowsky, Director, Office of Operations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, who is the Commission's designated Senior Official under the Paperwork Reduction Act.

Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting our TDD terminal (telephone no. 202–205–1810). General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (http://www.usitc.gov).

Issued: June 2, 2000. By order of the Commission.

Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00–14320 Filed 6–6–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731-TA-677 (Review)]

Coumarin From China

Determination

On the basis of the record ¹ developed in the subject five-year review, the United States International Trade Commission determines, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)), that revocation of the antidumping duty order on coumarin from China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the

 $^{^1\,\}rm The~record$ is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 C.F.R. § 207.2(f)).

United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.

Background

The Commission instituted this review on December 30, 1999 (64 FR 73576, December 30, 1999) and determined on April 6, 2000 that it would conduct an expedited review (65 FR 24504, April 26, 2000). The Commission transmitted its determination in this review to the Secretary of Commerce on May 30, 2000. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 3305 (May 2000), entitled Coumarin From China: Investigation No. 731–TA–677 (Review).

Issued: May 31, 2000. By order of the Commission.

Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00–14316 Filed 6–6–00; 8:45 am]

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigations Nos. 701-TA-309-A-B (Review) and 731-TA-528 (Review)]

In the Matter of Magnesium From Canada; Notice of Commission Determination Not To Conduct a Portion of the Hearing in Camera

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Commission determination not to close any part of the hearing to the public.

SUMMARY: The Commission has determined to deny the request of respondents Gouvernement du Quebec ("Quebec") and Norsk Hydro Canada, Inc. ("NHCI"), to conduct a portion of its hearing in the above-captioned reviews scheduled for May 31, 2000, in camera. See Commission rules 201.13 and 201.36(b)(4) (19 CFR 201.13 and 201.36(b)(4)).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Andrea C. Casson, Office of General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone 202–205–3115, e-mail acasson@usitc.gov. Hearing-impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter may be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202–205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission's policy and practice is to conduct its hearings in public except in limited circumstances. See 19 CFR 201.36. The Commission's Rules provide for it to close hearings in where

a party identifies subjects to be discussed during a closed session and justifies the need for such a session. 19 CFR 207.24(d). Respondents did not indicate the subjects on which they intended to present testimony during the closed session, and merely stated that during a closed session information covered by the administrative protective order could be addressed as necessary. Absent any identification of the subjects to be discussed and an adequate justification of the need for a closed session, the Commission finds no reason to depart from its ordinary practice of holding fully open hearings. The Commission has determined that the public interest would be best served by a hearing that is entirely open to the public. See 19 CFR 201.36(c)(1).

Authority: This notice is provided pursuant to Commission Rule 201.35(b) (19 CFR 201.35(b)).

Issued: May 30, 2000.

By order of the Commission.

Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00–14317 Filed 6–6–00; 8:45 am] $\tt BILLING\ CODE\ 7020–02–P$

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731-TA-429 (Review)]

Mechanical Transfer Presses From Japan

Determination

On the basis of the record ¹ developed in the subject five-year review, the United States International Trade Commission determines,² pursuant to section 751© of the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Act),³ that revocation of the antidumping duty order on mechanical transfer presses (MTPs) ⁴ from Japan

Commerce published in the Federal Register several Notices of Scope Rulings with respect to MTPs from Japan and determined that: (1) spare and replacement parts are outside the scope of the order (57 FR 19602, May 7, 1992); (2) a destack sheet feeder designed to be used with a mechanical transfer press is an accessory and, therefore, is not within the scope of the order (57 FR 32973, July 24, 1992); (3) the FMX cold-forging press is within the

would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.

Background

The Commission instituted this review on June 1, 1999,5 and determined on September 3, 1999, that it would conduct a full review.6 Notice of the scheduling of the Commission's review and of a public hearing to be held in connection therewith was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, and by publishing the notice in the Federal Register on November 15, 1999.7 The hearing was held in Washington, DC, on April 4, 2000, and all persons who requested the opportunity were permitted to appear in person or by counsel.

The Commission transmitted its determination in this review to the Secretary of Commerce on May 26, 2000. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 3304 (May 2000), entitled Mechanical Transfer Presses from Japan: Investigation No. 731–TA–429 (Review).

Issued: June 1, 2000.

By order of the Commission.

Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00–14319 Filed 6–6–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

scope of the order (59 FR 8910, February 24, 1994); and (5) certain mechanical transfer press parts exported from Japan are outside the scope of the order (62 FR 9176, February 28, 1997).

Commerce's scope identifies the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTS) statistical reporting numbers for MTPs as 8462.99.0035, mechanical transfer presses, and 8466.94.5040, parts of mechanical transfer presses. However, these numbers were replaced by statistical reporting numbers 8462.99.8035, 8466.94.6040, and 8466.94.8040 on January 1, 1994. Subsequently, on July 1, 1997, statistical reporting numbers 8466.94.6040 and 8466.94.8040 were replaced by statistical reporting numbers 8466.94.6540 and 8466.94.8540. For a further explanation of these changes, see the U.S. Tariff Treatment section presented later in this report. The HTS numbers are provided for convenience and customs purposes. The written description remains dispositive.

¹ The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR § 207.2(f)).

 $^{^{\}rm 2}\,\text{Commissioner}$ Thelma J. Askey dissenting.

³ 19 U.S.C. 1675(c).

⁴ For purposes of this review, the term "mechanical transfer press" (MTP) refers to automatic metal-forming machine tools with multiple die stations in which the workpiece is moved from station to station by a transfer mechanism designed as an integral part of the press and synchronized with the press action, whether imported as machines or parts suitable for use solely or principally with these machines. These presses may be assembled or unassembled.

 $^{^{5}\,64}$ FR 29347, June 1, 1999.

 $^{^6\,64}$ FR 50107, September 15, 1999.

⁷ 64 FR 61938, November 15, 1999.