(d) When will the Board act on a request? The Board will endeavor to make a decision on any request filed under paragraph (a) of this section within 60 days following the completion of both the consultative process described in paragraph (c)(1) of this section and the public comment period, if any.

(e) What should a financial holding company do if it has a question about the scope of a financial activity? (1) Written request. A financial holding company may request an advisory opinion from the Board about whether a specific proposed activity falls within the scope of an activity listed in § 225.86 as financial in nature or incidental to a financial activity. The request must be submitted in writing and must contain:

(i) A detailed description of the particular activity in which the company proposes to engage or the product or service the company proposes to provide;

(ii) An explanation supporting an interpretation regarding the scope of the permissible financial activity; and

- (iii) Any additional information requested by the Board regarding the activity.
- (2) Board response. The Board will provide an advisory opinion within 45 days of receiving a complete written request under paragraph (b) of this section.

§ 225.89 How to request approval to engage in an activity that is complementary to a financial activity?

- (a) Prior Board approval is required. A financial holding company that seeks to engage in or acquire a company engaged in an activity that the financial holding company believes is complementary to a financial activity must obtain prior approval from the Board in accordance with section 4(j) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1843 (j)). The notice must be in writing and must:
- (1) Identify and define the proposed complementary activity, specifically describing what the activity would involve and how the activity would be conducted;
- (2) Identify the financial activity for which the proposed activity would be complementary and provide information sufficient to support a finding that the proposed activity should be considered complementary to the identified financial activity;
- (3) Describe the scope and relative size of the proposed activity, as measured by the percentage of the projected financial holding company revenues expected to be derived from

and assets associated with conducting the activity;

- (4) Discuss the risks that conducting the activity may reasonably be expected to pose to the safety and soundness of the subsidiary depository institutions of the financial holding company and to the financial system generally;
- (5) Describe the potential adverse effects, including potential conflicts of interest, decreased or unfair competition, or other risks, that conducting the activity could raise, and explain the measures the financial holding company proposes to take to address those potential effects; and
- (6) Provide any information about the financial and managerial resources of the financial holding company and any other information requested by the Board.
- (b) What standards will the Board apply in evaluating the notice? In evaluating a notice to engage in a complementary activity, the Board must consider whether:
- (1) The proposed activity is complementary to a financial activity;
- (2) The proposed activity would pose a substantial risk to the safety or soundness of depository institutions or the financial system generally; and
- (3) The proposal meets the standards in section 4(j)(2) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1843(j)(2)).
- (c) How and when will the Board act on a notice? The Board will inform the financial holding company in writing of the Board's determination regarding the proposed activity within the period described in section 4(j) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1843(j)).

By order of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, March 10, 2000.

Dated: March 10, 2000.

Robert deV. Frierson,

Associate Secretary of the Board. [FR Doc. 00–6469 Filed 3–16–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6210–01–P

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

12 CFR Part 225

[Regulation Y; Docket No. R-1063]

Bank Holding Companies and Change in Bank Control; Securities Underwriting, Dealing, and Market-Making Activities of Financial Holding Companies

AGENCY: Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System.

ACTION: Interim rule with request for public comments.

SUMMARY: Underwriting, dealing in, and making a market in securities are financial activities permissible for financial holding companies under the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act. Bank holding companies may currently engage in these activities only to a limited extent through so-called section 20 subsidiaries. Under the Board's current rules, section 20 subsidiaries are subject to eight operating standards imposed by the Board in order to address certain potential risks and conflicts associated with the affiliation of a bank and a securities firm.

The Board is adopting this interim rule to impose two of these operating standards on financial holding companies engaged in securities underwriting, dealing or market-making activities. Under the interim rule, intraday extensions of credit by a bank or thrift, or U.S. branch or agency of a foreign bank, to a securities affiliate engaged in securities underwriting, dealing, or market-making must be on market terms. In addition, foreign banks that are financial holding companies or that are treated as financial holding companies will be required to comply with certain affiliate transaction restrictions with respect to lending and securities purchase transactions between a U.S. branch or agency of a foreign bank and a securities affiliate engaged in securities underwriting, dealing, or market-making.

DATES: The interim rule is effective on March 11, 2000. Comments must be received by May 12, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Comments, which should refer to docket number R-1063, may be mailed to Ms. Jennifer J. Johnson, Secretary, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, 20th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20551 or mailed electronically to regs.comments@federalreserve.gov. Comments addressed to Ms. Johnson also may be delivered to the Board's mail room between the hours of 8:45 a.m. and 5:15 p.m. and, outside of those hours, to the Board's security control room. Both the mail room and the security control room are accessible from the Eccles Building courtyard entrance, located on 20th Street between Constitution Avenue and C Street, NW. Members of the public may inspect comments in Room MP-500 of the Martin Building between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m. on weekdays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Thomas Corsi, Managing Senior Counsel, Legal Division (202) 452–3275; Michael J. Schoenfeld, Senior Supervisory Financial Analyst, Division of Banking Supervision and Regulation (202) 452–2836; for the hearing impaired only, Telecommunications Device for the Deaf (TDD), Janice Simms (202) 872–4984.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act differs from prior regulatory and statutory schemes in the manner that it addresses potential risks to a depository institution associated with securities and other activities conducted by affiliates. The current section 20 operating standards,1 like the bills to repeal the Glass-Steagall Act that were considered in the late 1980s and early 1990s contain detailed restrictions on relationships and transactions between depository institutions and securities affiliates. The Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act relies instead on requirements that each depository institution affiliated with a securities firm be and remain well capitalized and well managed. The Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act also relies on functional regulation of the securities firm by the SEC, full supervision of the depository institution by the appropriate federal banking agency, and umbrella supervision of the overall organization by the Board to identify and address potential risks to the depository institution associated with the securities and other activities in the organization.

The Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act grants the Board authority to impose restrictions or requirements on relationships or transactions between a depository institution and any affiliate. The Board may impose a prudential limitation if the Board finds that the limitation is appropriate to avoid a significant risk to the safety and soundness of the depository institution or the Federal deposit insurance funds, to avoid other adverse effects or to prevent evasions of the banking laws.2 The Board believes that most of the concerns that are raised by the affiliation of a securities firm with a financial holding company are addressed by the requirements of the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, other banking laws and regulations, and securities laws and regulations.

Two concerns that the Board believes are not addressed by current law or regulation relate to intra-day extensions of credit to a securities firm by an affiliated depository insitution, and to transactions between a U.S. branch or agency of a foreign bank that elects to become or be treated as a financial

holding company, and an affiliated securities firm.

Intra-day extensions of credit: One operating standard applicable to section 20 subsidiaries ("operating standard 5") requires that intra-day extensions of credit to a section 20 subsidiary by an affiliated bank or thrift, or U.S. branch or agency of a foreign bank be on market terms consistent with section 23B of the Federal Reserve Act. In considering whether to apply this limitation to financial holding companies, the Board notes that the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act requires the Board, within the next 18 months, to address how the restrictions in section 23A apply to intra-day extensions of credit to all affiliates. Until such time as that effort is complete, however, the Board believes that operating standard 5 remains important to ensure that intra-day extensions of credit by a depository institution to an affiliated securities firm for clearing or other purposes are not subsidizing the activities of the securities firm to the detriment of the depository institution affiliate. Accordingly, the Board is applying the limitations in operating standard 5 to financial holding companies and foreign banks treated as financial holding companies to cover intra-day extensions of credit to their subsidiary securities firms from their subsidiary banks or thrifts or U.S. branches or agencies at least until such time as the analysis regarding the application of section 23A to intra-day extensions of credit is complete.

Transactions with U.S. branches and agencies of foreign banks: Another operating standard ("operating standard 8") applicable to section 20 subsidiaries requires that a U.S. branch or agency of a foreign bank comply with sections 23A and 23B of the Federal Reserve Act 3 when extending credit to a section 20 affiliate, or when purchasing securities for which a section 20 affiliate is a principal underwriter.4 A branch or agency also may not advertise or suggest that it is responsible for the obligations of a section 20 affiliate. Operating standard 8 permits a branch or agency of a foreign bank to engage in funding and securities purchase transactions with a section 20 affiliate subject to the same restrictions applicable to a U.S. depository institution.

The purpose of sections 23A and 23B of the Federal Reserve Act, which limit credit and other transactions between a bank and its affiliate, is to limit the possibility that the risks of activities conducted in a nonbank affiliate of a

depository institution be transferred to the depository institution. The Board originally applied lending restrictions to transactions between U.S. branches and agencies of a foreign bank and a section 20 affiliate as a prudential limitation, recognizing that U.S. branches and agencies are part of the U.S. financial structure.⁵ In addition, the Board adopted operating standard 8 because sections 23A and 23B apply to U.S. banks and thrifts, and the operating standard ensures competitive equity between foreign banks and U.S. banking organizations in the funding of section 20 affiliates. These are the types of concerns that section 114 of the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act would require the Board to consider in imposing restrictions on foreign banks that become financial holding companies.

Under the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, foreign banks, as well as U.S. bank holding companies, that become financial holding companies will be able to engage in a broader range of securities activities than is permitted now. In view of this, the prudential and competitive equity concerns that led the Board to adopt operating standard 8 would justify applying that prudential limit in the case of a foreign bank that becomes a financial holding company. This restriction would apply only to transactions between a securities affiliate that underwrites, deals in, or makes a market in securities, and a U.S. branch or agency of a foreign bank, and not to the foreign bank itself.

Customer disclosures: The Board is not at this time imposing any customer disclosure requirements on financial holding companies with respect to the activities of a subsidiary securities firm engaged in securities underwriting, dealing, or market-making pursuant to section 4(k)(4)(E) of the BHC Act. To the extent that the securities firm makes a sale to a customer on the premises of a depository institution, or through a depository institution employee, or as a result of a referral by a depository institution, it will be required to make the disclosures contained in the Interagency Statement on Retail Sales of Nondeposit Investment Products (Interagency Statement).

Whether or not the activities of subsidiary securities firms of financial holding companies are covered by the Interagency Statement, the Board expects financial holding companies to take all necessary steps to ensure that customers are not confused about the nature of investment products they are purchasing. If the Board becomes aware

¹12 CFR 225.200. The operating standards would continue to apply to section 20 subsidiaries controlled by bank holding companies that do not qualify as financial holding companies.

² Pub. L. No. 106–102, 113 Stat. 1338, 1369–71

^{3 12} U.S.C. 371c and 371c-1

^{4 12} CFR 225.200(b)(8).

⁵ See Canadian Imperial Bank of Commerce, et al., 76 Federal Reserve Bulletin 158, 163 (1990).

that customer confusion is occurring, or that action is necessary to prevent abuses, the Board may impose additional disclosure requirements on financial holding companies to address these issues.

Regulatory Flexibility Act

In accordance with the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601-612), the Board must publish an initial regulatory flexibility analysis with this interim regulation. The purpose of the interim rule is to address concerns raised by the affiliation of a securities firm with a financial holding company that are not otherwise addressed by current law or regulation. The rule applies only to bank holding companies and foreign banks that voluntarily elect to become or be treated as financial holding companies under the Bank Holding Company Act as amended by the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, and also engage in certain securities activities. The interim rule applies to all financial holding companies regardless of size, and requires them to comply with certain restrictions that already apply to bank holding companies that control section 20 subsidiaries engaged in securities activities. The rule applies fewer restrictions to financial holding companies seeking to engage in securities activities than apply to bank holding companies that control section 20 subsidiaries and thus represents a reduction in the limitations on engaging in certain securities underwriting and dealing activities. The Board specifically seeks comment on the likely burden this interim rule will impose on small business entities and financial holding companies that seek to engage in securities activities.

Administrative Procedure Act

The Board will make this interim rule effective on March 11, 2000 without first reviewing public comments. Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 553, the Board finds that it is impracticable to review public comments prior to the effective date of the interim rule, and that there is good cause to make the interim rule effective on March 11, 2000, due to the fact that the rule sets forth a requirement relating to activities that financial holding companies will be able to engage in on March 11, 2000, due to statutory changes that become effective on that date. The Board is seeking public comment on the interim rule and will amend the rule as appropriate after reviewing the comments.

Paperwork Reduction Act

In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3506; 5 CFR 1320 Appendix A.1), the Board reviewed the interim rule under the authority delegated to the Board by the Office of Management and Budget. No collections of information pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act are contained in the interim rule.

List of Subjects in CFR 12 CFR Part 225

Administrative practice and procedure, Banks, banking, Federal Reserve System, Holding companies, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Securities.

For the reasons set out in the preamble, the Board amends 12 CFR part 225 as follows:

PART 225—BANK HOLDING COMPANY AND CHANGE IN BANK CONTROL (REGULATION Y)

1. The authority citation for part 225 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 12 U.S.C. 1817(j)(13), 1818, 1828(o), 1831(i), 1831p-1, 1843(c)(8), 1844(b), 1972(1), 3106, 3108, 3310, 3331-3351, 3907, and 3909.

2. Section 225.4 is amended by adding a new paragraph (g) to read as follows:

§ 225.4 Corporate practices.

* * * * * *

- (g) Requirements for financial holding companies engaged in securities underwriting, dealing, or market-making activities. (1) Any intra-day extension of credit by a bank or thrift, or U.S. branch or agency of a foreign bank to an affiliated company engaged in underwriting, dealing in, or making a market in securities pursuant to section 4(k)(4)(E) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1843(k)(4)(E)) must be on market terms consistent with section 23B of the Federal Reserve Act. (12 U.S.C. 371c–1).
- (2) A foreign bank that is or is treated as a financial holding company under this part shall ensure that:
- (i) Any extension of credit by any U.S. branch or agency of such foreign bank to an affiliated company engaged in underwriting, dealing in, or making a market in securities pursuant to section 4(k)(4)(E) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1843(k)(4)(E)), conforms to sections 23A and 23B of the Federal Reserve Act (12 U.S.C. 371c and 371c—1) as if the branch or agency were a member bank;
- (ii) Any purchase by any U.S. branch or agency of such foreign bank, as principal or fiduciary, of securities for which a securities affiliate described in

paragraph (g)(2)(i) of this section is a principal underwriter conforms to sections 23A and 23B of the Federal Reserve Act (12 U.S.C. 371c and 371c— 1) as if the branch or agency were a member bank; and

(iii) Its U.S. branches and agencies not advertise or suggest that they are responsible for the obligations of a securities affiliate described in paragraph (g)(2)(i) of this section, consistent with section 23B(c) of the Federal Reserve Act (12 U.S.C. 371c–1(c)) as if the branches or agencies were member banks.

By order of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, March 10, 2000.

Robert deV. Frierson,

Associate Secretary of the Board.
[FR Doc. 00–6502 Filed 3–16–00; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6210–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 95

[Docket No. 29950; Amdt. No. 421]

IFR Altitudes; Miscellaneous Amendments

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Final rule.

summary: This amendment adopts miscellaneous amendments to the required IFR (instrument flight rules) altitudes and changeover points for certain Federal airways, jet routes, or direct routes for which a minimum or maximum en route authorized IFR altitude is prescribed. This regulatory action is needed because of changes occurring in the National Airspace System. These changes are designed to provide for the safe and efficient use of the navigable airspace under instrument conditions in the affected areas.

EFFECTIVE DATE: 0901 UTC, April 20, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Donald P. Pate, Flight Procedure Standards Branch (AMCAFS–420), Flight Technologies and Programs Division, Flight Standards Service, Federal Aviation Administration, Mike Monroney Aeronautical Center, 6500 South MacArthur Blvd., Oklahoma City, OK 73169 (Mail Address: P.O. Box 25082, Oklahoma City, OK 73125) telephone: (405) 954–4164.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This amendment to part 95 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 95)