- 1. Alternatives that will be evaluated include: addition of 4 new bridges, relocating the road (either to the north or south) with sufficient culverts and bridges, installation of an underground piping system, and installation of a new pump and "getaway" channel. The bridge and underground piping system alternatives would include alternative upgrades of the existing roadbed ranging from no upgrades, to raising approximately 10 miles of roadbed up to about 2 feet in elevation, or to an elevation of 12 feet NGVD.
- 2. A scoping letter and public Scoping Meeting will be used to invite comments on alternatives and issues from Federal, State, and local agencies, affected Indian tribes, and other interested private organizations and individuals.
- 3. The Draft EIS will analyze potential impacts to local businesses and residents, Everglades National Park, endangered species, wetlands, biological resources, water quality, and recreational fishing. Impact analysis will be limited to issues associated with the construction of the improvements, only. All general Mod Waters issues were addressed in the original Environmental Impact Statement.
- 4. The alternative plans will be reviewed under provisions of appropriate laws and regulations, including the Endangered Species Act, Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act, and Clean Water Act.
- 5. The Draft SEIS is expected to be available for public review during the 4th quarter of calendar year 2000.

Iohn A. Hall.

Alternate Army Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 00–11293 Filed 5–4–00; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 3710–AJ–M**

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Intent To Prepare a Draft
Environmental Impact Statement
(DEIS) in Conjunction With Proposed
Flood Control and Ecosystem
Restoration Measures in the Kankakee
River Basin in Northeast Illinois and
Northwest Indiana

AGENCY: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, DoD.

ACTION: Notice of intent.

SUMMARY: The project involves proposed construction of flood control measures and ecosystem restoration measures along the Kankakee River, Yellow River, Iroquois River, and major tributaries. Alternatives under consideration include setback levees,

sediment traps, wetland restoration, bank stabilization, vegetation buffers, and selective dredging at locations in several counties in northeast Illinois and northwest Indiana.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Keith Ryder, 312/353–6400 ext. 2020; U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Suite 600, 111 North Canal Street; Chicago, Illinois 60606–7206.

Dated: April 21, 2000.

Peter J. Rowan,

Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army, District Engineer.

[FR Doc. 00–11295 Filed 5–4–00; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Army; Corps of Engineers

Notice of Intent and Notice of Preparation for a Draft Environmental Impact Report and Environmental Impact Statement (EIR/EIS) for a Proposed Flood Reduction Investigation in Yolo County, California

AGENCY: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, DoD.

ACTION: Notice of intent.

SUMMARY: The action being taken is the development of a joint draft EIS/EIR to identify and assess the significance of potential measures that would reduce flood damages to the city of Woodland, adjacent unincorporated areas, and agricultural lands of Yolo County, and improve the conveyance of the hydraulic system for the Lower Cache Creek area. The intent of the draft EIS/EIR is to describe and evaluate the potential effects of the proposed alternatives on environmental resources in the study area.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Questions about the proposed action and draft EIS/EIR can be answered by Patti Johnson at (916) 557–6611 or by mail at U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Planning Division, ATTN: CESPK–PD– R, 1325 J Street, Sacramento, CA 95814– 2922.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: 1.

Proposed Action.—The Corps in cooperation with the non-Federal sponsors (The Reclamation Board of the State of California and the City of Woodland) is conducting a cost-shared feasibility study on alternative flood damage reduction measures to the city of Woodland, Yolo County, California, adjacent unincorporated areas, and agricultural lands. The study is authorized by section 209 of the Flood Control Act of 1962 (Public Law 87—

- 874). A reconnaissance study of flooding problems in the westside tributaries, including Putah and Cache Creeks, and the Yolo Bypass was conducted in 1993–1994 under the authorization of the Energy and Water Development Appropriations Act of 1993. Information resulting from this reconnaissance report is providing some data for the present feasibility study.
- 2. Alternatives.—The feasibility study's draft EIS/EIR will address a combination of one or more flood control measures including setback levees along Cache Creek, stream channel improvements, a north Woodland floodway, and a no-action alternative. Mitigation measures for any significant adverse effects on environmental resources will be identified and incorporated into the alternatives in compliance with various Federal and State statutes.
- 3. Scoping Process.—a. The study plan provides for public scoping, meetings, and comment. The Corps has initiated a process of involving concerned Federal, State, and local agencies and individuals. The City of Woodland Task Force has held periodic public meetings to discuss issues and solicit public comment.
- b. Public involvement during the reconnissance phase of the study included the "Notice of Initiation of a Reconnaissance Study, Westside Tributaries to Yolo Bypass, Flood Control Investigation, California," that was sent to Federal, State, county, and city agencies and other interested groups and individuals in May 1993. The Corps participated in a number of meetings with the Yolo County Board of Supervisors and the Yolo-Solano Flood Control Task Force to brief participants including other public agencies, organizations, and interested individuals on the proposed alternatives. Comments received focused on flooding along Cache Creek, land subsidence, gravel mining, and effects of the alternatives on the Cache Creek Settling Basin. On April 15 and May 6, 1996, the Corps held public workshops in Woodland to present the study result and discuss the procedures to complete the reconnaissance phase and initiate the feasibility phase of the study.
- c. Issues that will be analyzed in depth in the draft EIS/EIR include effects on vegetation and wildlife, special-status species, water quality, air quality, socio-economic conditions, and cultural resources. Other issues may include geology, soils, topography, noise, esthetics, climate and recreation.

- d. The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will provide the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act Report.
- e. A 45-day review period will be allowed for all interested agencies and individuals to review and comment on the draft EIS/EIR. All interested persons are encouraged to respond to this notice and provide a current address if they wish to be contacted about the draft EIS/FIR.
- 4. A public scoping meeting will be held on May 30, 2000, from 7 p.m. to 9 p.m. at the Heidrick Ag History Center at 1962 Hays Lane in Woodland, Yolo County, California.
- 5. Availability. The draft EIS/EIR is scheduled to be available for public review in August 2001.

Dated: April 17, 2000.

Robert A. O'Brien III,

LTC, EN, Acting Commander.

[FR Doc. 00-11294 Filed 5-4-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3710-EZ-M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

[Docket Nos. FE C&E 00–06, C&E 00–07, C&E 00–08, C&E 00–09 and C&E 00–10; Certification Notice—186]

Office of Fossil Energy; Notice of Filings of Coal Capability of Gateway Power Project, L.P., Rio Nogales Power Project, L.P., Conectiv Energy, Inc., AES Londonderry, LLC and Calpine Construction Finance Co., L.P. Powerplant and Industrial Fuel Use Act

AGENCY: Office of Fossil Energy, Department of Energy.

ACTION: Notice of filing.

Act of 1978, as amended.

SUMMARY: Gateway Power Project, L.P., Rio Nogales Power Project, L.P., Conectiv Energy, Inc., AES Londonderry, LLC and Calpine Construction Finance Company, L.P. submitted coal capability selfcertifications pursuant to section 201 of the Powerplant and Industrial Fuel Use

ADDRESSES: Copies of self-certification filings are available for public inspection, upon request, in the Office of Coal & Power Im/Ex, Fossil Energy, Room 4G–039, FE–27, Forrestal Building, 1000 Independence Avenue, SW, Washington, DC 20585.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ellen Russell at (202) 586–9624.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Title II of the Powerplant and Industrial Fuel Use Act of 1978 (FUA), as amended (42 U.S.C. 8301 *et seq.*), provides that no new baseload electric powerplant may be constructed or operated without the

capability to use coal or another alternate fuel as a primary energy source. In order to meet the requirement of coal capability, the owner or operator of such facilities proposing to use natural gas or petroleum as its primary energy source shall certify, pursuant to FUA section 201(d), to the Secretary of Energy prior to construction, or prior to operation as a base load powerplant, that such powerplant has the capability to use coal or another alternate fuel. Such certification establishes compliance with section 201(a) as of the date filed with the Department of Energy. The Secretary is required to publish a notice in the Federal Register that a certification has been filed. The following owners/operators of the proposed new baseload powerplants have filed a self-certification in accordance with section 201(d).

Owner: Gateway Power Project, L.P. (C&E 00–06).

Operator: Gateway Power Project, L.P. Location: Gilmer, TX.

Plant Configuration: Combined-cycle. *Capacity:* 800 MW.

Fuel: Natural gas.

Purchasing Entities: Utilities and power marketers in Texas and surrounding states.

In-Service Date: September 1, 2002 Owner: Rio Nogales Power Project, L.P. (C&E 00-07).

Operator: Rio Nogales Power Project, L.P.

Location: Seguin, TX.

Plant Configuration: Combined-cycle. Capacity: 800 MW.

Fuel: Natural gas.

Purchasing Entities: Utilities and power marketers in Texas.

In-Service Date: June 1, 2002.

Owner: Conectiv Energy, Inc. (C&E 00–08).

Operator: Conectiv Energy, Inc.

Location: Wilmington, DE.

Plant Configuration: Combined-cycle.

Capacity: 550 MW.

Fuel: Natural gas.

Purchasing Entities: Various entities interconnected in the PJM Power Pool, including Conectiv Power Delivery.

In-Service Date: May 2001.

Owner: AES Londonderry, L.L.C. (C&E 00–09).

Operator: AES Londonderry, L.L.C. Location: Town of Londonderry, County of Rockingham, NH.

Plant Configuration: Combined-cycle. Capacity: 724 MW.

Fuel: Natural gas.

Purchasing Entities: Wholesale power purchasers and into the spot markets administered by ISO New England.

In-Service Date: June 2002.

Owner: Calpine Construction Finance Company, L.P. (C&E 00–10).

Operator: Calpine/GenTex Lost Pines Operations, L.P.

Location: Bastrop County, Texas. Plant Configuration: Combined-cycle. Capacity: 500 MW.

Fuel: Natural gas.

Purchasing Entities: Electric output sold on a "merchant" basis under power purchase agreements to be negotiated. In-Service Date: June 1, 2001.

Issued in Washington, DC, April 26, 2000.

Anthony J. Como,

Deputy Director, Electric Power Regulation, Office of Coal & Power Im/Ex, Office of Coal & Power Systems, Office of Fossil Energy.

[FR Doc. 00–11248 Filed 5–4–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Energy Information Administration

Agency Information Collection Under Review by the Office of Management and Budget

AGENCY: Energy Information Administration, Department of Energy. **ACTION:** Submission for OMB review; comment request.

SUMMARY: The Energy Information Administration (EIA) has submitted the energy information collection listed at the end of this notice to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review under sections 3507 (h)(1) and 3506(c) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–13).

Each entry contains the following information: (1) The collection number and title; (2) a summary of the collection of information (includes the sponsor which is the Department of Energy component), current OMB document number (if applicable), type of request (new, revision, extension, or reinstatement), response obligation (mandatory, voluntary, or required to obtain or retain benefits); (3) a description of the need and proposed use of the information; (4) a description of the likely respondents; and (5) an estimate of the total annual reporting burden (i.e., the estimated number of likely respondents times the proposed frequency of response per year times the average hours per response).

DATES: Comments must be filed on or before June 5, 2000. If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments but find it difficult to do so within the time allowed by this notice, you should advise the OMB DOE Desk Officer listed below of your intention to do so as soon as possible. The OMB DOE Desk Officer may be telephoned at (202) 395–3084. (Also, please notify the EIA contact listed below.)