period, and other information related to this action, including any information claimed as confidential business information (CBI). This official record includes the documents that are physically located in the docket, as well as the documents that are referenced in those documents. The public version of the official record does not include any information claimed as CBI. The public version of the official record, which includes printed, paper versions of any electronic comments submitted during an applicable comment period, is available for inspection in the Public Information and Records Integrity Branch (PIRIB), Rm. 119, Crystal Mall #2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Hwy., Arlington, VA, from 8:30 a.m. to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The PIRIB telephone number is (703) 305-5805.

C. How and to Whom Do I Submit Comments?

You may submit comments through the mail, in person, or electronically. To ensure proper receipt by EPA, it is imperative that you identify docket control number OPP–30493 in the subject line on the first page of your response.

- 1. By mail. Submit your comments to: Public Information and Records Integrity Branch (PIRIB), Information Resources and Services Division (7502C), Office of Pesticide Programs (OPP), Environmental Protection Agency, Ariel Rios Bldg., 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460.
- 2. In person or by courier. Deliver your comments to: Public Information and Records Integrity Branch (PIRIB), Information Resources and Services Division (7502C), Office of Pesticide Programs (OPP), Environmental Protection Agency, Rm. 119, Crystal Mall #2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, VA. The PIRIB is open from 8:30 a.m to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The PIRIB telephone number is (703) 305–5805.
- 3. Electronically. You may submit your comments electronically by e-mail to: "opp-docket@epa.gov," or you can submit a computer disk as described above. Do not submit any information electronically that you consider to be CBI. Avoid the use of special characters and any form of encryption. Electronic submissions will be accepted in WordPerfect 6.1/8.0 or ASCII file format. All comments in electronic form must be identified by docket control number OPP–30493. Electronic comments may also be filed online at many Federal Depository Libraries.

D. How Should I Handle CBI that I Want to Submit to the Agency?

Do not submit any information electronically that you consider to be CBI. You may claim information that you submit to EPA in response to this document as CBI by marking any part or all of that information as CBI. Information so marked will not be disclosed except in accordance with procedures set forth in 40 CFR part 2. In addition to one complete version of the comment that includes any information claimed as CBI, a copy of the comment that does not contain the information claimed as CBI must be submitted for inclusion in the public version of the official record. Information not marked confidential will be included in the public version of the official record without prior notice. If you have any questions about CBI or the procedures for claiming CBI, please consult the person identified under "FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT."

E. What Should I Consider as I Prepare My Comments for EPA?

You may find the following suggestions helpful for preparing your comments:

- 1. Explain your views as clearly as possible.
- 2. Describe any assumptions that you used
- 3. Provide copies of any technical information and/or data you used that support your views.
- 4. If you estimate potential burden or costs, explain how you arrived at the estimate that you provide.
- 5. Provide specific examples to illustrate your concerns.
- 6. Offer alternative ways to improve the registration activity.
- 7. Make sure to submit your comments by the deadline in this notice.
- 8. To ensure proper receipt by EPA, be sure to identify the docket control number assigned to this action in the subject line on the first page of your response. You may also provide the name, date, and **Federal Register** citation.

II. Registration Applications

EPA received applications as follows to register pesticide products containing active ingredients not included in any previously registered products pursuant to the provision of section 3(c)(4) of FIFRA. Notice of receipt of these applications does not imply a decision by the Agency on the applications.

Products Containing Active Ingredients Not Included in Any Previously Registered Products

1. File Symbol: 72898–E. Applicant: BioTEPP, Inc., 177, 71e Street East, Charlesbourg, QC, Canada G11 1H4. Product Name: Virosoft CP4. Microbial pesticide. Active ingredient: *Cydia pomonella* Granulosis Virus at 0.16%. Proposed classification/Use: For control of the codling moth on apple trees.

2. File Symbol: 72898–R. Applicant: BioTEPP, Inc., 177, 71e Street East, Charlesbourg, QC, Canada G11 1H4. Product Name: Virosoft BA4. Microbial pesticide. Active ingredient: Mamestra configurata Nuclearpolyhedrosis Virus at 0.16%. Proposed classification/Use: For control of the berttha armyworm on canola.

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 136.

List of Subjects

Environmental protection, Pesticides and pests.

Dated: May 23, 2000.

Janet L. Andersen,

Director, Biopesticides and Pollution Prevention Division, Office of Pesticide Programs.

[FR Doc. 00–14185 Filed 6–5–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–F

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-6709-6]

Policy or Regulatory Flexibility as Incentive for Improved Environmental Performance at Laboratories

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice; request for information under Project XL and Labs21— Flexibility needs under environmental policies or regulations that affect laboratories.

SUMMARY: EPA solicits information from laboratories on cases where flexibility under environmental policies or regulations could result in improved environmental performance. The Agency will use this information in an assessment of whether to provide flexibility through Project XL to laboratories who participate in the emerging Labs21 program. EPA also seeks candidate laboratories to participate in a pilot project connected with this effort.

DATES: The period for the solicitation is open-ended, although responders are requested to reply by July 6, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Responders to Section IV of this **Federal Register** Notice should

address correspondence to: Nina Bonnelycke, Mail Code 1802, U.S. EPA, Ariel Rios Building, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W., Washington, D.C. 20460. Responders may also send information via email to bonnelvcke.nina@epa.gov. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For specific information on regulatory relief for laboratories under Project XL or for general information on Project XL, please contact Nina Bonnelycke at the above addresses or at 202-260-3344. For more information on EPA's Labs21 program, please contact Phil Wirdzek at Mail Code 3204, U.S. EPA, Ariel Rios Building, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W., Washington, D.C. 20460, phone: 202-564-2094, email: wirdzek.phil@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

Through its Office of Administration and Resources Management, EPA is planning to establish a voluntary initiative to improve laboratory environmental performance through energy- and water-efficiency. This initiative, named Laboratories for the 21st century or Labs21, evolved from the Agency's recent efforts to improve the environmental performance of its own laboratories. As part of developing the Labs21 program, the Agency is exploring what incentives, if any, might prompt laboratories to participate in the new program. Preliminary contacts with industry representatives indicate that regulatory relief from environmental regulations might be one such incentive. Other examples include awards and recognition or data sharing on environmental management practices. Since Project XL is an existing EPA program for providing relief from environmental regulations or programmatic requirements, the Agency is exploring using XL as a way to offer this type of flexibility to Labs21 participants.

II. Labs21 and Project XL

A. Labs21 Overview

EPA recently implemented changes at its Ann Arbor, Michigan, laboratory that will accomplish the following: Reduce annual electricity demand by 68 percent, reduce annual water consumption by 80 percent, produce energy and water savings that will reduce the laboratory's annual utility bill by 74 percent, and recoup the cost of the associated equipment upgrades within 8 years.

The Agency is currently implementing comparable modifications at many of its other laboratories and is expecting similar results. Based on its

experience with its own facilities, EPA is exploring whether to develop a national voluntary initiative, referred to as Labs21, to encourage similar improvements at laboratories throughout the U.S.

As part of developing Labs21, EPA held an initial planning meeting on September 9, 1999, to discuss the emerging program with interested parties. (Conference information is available at http://www.epa.gov/labs21 century.) At this meeting, EPA presented its first-year objectives for Labs21, which are to: Establish procedures laboratory owners and operators can use to evaluate the energyand water-efficiency of their laboratories, define the participation requirements for a Labs21 laboratory, provide opportunities to exchange information on laboratory energy- and water-efficiency (e.g., conferences, newsletters, or websites), identify, promote, and replicate demonstration projects to facilitate market acceptance of advanced energy-and water-efficient technologies, and establish award criteria for recognizing Labs21 participants.

EPA is continuing to work with a group of laboratories, including the National Renewable Energy Laboratory and the Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory to flesh out the program further. Interested parties should contact EPA as indicated above.

B. Project XL Overview

One possible means of adding a flexibility component to Labs21 might be Project XL. Project XL is an existing EPA program specifically designed to offer flexibility on an experimental basis, and it has both a continuing mission and experience in granting flexibility from environmental regulations, including regulations that affect laboratories.

EPA launched Project XL –''eXcellence and Leadership''—on March 16, 1995, as a central part of its effort to reinvent environmental protection. (See 60 FR 27282; May 23, 1995.) Project XL gives individual private and public regulated entities the opportunity to develop their own pilot projects wherein the Agency provides targeted flexibility in exchange for improved environmental performance. EPA intends to use Project XL and other related efforts to test innovative strategies for reducing the regulatory burden and promoting economic growth while achieving better environmental and public health protection. Through this testing, EPA can investigate approaches or legal interpretations that depart from or are even inconsistent

with longstanding Agency practices, as long as those interpretations meet the general mandate of the statutes the Agency is charged with implementing.

To participate in XL, interested parties must develop a proposal that satisfies a number of criteria, including criteria for superior environmental performance, transferability, and stakeholder involvement. In the evaluation of environmental performance under XL, EPA seeks superior performance both in areas under existing EPA jurisdiction such as waste handling, air emissions, or effluent treatment, as well as through environmental innovations in fields as diverse as data monitoring and reporting or product stewardship.

Ås of December 1999, 31 projects have met the XL criteria and are in various stages of development and implementation. Sixteen (16) new proposals are in review. For more information about the XL program, XL criteria, or about specific XL projects underway, please refer to http://www.epa.gov/projectxl or contact EPA as indicated above under For Further Information Contact.

C. Existing XL Project Investigates Regulatory Flexibility for Laboratories

The XL program has an existing project, the New England Universities Laboratories XL Project (NE Labs), to replace certain federal and state hazardous waste regulations with an Environmental Management Plan tailored to university labs. (This project is described in more detail on the XL website at http://www.epa.gov/projectxl/nelabs/index.htm.)

The conflict for universities that this project works to address is that while hazardous waste regulations are typically directed toward large-scale industrial processes, academic and teaching laboratories typically use only small amounts of hazardous chemicals. This XL project focuses on two specific environmental management problems caused by application of the waste management regulations to university settings: premature hazardous waste determination and inefficient collection of wastes from satellite locations. Premature hazardous waste determination occurs when university workers, in an attempt to adhere to hazardous waste regulations, discard used materials as "hazardous wastes" without knowledge of the university's options for recycling and reuse. The result is that the university must dispose of an unnecessarily large volume of reusable materials each year, meaning that the regulations in effect curb the effectiveness of the university's

recycling program. In a similar vein, the 3-day satellite accumulation requirements under hazardous waste management regulations force university environmental managers to pick up and transport wastes on a frequent but unpredictable basis. The XL project gives participating universities the latitude to explore how to replace the broad-brush hazardous waste regulations that cause these inefficiencies with a more targeted, effective environmental management system.

It is this type of tradeoff between regulatory relief and improved environmental performance that the Agency hopes to capture in the Labs21 program, and EPA's expectation is that the experience the XL program has gained through running the NE Labs XL project and other similar projects can assist EPA in structuring a flexibility component for Labs21.

III. Definition of "Laboratory"

For purposes of this **Federal Register** notice, the term "laboratory" includes research, academic or industrial laboratories. This definition extends to facilities that generate product in commercial quantities in addition to facilities whose principal output is research, analysis, or products manufactured for R&D or other investigatory purposes.

IV. Information Sought By EPA

A. Types of Flexibility Needed By Laboratories

In today's notice, EPA is asking laboratories to identify specific examples of environmental regulations or policies under which the benefit to the environment appears to be small compared to the implementation burden faced by the affected lab. The previous section describes a case where university laboratories felt they could obtain superior environmental performance by implementing their own environmental control plan instead of continuing compliance with existing hazardous waste regulations. Other examples may exist, for instance, there may be air emission or water treatment standards that, for whatever reason, fail to achieve their environmental objectives when applied to laboratory settings. The Agency will use feedback received through this Notice to guide its assessment of whether to offer a flexibility component in the new Labs21 program.

In addition, the Agency today is asking laboratories to identify any regulations or policies issued by other federal agencies where the benefit to the environment appears to be small compared to the implementation burden faced by the affected lab. Examples of federal agencies with jurisdiction over laboratories' environmental performance include the Food and Drug Administration or the Department of Transportation. The feedback EPA receives will help the Agency assess the need to coordinate with other federal agencies regarding flexibility for laboratories.

B. Laboratories Interested in Participating in a Pilot Project

Through today's notice, EPA requests contact with laboratories that want to participate in an XL project to grant flexibility as part of the Labs21 program. These candidates, referred to as 'sponsors'' under XL, should be interested in obtaining regulatory or programmatic flexibility for their lab, should have some specific ideas concerning requirements that EPA should consider waiving, or should have broad knowledge of the regulatory obstacles to environmental performance that laboratories face.

Through participating, sponsors will not only have a chance to secure regulatory or programmatic relief for their facilities, but will also have an opportunity to shape the dialogue between laboratories and EPA on how to maximize environmental performance at labs.

Dated: May 22, 2000.

Jay Benforado,

Acting Associate Administrator, Office of Policy and Reinvention. [FR Doc. 00-14183 Filed 6-5-00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION

RIN 3046-AA58

Agency Information Collection Activities: Submission for OMB Review

AGENCY: Equal Employment Opportunity Commission.

ACTION: Final notice of submission for

OMB review.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, the **Equal Employment Opportunity** Commission (EEOC) hereby gives notice that it has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) a request for an extension of the existing collection requirements under 29 CFR 1625.22, Waivers of rights and claims under the ADEA. The Commission has

requested an extension of an existing collection as listed below.

DATES: Written comments on this notice must be submitted on or before July 6,

ADDRESSES: The Request for Clearance (SF 83-I), supporting statement, and other documents submitted to OMB for review may be obtained from: Sherman McDaniel, Jr., EEOC Clearance Officer, 1801 L St., NW., Washington, DC 20507. Send comments regarding any aspect of the information collection to Frances M. Hart, Executive Officer, Executive Secretariat, Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, 10th Floor, 1801 L Street, NW., Washington, DC 20507, and to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention: Danny Werfel, Desk Officer for the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, Office of Management and Budget, 725 17th Street, NW., Room 10235, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503. Comments may be electronically mailed to DWERFEL@OMB.EOP.GOV.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Joseph N. Cleary, Assistant Legal Counsel, Office of Legal Counsel, at (202) 663-4647 or TTY (202) 663-7026. This notice is also available in the following formats: large print, braille, audio tape and electronic file on computer disk. Requests for this notice in an alternative format should be made to the Publications Center at 1–800– 669-3362.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Equal **Employment Opportunity Commission** (EEOC) enforces the ADEA of 1967, as amended, 29 U.S.C. 621 et seq., which prohibits discrimination against employees and applicants for employment who are age 40 or older. Congress amended the ADEA by enacting the Older Workers Benefit Protection Act of 1990 (OWBPA), Public Law 101-433, 104 Stat. 983 (1990), to clarify the prohibitions against discrimination on the basis of age. In Title II of OWBPA, Congress addressed waivers of rights and claims under the ADEA, amending section 7 of the ADEA by adding a new subsection (f), 29 U.S.C. 626(f). The provisions of Title II of OWBPA do require employers to provide certain information to employees (but not to EEOC) in writing. The regulation at 29 CFR 1625.22 reiterates those requirements.

The EEOC seeks extension without change of the information collection requirements contained in this recordkeeping regulation. On March 24, 2000, the Commission published a 60-Day Notice informing the public of its intent to request an extension of the