

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** David J. Goldberger Office 2, AD/CVD Enforcement Group I, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4136.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

*Applicable Statute and Regulations*

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, are to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department of Commerce's (the Department's) regulations are to 19 CFR Part 351 (1998).

**Background**

The Department published in the **Federal Register** on May 19, 1999, a "Notice of Opportunity to Request Administrative Review" of the antidumping duty order on pure magnesium from the People's Republic of China ("PRC"). On May 28, 1999, Rossborough Manufacturing Company L.P. ("Rossborough"), a U.S. importer, requested that the Department conduct an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on pure magnesium from the PRC produced/exported by Taiyuan East-United Magnesium Company Ltd. for the period May 1, 1998, through April 30, 1999.

On June 30, 1999, the Department initiated an administrative review (64 FR 35124). On August 5, 1999, the Department sent a questionnaire to the PRC Department of Treaty and Law, Ministry of Foreign Trade and Economic Cooperation to be transmitted to Taiyuan East-United Magnesium Company Ltd. On December 22, 1999, Rossborough withdrew its request for a review.

Section 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1) of the Department's regulations provides that the Secretary may permit a party that requests a review to withdraw the request within 90 days after the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the requested review. The regulation also states that the Secretary may extend this time limit if the Secretary decides that it is reasonable to do so. In this case, although the importer has withdrawn its request for a review more than 90 days from the date of initiation, because the Department has not yet devoted considerable time and resources to this proceeding, the Department has determined that it is reasonable to extend the time limit for

Rossborough's withdrawal of its request for a review. Moreover, no other interested party requested a review and we have received no comments regarding Rossborough's withdrawal of its request for a review. Therefore, we are terminating this review of the antidumping duty order on pure magnesium from the PRC. This notice is published in accordance with section 751 of the Act and section 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1) of the Department's regulations.

Dated: December 23, 1999.

**Richard W. Moreland,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 00-27 Filed 1-3-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

**International Trade Administration**

**Application for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instrument**

Pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89-651; 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301), we invite comments on the question of an instrument of equivalent scientific value, for the purposes for which the instrument shown below is intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States.

Comments must comply with 15 CFR 301.5(a)(3) and (4) of the regulations and be filed within 20 days with the Statutory Import Programs Staff, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. 20230. Application may be examined between 8:30 A.M. and 5:00 P.M. in Room 4211, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C.

Docket Number: 99-033. Applicant: Ames Laboratory, U.S. Department of Energy, 211 TASF, Iowa State University, Ames, IA 50011-3020. Instrument: UHV Surface Analysis System, Model Multiprobe S. Manufacturer: Omicron Vakuum Physik GmbH, Germany. Intended Use: The instrument is intended to be used for the characterization and fundamental surface structural studies of a class of intermetallic materials known as quasicrystals. The objectives of the research will include the following: (1) To determine the near-atomic level structure of the clean surfaces of a variety of quasicrystalline materials as a function of surface preparation, (2) To ascertain if any of the surface preparation methods affect single phase samples to such a degree that they

become multiphase, (3) To determine metal film growth characteristics when deposited on quasicrystalline substrates and (4) To determine the effect of typical environmental gases on surface structure. Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs: December 14, 1999.

**Frank W. Creel,**

*Director, Statutory Import Programs Staff.*  
[FR Doc. 00-99 Filed 1-3-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

**International Trade Administration**

**[C-201-505]**

**Final Results of Full Sunset Review and Revocation of Countervailing Duty Order: Porcelain-on-Steel Cooking Ware From Mexico**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of Final Results of Full Sunset Review and Revocation of Countervailing Duty Order: Porcelain-on-Steel Cooking Ware from Mexico.

**SUMMARY:** On August 26, 1999, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") published a notice of preliminary results of the full sunset review of the countervailing duty order on porcelain-on-steel cooking ware from Mexico (64 FR 46651) pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"). We provided interested parties an opportunity to comment on our preliminary results. We did not receive comments from any interested party. As a result of this review, the Department finds that revocation of the countervailing duty order would not be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of countervailable subsidy. Therefore, we are revoking this countervailing duty order effective January 1, 2000.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Martha V. Douthit or Melissa G. Skinner, Office of Policy for Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482-6397 or (202) 482-1560, respectively.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** January 1, 2000.

**Statute and Regulations**

This review was conducted pursuant to sections 751(c) and 752 of the Act. The Department's procedures for the conduct of sunset reviews are set forth

in *Procedures for Conducting Five-year ("Sunset") Reviews of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders*, 63 FR 13516 (March 20, 1998) ("*Sunset Regulations*") and in 19 CFR Part 351 (1999) in general. Guidance on methodological or analytical issues relevant to the Department's conduct of sunset reviews is set forth in the Department's Policy Bulletin 98:3—*Policies Regarding the Conduct of Five-year ("Sunset") Reviews of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders*; Policy Bulletin, 63 FR 18871 (April 16, 1998) ("*Sunset Policy Bulletin*").

### Scope

Imports covered by this order are shipments of porcelain-on-steel cooking ware from Mexico, except teakettles, which do not have self-contained electric heating elements. All of the foregoing are constructed of steel, and are enameled or glazed with vitreous glasses. This merchandise is classifiable under item number 7323.94.0020 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule ("HTSUS"). The HTSUS item number is provided for convenience and customs purposes. The written description remains dispositive.

### Background

On August 26, 1999, the Department issued the *Preliminary Results of Full Sunset Review: Porcelain-on-Steel Cooking Ware from Mexico* (64 FR 46646) ("*Preliminary Results*"). In our *Preliminary Results*, we found that revocation of the countervailing duty order would not be likely to result in recurrence of a countervailable subsidy. We provided all interested parties the opportunity to respond to our preliminary determination. We received no comments from any interested party.

### Final Results of Review

As described in more detail in the *Preliminary Results*, in our analysis of likelihood of continuation or recurrence of a countervailable subsidy, we relied on factual information from the investigation and administrative reviews of this order. Because the Department conducted verification during the investigation and administrative reviews, we consider that the provisions of 19 CFR 351.307(b)(1)(iii) have been met.

As a result of this review, we find that revocation of the countervailing duty order on porcelain-on-steel cooking ware from Mexico would not be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of a countervailable subsidy for the

reasons set forth in our *Preliminary Results* of review.

As a result of this determination by the Department that revocation of the countervailing duty order on porcelain-on-steel cooking ware from Mexico would not be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of a countervailable subsidy, the Department, pursuant to section 751(d)(2) of the Act, is revoking the countervailing duty order. Pursuant to 751(c)(6)(A)(iv) of the Act, this revocation is effective January 1, 2000. The Department will complete any pending administrative reviews of this countervailing duty order and will conduct administrative reviews of subject merchandise entered prior to the effective date of revocation in response to appropriately filed requests for review.

This notice serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order ("APO") of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305 of the Department's regulations.

Timely notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

Dated: December 23, 1999.

**Richard W. Moreland,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 00-30 Filed 1-3-00; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### National Institute of Standards and Technology

#### [Notice 2]

### National Fire Codes: Request for Proposals for Revision of Codes and Standards

**AGENCY:** National Institute of Standards and Technology, Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) is publishing this notice for the National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) as a public service. NIST does not necessarily endorse, approve, or recommend any of the standards referenced in the notice.

The National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) proposes to revise some of its fire safety codes and standards and requests proposals from the public to amend existing NFPA fire safety codes and standards. The purpose of this request is to increase public participation in the system used by the NFPA to develop its codes and standards.

**DATES:** Interested persons may submit proposals on or before the dates listed with the standards.

**ADDRESSES:** Casey C. Grant, Secretary, Standards Council, NFPA, 1 Batterymarch Park, Quincy, Massachusetts 02269-9101.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Casey C. Grant, Secretary, Standards Council, at the above address, (617) 770-3000.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Background

The NFPA develops fire safety codes and standards which are known collectively as the "National Fire Codes." Federal agencies frequently use these codes and standards as the basis for developing Federal regulations concerning fire safety. Often, the Office of the Federal Register approves the incorporation by reference of these standards under 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51.

#### Requests for Proposals

Interested parties may submit amendments, supported by written data, views, or arguments to Casey C. Grant, Secretary, Council, NFPA, at the above address. Proposals should be submitted on forms available from the same address.

Each person must include his or her name and address, identify the document and give reasons for the proposal. Proposals received before or by 5:00 PM local time on the closing date indicated will be acted on by the Committee. The NFPA will consider any proposal that it receives on or before the date listed with the code or standard.

At a later date, each NFPA Technical Committee will issue a report which will include a copy of written proposals that the Committee has received and an account of their disposition by the Committee. Each person who has submitted a written proposal will receive a copy of the report.

**Authority:** 15 U.S.G. 272.

Dated: December 27, 1999.

**Raymond G. Kammer,**  
*Director.*