White Machine, Inc., North Royalton, OH

White Machine, Inc., North Kingstown, RI

Whitehead Tool & Design, Inc., Guys Mills, PA

Wiegel Tool Works, Inc., Wood Dale, IL Wightman Engineering Services, Santa Clara, CA

Wilco Die Tool Machine Company, Maryland Heights, MO

Wilkinson Mfg., Inc., Santa Clara, CA Willer Tool Corporation, Jackson, WI William Sopko & Sons Co., Inc., Cleveland, OH

Williams Controls Industries, Portland, OR

Williams Engineering & Manufacturing, Inc., Chatsworth, CA

Williams Machine, Inc., Lake Elsinore, CA

Windsor Tool & Die, Inc., Cleveland, OH Winter's Grinding Service, Menomonee Falls, WI

Wire Cut Company, Inc., Buena Park, CA

Wire Tech E D M, Inc., Los Alamitos, CA

Wire Tech, LLC, Watertown, CT Wirecut Technologies, Inc.,

Indianapolis, IN Wiretec, Inc., Delmont, PA

WireCut E D M, Inc., Dallas, TX Wisconsin Engraving Company, New Berlin, WI

Wisconsin Metalworking Machinery, Waukesha, WI

Wisconsin Mold Builders, LLC, Waukesha, WI

Wise Machine Co., Inc., Butler, PA Wolfe Engineering, Inc., Campbell, CA Wolverine Bronze Company, Roseville,

Wolverine Tool & Engineering, Belmont, MI

Wolverine Tool Company, St. Clair Shores, MI

Woodruff Corporation, Torrance, CA Wright Brothers Welding & Sheet Metal, Inc., Hollister, CA

Wright Industries, Inc., Nashville, TN
Wright Industries, Inc., Gilbert, AZ
Wright-K Technology, Inc., Saginaw, MI
WADKO Precision, Inc., Houston, TX
WSI Industries, Inc., Long Lake, MN
X L I Corporation, Rochester, NY
Yates Tool, Inc., Medina, OH
Yoder Die Casting Corporation, Dayton,
OH

Yorktown Precision Technologies, Yorktown, IN

Youngberg Industries, Inc., Belvidere, IL Youngers Sons Mf, Viola, KS Youngstown Plastic Tooling & Machinery, Inc., Youngstown, OH

Z & Z Machine Products, Inc., Racine, WI

Z M D Mold & Die, Inc., Mentor, OH Zakar, Inc., Brockport, NY Zip Tool & Die Co., Inc., Cleveland, OH Zircon Precision Products, Inc., Tempe, AZ

Zuelzke Tool & Engineering, Milwaukee, WI

4 Axis Machining, Inc., Denver, CO 86 Tool Company, Cambridge Springs, PA

[FR Doc. 00–11637 Filed 5–9–00; 8:45 am]

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

#### **Technology Administration**

Office of Technology Policy; National Medal of Technology Nomination Evaluation Committee; Notice of Determination for Closure of Meeting

The National Medal of Technology Nomination Evaluation Committee has scheduled a meeting for May 22, 2000.

The Committee was established to assist the Department in executing its responsibility under 15 U.S.C. 3711. Under the provision, the Secretary is responsible for recommending to the President prospective recipients of the National Medal of Technology. The committee's recommendations are made after reviewing all nominations received in response to a public solicitation. The Committee is chartered to have twelve members.

Time and Place: The meeting will begin at 9 a.m. and end at 4 p.m. on May 22, 2000. The meeting will be held in Room 4807 at the U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230. For further information contact: S.J. Dapkunas, Acting Director National Medal of Technology, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Herbert C. Hoover Building, Room 4226, Washington, D.C. 20230, Phone: 202–482–1424.

If a member of the public would like to submit written comments concerning the committee's affairs at any time before and after the meeting, written comments should be addressed to the Acting Director of the National Medal of Technology as indicated above.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Assistant Secretary for Administration, with the concurrence of the General Counsel, have formally determined, pursuant to Section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, 5 U.S.C. app. 2, as amended, that this meeting may be properly closed because it is concerned with matters that are within the purview of 5 U.S.C. 522(c)(9)(b). Specifically, it was determined that the meeting may be closed to the public because revealing information about Medal candidates

would be likely to significantly frustrate implementation of a proposed agency action. A copy of the determination is available for public inspection in the Central Reference and Records Inspection Facility, Room 6219, Main Commerce.

In particular, the meeting will be closed to discuss the relative merits of persons and companies nominated for the Medal. Public disclosure of this information would be likely to significantly frustrate implementation of the National Medal of Technology program because premature publicity about candidates under consideration for the Medal, who may or may not ultimately receive the award, would be likely to discourage nominations for the Medal.

Due to closure of the meeting, copies of the minutes of the meeting will not be available, however a copy of the Notice of Determination will be available for public inspection and copying in the office of S.J. Dapkunas, Acting Director, National Medal of Technology, 1401 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Herbert Hoover Building, Room 4226, Washington, D.C. 20230, (Phone: 202–482–1424).

#### Kelly H. Carnes,

Assistant Secretary for Technology Policy. [FR Doc. 00–11643 Filed 5–9–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–18–M

#### **DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

#### Office of the Secretary

#### **Board of Visitors Meeting**

**AGENCY:** Department of Defense Acquisition University.

**ACTION:** Board of Visitors meeting.

SUMMARY: The next meeting of the Defense Acquisition University (DAU) Board of Visitors (BoV) will be held at the Packard Conference Center, Building 184, Ft. Belvoir, Virginia on Wednesday June 7, 2000 from 0900 until 1500. The purpose of this meeting is to report back to the BoV on continuing items of interest. The agenda will also include a presentation by a FY 1999 DAU External Acquisition Research Program awardee.

The meeting is open to the public; however, because of space limitations, allocation of seating will be made on a first-come, first served basis. Persons desiring to attend the meeting should call Mr. John Michel at 703.845.6756.

Dated: May 4, 2000.

#### L.M. Bvnum,

Alternate, OSD Federal Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

[FR Doc. 00-11602 Filed 5-9-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001-10-M

#### **DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

The Joint Staff; National Defense University (NDU), Board of Visitors (BOV); Meeting

**AGENCY:** National Defense University.

**ACTION:** Notice of meeting.

**SUMMARY:** The President, National Defense University has scheduled a meeting of the Board of Visitors.

**DATES:** The meeting will be held between 1230–1530 on June 23, 2000.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held in Room 155B, Marshall Hall, Building 62, Fort Lesley J. McNair, Washington, D.C.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Director, University Operations, National Defense University Fort Lesley J. McNair, Washington, D.C. 20319– 6000. To reserve space, interested persons should phone (202) 685–3937.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The agenda will include present and future educational and research plans for the National Defense University and its components. The meeting is open to the public, but the limited space available for observers will be allocated on a first come, first served basis.

Dated: May 4, 2000.

### L.M. Bynum,

Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

[FR Doc. 00-11603 Filed 5-9-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001-10-M

# DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

# Arbitration Panel Decision Under the Randolph-Sheppard Act

**AGENCY:** Department of Education. **ACTION:** Notice of Arbitration Panel Decision Under the Randolph-Sheppard Act.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that on March 16,1999, and August 13, 1999, an arbitration panel rendered decisions on both merit and remedy in the matter of *James E. Waldie v. Alabama Department of Rehabilitation Services (Docket No. R–S/97–13).* This panel was convened by the U.S. Department of Education pursuant to 20 U.S.C. 107d–1(a) upon receipt of a complaint filed by petitioner, James E. Waldie.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION: A copy of the full text of the arbitration panel decision may be obtained from George F.
Arsnow, U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue, SW., room 3230, Mary E. Switzer Building, Washington DC 20202–2738. Telephone: (202) 205–9317. If you use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD), you may call the TDD number at (202) 205–8298.

Individuals with disabilities may obtain this document in an alternate format (e.g., Braille, large print, audiotape, or computer diskette) on request to the contact person listed in the preceding paragraph.

## **Electronic Access to This Document**

You may view this document, as well as all other Department of Education documents published in the **Federal Register**, in text or Adobe Portable Document Format (PDF) on the Internet at either of the following sites: http://ocfo.ed.gov/fedreg.htm http://www.ed.gov/news.html

To use the PDF you must have the Adobe Acrobat Reader, which is available free at either of the previous sites. If you have questions about using the PDF, call the U.S. Government Printing Office (GPO), toll free, at 1–888–293–6498; or in the Washington, DC, area at (202) 512–1530.

Note: The official version of this document is the document published in the Federal Register. Free Internet access to the official edition of the Federal Register and the Code of Federal Regulations is available on GPO Access at: http://www.access.gpo.gov/nara/index.html

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Pursuant to the Randolph-Sheppard Act (20 U.S.C. 107d–2(c)) (the Act), the Secretary publishes in the **Federal Register** a synopsis of each arbitration panel decision affecting the administration of vending facilities on Federal and other property.

#### **Background**

This dispute concerns the alleged improper denial by the Alabama Department of Rehabilitation Services, the State licensing agency (SLA), of Mr. James E. Waldie's request to bid on a full food service vending facility at Fort McClellan, Anniston, Alabama. A summary of the facts is as follows: In April 1996, the SLA informed licensed blind vendors of an opportunity to manage a full food service vending facility at Fort McClellan, Anniston, Alabama. Twelve persons bid on the Fort McClellan vending facility including Mr. James E. Waldie. On April 23, 1996, the selection committee, which included members of the Elected Committee of Blind Vendors, met to

make the selection for the Fort McClellan vending facility. Following the selection committee's evaluation, they unanimously awarded the Fort McClellan location to another vendor. The decision to award the location to another vendor rather than complainant was based upon the successful vendor receiving the highest total number of points of any applicant, including additional points for seniority.

Mr. Waldie was informed of the SLA's decision to award the bid to another vendor for the Fort McClellan vending facility. Complainant requested that the SLA convene a full evidentiary hearing on this matter, which was held on

January 2, 1997.

Following the hearing, the hearing officer affirmed the selection committee's decision to award the Fort McClellan bid to the other vendor, and the SLA adopted the hearing officer's decision as final agency action. It is this decision that complainant sought to have reviewed by a Federal arbitration panel. An arbitration panel heard this matter on November 16, 1998, concerning the merits of the case and on May 26, 1999, regarding the remedy given to Mr. Waldie.

#### **Arbitration Panel Decision**

The issue before the arbitration panel was whether the Alabama Department of Rehabilitation Services violated the policies and procedures governing the Business Enterprise Program of Alabama during the selection of a vendor/manager for the Fort McClellan, Anniston, Alabama facility pursuant to the Act (20 U.S.C. 107 et seq.) and the implementing regulations (34 CFR part 395).

In ruling on the merits of the case, a majority of the panel determined that the successful bidder should have been disqualified since that vendor did not fulfill the training requirements for managing a full food service operation such as the Fort McClellan vending facility. In reaching that conclusion, the majority of the panel noted that the SLA had sponsored a special 18-week program dedicated solely to cafeteria operations and had stated that specific cafeteria training was a prerequisite for any individual to be selected for a cafeteria facility under the Business Enterprise Program.

The majority of the panel further noted that Mr. Waldie had completed this training while the successful bidder for the Fort McClellan vending facility had never taken this or similar cafeteria training. The majority of the panel concluded that, since the full food service operation at Fort McClellan was the equivalent of a cafeteria, the