

original battlefield, but outside the park boundary. Environmental impacts that would result from implementation of the alternatives are addressed in the document. Impact topics include cultural and natural resources, interpretation and visitor use, socioeconomic environment, and NPS operations. Measures that would be taken to mitigate impacts are also described in the FEIS.

Availability

The FEIS is being mailed to agencies, organizations, and individuals on the park's mailing list, and is on display at Linebaugh Public Library, 205 West Vine Street, Murfreesboro, Tennessee 37130, and at the following location. A limited number of copies are available from the Superintendent at the Stones River National Battlefield Visitor Center. Superintendent, Stones River National Battlefield, 3501 Old Nashville Highway, Murfreesboro, Tennessee 37129, Telephone (615) 893-9501.

No sooner than 30 days from the appearance of this notice in the Federal Register, a Record of Decision will be signed that will document NPS approval of the General Management Plan for Stones River National Battlefield, and identify the selected action from the alternatives presented in the FEIS.

Dated: February 5, 1999.

Daniel Brown,

Acting Regional Director, Southeast Region.

[FR Doc. 99-4078 Filed 2-18-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-70-M

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

National Park Service

Notice of Intent to prepare a Draft Environmental Impact Statement on the General Management Plan for Fort Frederica National Monument, Georgia

SUMMARY: The National Park Service will prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) to accompany its General Management Plan (GMP) for Fort Frederica National Monument. The Service invites suggestions for issues to be considered and ideas for resolving the issues. Public scoping meetings will be held in the local area to receive input from interest parties on issues, concerns, and suggestions for resolving these issues and concerns. The comment period for each of these meetings will be announced at the meetings and will be published on the General Management Plan web site for Fort Frederica at <http://www.nps.gov/fofr>.

DATES: Locations, dates, and times of public scoping meetings will be published in local newspapers and may also be obtained by calling the monument. This information will also be published on the General Management Plan web site for Fort Frederica.

ADDRESSES: Scoping suggestions should be submitted to the following address to ensure adequate consideration by the Service. Superintendent, Fort Frederica National Monument, Route 9, Box 286-C, St. Simons Island, Georgia 31522, Telephone: (912) 638-3630.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The National Park Service has announced that an EIS on GMPs will be prepared for all park units. To comply with this policy, a formal scoping period is announced.

Comments are invited on any issue believed to be relevant to monument management and should be submitted to the Superintendent whose address is given above. Public scoping meetings will be held in the local area and the dates and times may be obtained from local newspapers or by calling the monument. We urge that comments be made in writing. Issues may be suggested for the Service to consider during its planning as well as suggestions for resolution. Issues currently being considered include the use of 28 acres of land acquired in 1994, potential acquisition and protection of the Frederica period house site believed to be Oglethorpe's home, coping with tremendous residential development around the park, and how to best fulfill the park's interpretive mission. Central to these issues is the determination of the monument's mission—its purpose and significance. The plan will identify desired conditions for resources and visitor experiences for various management units within the monument. A draft GMP/EIS will be prepared and presented to the public for review and comment followed by preparation and availability of the final GMP/EIS.

Dated: February 5, 1999.

John Tucker,

Regional Director, Southeast Region.

[FR Doc. 99-4079 Filed 2-18-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-70-M

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

National Park Service

Management Plan/Special Resource Study; Environmental Impact Statement: Shenandoah Valley Battlefields National Historic District, VA; Notice of Intent

AGENCIES: Shenandoah Valley Battlefields National Historic District Commission and National Park Service, Department of the Interior.

In accordance with section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, the Shenandoah Valley Battlefields National Historic District Commission (Commission) and the National Park Service (NPS) are cooperating to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) to assess the impacts of alternative management strategies for a Management Plan and Special Resource Study for the Shenandoah Valley Battlefields National Historic District (National Historic District), Virginia.

The Shenandoah Valley Battlefields National Historic District and Commission Act of 1996 (Public Law 104-333) requires the Commission, with the assistance of the National Park Service, to prepare the Management Plan. The Management Plan/EIS will evaluate a range of alternatives which address cultural and natural resource protection, visitor use, and socioeconomic concerns. The Management Plan/EIS will also incorporate a NPS Special Resource Study to examine the possibility of creating a new unit of the National Park system.

The Commission and the NPS will hold several scoping meetings in late February 1999, and early March 1999, to identify issues to be addressed in the Management Plan/Special Resource Study/EIS. The draft document is expected to be completed for public review by the summer of 2000. After public and interagency review of the draft document, comments will be considered and a final EIS will be prepared for release by the fall of 2000, which will be followed by a record-of-decision. The responsible officials are the chairman of the Commission and the Northeast Regional Director of the NPS.

For further information and meeting times and locations, contact Howard Kittell, Executive Director, Shenandoah Valley Battlefields National Historic District Commission, P.O. Box 897, New Market, VA 22844 or Jeff Reinbold, Shenandoah Valley Battlefields Project Coordinator, National Park Service, P.O. Box 897, New Market, VA 22844. The phone number for both contacts is (540) 740-4545.

Dated: February 14, 1999.

Carrington Williams,

*Chairman, Shenandoah Valley Battlefields
National Historic District Commission.*

February 12, 1999.

Leonard C. Emerson,

*Assistant Regional Director, Human
Resources, Northeast Region, National Park
Service.*

[FR Doc. 99-4122 Filed 2-18-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-70-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

National Park Service

AGENCY: National Park Service, DOI.

ACTION: Announcement of Subsistence Resource Commission meeting.

SUMMARY: The Superintendent of Aniakchak National Monument and the Chairperson of the Subsistence Resource Commission for Aniakchak National Monument announce a forthcoming meeting of the Aniakchak National Monument Subsistence Resource Commission. The following agenda items will be discussed:

- (1) Call to order. (Chairman)
- (2) SRC Roll call; confirmation of quorum. (Chairman)
- (3) Welcome and introductions. (Public, agency staff, others)
- (4) Review and adopt agenda. (SRC)
- (5) Review and adopt minutes from the October 1998 meeting.
- (6) Review commission's role and purpose.
- (7) Status of commission membership.
- (8) Public and agency comments.
- (9) Old business:
 - a. 1998 NPS/SRC Chairs Workshop Report.
 - b. Status of Aniakchak National Preserve hunting guide prospectus.
 - c. Aniakchak National Monument and Preserve Wildlife Report.
 - d. Review 1998 NPS/Secretary's response to final subsistence hunting program recommendations.
 - e. Implementation of approved hunting program recommendations.
 - f. Status of draft subsistence hunting program recommendations.
 - (1) 97-1: Establish a one-year residency requirement for the resident zone communities.
 - (2) 97-2: Establish a special registration permit requirement for non-subsistence (sport) hunting, trapping, and fishing activities within the Aniakchak National Preserve.
 - (3) Designate Ivanoff Bay and Perryville as resident zone communities.
 - (10) New business:
 - a. Federal Subsistence Program update.

(1) Bristol Bay Regional Council report.

(2) Review Unit 9E proposals/special actions.

(3) Federal Subsistence Fisheries update.

b. ORV C&T Team Progress Report (Coordinator).

c. Draft Aniakchak Subsistence Management Plan.

(11) Public and agency comments.

(12) SRC work session (draft proposals, letters, and recommendations).

(13) Set time and place of next SRC meeting.

(14) Adjournment.

DATES: The meeting will begin at 8 a.m. on Tuesday, March 2, 1999, and conclude at approximately 7 p.m. The meeting will reconvene at 8 a.m. on Wednesday, March 3, 1999, and adjourn approximately 1 p.m.

LOCATION: The meeting location is: Community Subsistence Building, Chignik Lake, Alaska.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Deb Liggett, Acting Superintendent, or Donald Mile, Resource Specialist, Aniakchak National Monument, P.O. Box 7, King Salmon, Alaska 99613. Phone (907) 246-3305.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Subsistence Resource Commissions are authorized under Title VIII, Section 808, of the Alaska National Interest Lands Conservation Act, Pub. L. 96-487, and operate in accordance with the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committees Act.

Robert D. Barbee,

Regional Director.

[FR Doc. 99-4077 Filed 2-18-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-70-M

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Reclamation

Tracy Fish Facility Improvement Program, New Tracy Fish Facility, Central Valley Project, California

AGENCY: Bureau of Reclamation, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of intent to prepare environmental documents (environmental assessment and initial study or environmental impact statement and environmental impact report) and notice of public meetings.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to Section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) and Public Resources Code, Sections 21000-21178.1 of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), the Bureau of

Reclamation (Reclamation), the lead Federal agency, and the California Department of Water Resources (DWR), the lead State agency, propose to prepare environmental documents for the purpose of constructing and testing new fish screens and salvage facilities associated with a new Tracy Fish Facility (TFF), Central Valley Project, California. The environmental documents will evaluate the effects of the development and testing of a new TFF at or near the existing Tracy Fish Collection Facility.

A new TFF will provide timely information on critical issues related to new fish protection facilities at the State of California's diversion at Clifton Court Forebay (CCF), Tracy, and the North Delta. The project intent is to build, operate, and evaluate a best available technology facility that will screen a portion of Tracy flows until a decision is made on screening the full Tracy pumping capacity. The new facilities would screen about 2,500 cfs at an approach velocity of 0.2 fps and would meet other appropriate fish agency criteria. The facility would have the structural and operational flexibility to optimize screening operations for multiple species in the challenging south Delta environment. The old Tracy Fish Collection Facility would be improved and remain in place to screen the remainder of the flow, until a decision is made to screen the remainder of the Tracy flow at Tracy and/or the CCF.

At present, it is not clear whether the scope of the action and anticipated project impacts will require preparation of an environmental impact statement and environmental impact report (EIS/EIR) instead of an environmental assessment and initial study (EA/IS). However, to ensure the timely and appropriate level of NEPA and CEQA compliance and to limit potential future delays to the project schedule, Reclamation and the DWR are proceeding, at this time, as if the project impacts would require preparation of an EA/IS. Reclamation and the DWR will reevaluate the need for an EIS/EIR after obtaining written and oral comments on the project scope, alternatives and impacts during the scoping process. Reclamation and the DWR will publish a notice of change if, as a result of scoping, a decision is made to prepare an EIS/EIR rather than an EA/IS. However, the scoping process to be conducted will suffice for either course of action.

There are no known Indian Trust Asset or environmental justice issues associated with the proposed action.