

Proposed Rules

Federal Register

Vol. 65, No. 108

Monday, June 5, 2000

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains notices to the public of the proposed issuance of rules and regulations. The purpose of these notices is to give interested persons an opportunity to participate in the rule making prior to the adoption of the final rules.

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Agricultural Marketing Service

7 CFR Part 928

[Docket No. FV00-928-1 PR]

Papayas Grown in Hawaii; Reopening of Comment Period on Removal of Suspension Regarding Grade, Inspection, and Related Reporting Requirements and Notice of Request for Revision of a Currently Approved Information Collection

AGENCY: Agricultural Marketing Service, USDA.

ACTION: Reopening of the comment period.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the comment period on the proposed removal of the suspension of grade, inspection, and related reporting requirements for Hawaiian papayas is reopened.

DATES: Comments must be received by June 20, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Interested persons are invited to submit written comments concerning this proposal. Comments must be sent to the Docket Clerk, Fruit and Vegetable Programs, AMS, USDA, room 2525-S, PO Box 96456, Washington, DC 20090-6456; Fax: (202) 720-5698; or E-mail: moab.docketclerk@usda.gov. All comments should reference the docket number and the date and page number of this issue of the **Federal Register** and will be made available for public inspection in the Office of the Docket Clerk during regular business hours.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: George Kelhart, Technical Advisor, Marketing Order Administration Branch, Fruit and Vegetable Programs, AMS, USDA, room 2525-S, PO Box 96456, Washington, DC 20090-6456; telephone: (202) 720-2491, Fax: (202) 720-5698.

Small businesses may request information on compliance with this regulation by contacting Jay Guerber,

Marketing Order Administration Branch, Fruit and Vegetable Programs, AMS, USDA, room 2525-S, P.O. Box 96456, Washington, DC 20090-6456; telephone (202) 720-2491, Fax: (202) 720-5698, or E-mail Jay.Guerber@usda.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: A proposed rule was issued on February 14, 2000, and published in the **Federal Register** (65 FR 8313; February 18, 2000). The proposed rule invited comments on removing the suspension of grade, inspection, inspection waiver procedure, and related exempt shipment reporting requirements under the marketing order regulating papayas grown in Hawaii. The proposal specified that papayas shipped to any destination had to be at least Hawaii No. 1, except that the weight requirements specified in this grade shall not apply. These requirements were the same as previously applied except that the 5 percent tolerance for immature papayas was proposed to be removed. The comment period ended April 18, 2000.

One comment signed by eight persons was received. In evaluating that comment, the Department further reviewed the Papaya Administrative Committee's (Committee) recommendation to remove the 5 percent tolerance for immature fruit under Hawaii No. 1. The rationale provided by the Committee for removing that tolerance was to improve the quality of papayas shipped into the fresh market. A further review of the requirements under Hawaii No. 1, however, revealed that the removal of that tolerance could have the effect of permitting more immature fruit in shipments of Hawaii papayas than was permitted before the requirements were suspended in 1994. For instance, under the inspection shipping point plan in Table IIA of the Standards for Hawaii Grown Papaya, the removal of the 5 percent tolerance could permit a lot of papayas to include a maximum of 7 percent immature papayas. This would not be an improvement in quality as contemplated by the Committee.

Before proceeding further on this recommendation, the Department needs more information to clarify the basis of the recommendation to remove the 5 percent tolerance. Reopening the comment period to June 20, 2000, would allow the Committee and other interested persons more time to review

the proposed rule and submit information to clarify the matter.

This delay should not substantially add to the time required to complete this rulemaking action. Accordingly, the period in which to file written comments is reopened until June 20, 2000. This notice is issued pursuant to the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937.

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 601-674.

Dated: May 30, 2000.

Robert C. Keeney,
Deputy Administrator, Fruit and Vegetable Programs.

[FR Doc. 00-13979 Filed 6-2-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-02-U

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 99-NM-98-AD]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Empresa Brasileira de Aeronautica S.A. (EMBRAER) Model EMB-145 Series Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Supplemental notice of proposed rulemaking; reopening of comment period.

SUMMARY: This document revises an earlier proposed airworthiness directive (AD), applicable to certain EMBRAER Model EMB-145 series airplanes, that would have required a one-time ultrasonic inspection of the maneuvering actuator piston rod of the main landing gear (MLG) to ensure adequate wall thickness of the piston rods; and replacement of any discrepant piston rod with a new piston rod. That proposal was prompted by issuance of mandatory continuing airworthiness information by a foreign civil airworthiness authority. This new action revises the proposed rule by removing all references to Change No. 01 of the referenced service bulletin. The actions specified by this new proposed AD are intended to prevent failure of the maneuvering actuator piston rod of the MLG, which would impede retraction of the MLG and

consequent reduced controllability of the airplane.

DATES: Comments must be received by June 30, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-114, Attention: Rules Docket No. 99-NM-98-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056. Comments may be inspected at this location between 9 a.m. and 3 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. Comments may also be sent via the Internet using the following address: 9-anm-nprmcomment@faa.gov. Comments sent via the Internet must contain "Docket No. 99-NM-98-AD" in the subject line and need not be submitted in triplicate.

The service information referenced in the proposed rule may be obtained from Empresa Brasileira de Aeronautica S.A. (EMBRAER), P.O. Box 343-CEP 12.225, Sao Jose dos Campos SP, Brazil. This information may be examined at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the FAA, Small Airplane Directorate, Atlanta Aircraft Certification Office, One Crown Center, 1895 Phoenix Boulevard, suite 450, Atlanta, Georgia.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Satish Lall, Aerospace Engineer, Airframe and Propulsion Branch, ACE-117A, FAA, Small Airplane Directorate, Atlanta Aircraft Certification Office, One Crown Center, 1895 Phoenix Boulevard, suite 450, Atlanta, Georgia 30349; telephone (770) 703-6082; fax (770) 703-6097.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications shall identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified above. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments, specified above, will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in light of the comments received.

Submit comments using the following format:

- Organize comments issue-by-issue. For example, discuss a request to change the compliance time and a request to change the service bulletin reference as two separate issues.

- For each issue, state what specific change to the proposed AD is being requested.

- Include justification (e.g., reasons or data) for each request.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report summarizing each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this proposal will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this notice must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Docket Number 99-NM-98-AD."

The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

Availability of NPRMs

Any person may obtain a copy of this NPRM by submitting a request to the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-114, Attention: Rules Docket No. 99-NM-98-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056.

Discussion

A proposal to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) to add an airworthiness directive (AD), applicable to certain EMBRAER Model EMB-145 series airplanes, was published as a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) in the **Federal Register** on May 7, 1999 (64 FR 24545). That NPRM would have required a one-time ultrasonic inspection of the maneuvering actuator piston rod of the main landing gear (MLG) to ensure adequate wall thickness of the piston rods; and replacement of any discrepant piston rod with a new piston rod. That NPRM was prompted by issuance of mandatory continuing airworthiness information by a foreign civil airworthiness authority. That condition, if not corrected, could result in failure of the maneuvering actuator piston rod of the MLG, which would impede retraction of the MLG and consequent reduced controllability of the airplane.

Actions Since Issuance of Previous Proposal

Since the issuance of that NPRM, the FAA recognized that it inadvertently specified EMBRAER Service Bulletin 145-32-0031, Change No. 01, dated December 8, 1998, as an appropriate

source of service information for accomplishing the requirements of the NPRM and for determining the affected airplanes. The Departamento de Aviacao Civil (DAC), which is the airworthiness authority for Brazil, only classified Change No. 02 of EMBRAER Service Bulletin 145-32-0031, dated February 12, 1999, as mandatory. Change No. 02 of the service bulletin differs from Change No. 01 in that it incorporates additional affected actuator part numbers and serial numbers. Therefore, the FAA has removed all references to Change No. 01 of the subject service bulletin from the proposed AD.

Conclusion

Since this change expands the scope of the originally proposed rule, the FAA has determined that it is necessary to reopen the comment period to provide additional opportunity for public comment.

Cost Impact

The FAA estimates that 33 EMBRAER Model EMB-145 series airplanes of U.S. registry would be affected by this proposed AD, that it would take approximately 1 work hour per airplane to accomplish the proposed actions, and that the average labor rate is \$60 per work hour. Based on these figures, the cost impact of the proposed AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$1,980, or \$60 per airplane.

The cost impact figure discussed above is based on assumptions that no operator has yet accomplished any of the proposed requirements of this AD action, and that no operator would accomplish those actions in the future if this AD were not adopted.

Regulatory Impact

The regulations proposed herein would not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, it is determined that this proposal would not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this proposed regulation (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this

action is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption **ADDRESSES**.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

Empresa Brasileira de Aeronautica S.A. (EMBRAER): Docket 99–NM–98–AD.

Applicability: Model EMB–145 series airplanes, equipped with main landing gear maneuvering actuators, part and serial numbers as listed in EMBRAER Service Bulletin 145–32–0031, Change No. 02, dated February 12, 1999; certificated in any category.

Note 1: This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (b) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent failure of the maneuvering actuator piston rod of the main landing gear (MLG), which would impede retraction of the MLG and consequent reduced controllability of the airplane; accomplish the following:

Ultrasonic Inspection and Replacement, If Necessary

(a) Within the next 100 landings after the effective date of this AD, perform an ultrasonic inspection of the maneuvering actuator piston rods of the MLG to ensure adequate wall thickness of the piston rods, in accordance with EMBRAER Service Bulletin 145–32–0031, Change No. 02, dated February 12, 1999.

(1) If the thickness of any measurement point in any piston rod is greater than 2.0 mm (.079 inch), no further action is required by this AD.

(2) If the thickness of any measurement point in any piston rod is from 1.5 mm (.059 inch) to 2.0 mm (.079 inch): Within 500 landings after the effective date of this AD, replace the piston rod with a new rod having the correct part number as specified in the service bulletin.

(3) If the thickness of any measurement point in any piston rod is less than 1.5 mm (.059 inch): Within 50 landings after the effective date of this AD, replace the piston rod with a new rod having the correct part number as specified in the service bulletin.

Alternative Methods of Compliance

(b) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Atlanta Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Atlanta ACO.

Note 2: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Atlanta ACO.

Special Flight Permits

(c) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

Note 3: The subject of this AD is addressed in Brazilian airworthiness directive 98–09–01 R1, dated March 15, 1999.

Issued in Renton, Washington on May 17, 2000.

Donald L. Riggins,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 00–14019 Filed 6–2–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–U

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

44 CFR Part 67

[Docket No. FEMA–7322]

Proposed Flood Elevation Determinations

AGENCY: Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: Technical information or comments are requested on the proposed base (1-percent-annual-chance) flood elevations and proposed base flood elevation modifications for the communities listed below. The base

flood elevations and modified base flood elevations are the basis for the floodplain management measures that the community is required either to adopt or to show evidence of being already in effect in order to qualify or remain qualified for participation in the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP).

DATES: The comment period is ninety (90) days following the second publication of this proposed rule in a newspaper of local circulation in each community.

ADDRESSES: The proposed base flood elevations for each community are available for inspection at the office of the Chief Executive Officer of each community. The respective addresses are listed in the following table.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Matthew B. Miller, P.E., Chief, Hazards Study Branch, Mitigation Directorate, 500 C Street SW, Washington, DC 20472, (202) 646–3461, or (e-mail) matt.miller@fema.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Federal Emergency Management Agency proposes to make determinations of base flood elevations and modified base flood elevations for each community listed below, in accordance with Section 110 of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973, 42 U.S.C. 4104, and 44 CFR 67.4(a).

These proposed base flood and modified base flood elevations, together with the floodplain management criteria required by 44 CFR 60.3, are the minimum that are required. They should not be construed to mean that the community must change any existing ordinances that are more stringent in their floodplain management requirements. The community may at any time enact stricter requirements of its own, or pursuant to policies established by other Federal, State, or regional entities. These proposed elevations are used to meet the floodplain management requirements of the NFIP and are also used to calculate the appropriate flood insurance premium rates for new buildings built after these elevations are made final, and for the contents in these buildings.

National Environmental Policy Act

This proposed rule is categorically excluded from the requirements of 44 CFR Part 10, Environmental Consideration. No environmental impact assessment has been prepared.