

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM**Formations of, Acquisitions by, and Mergers of Bank Holding Companies**

The companies listed in this notice have applied to the Board for approval, pursuant to the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 (12 U.S.C. 1841 *et seq.*) (BHC Act), Regulation Y (12 CFR Part 225), and all other applicable statutes and regulations to become a bank holding company and/or to acquire the assets or the ownership of, control of, or the power to vote shares of a bank or bank holding company and all of the banks and nonbanking companies owned by the bank holding company, including the companies listed below.

The applications listed below, as well as other related filings required by the Board, are available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. The application also will be available for inspection at the offices of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing on the standards enumerated in the BHC Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(c)). If the proposal also involves the acquisition of a nonbanking company, the review also includes whether the acquisition of the nonbanking company complies with the standards in section 4 of the BHC Act (12 U.S.C. 1843). Unless otherwise noted, nonbanking activities will be conducted throughout the United States.

Unless otherwise noted, comments regarding each of these applications must be received at the Reserve Bank indicated or the offices of the Board of Governors not later than January 4, 2000.

A. Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis (Randall C. Sumner, Vice President) 411 Locust Street, St. Louis, Missouri 63102-2034:

1. *ASB Management Corp.*, Anna, Illinois; to become a bank holding company by acquiring 100 percent of the voting shares of Anna State Bank, Anna, Illinois.

B. Federal Reserve Bank of Minneapolis (JoAnne F. Lewellen, Assistant Vice President) 90 Hennepin Avenue, P.O. Box 291, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55480-0291:

1. *First State Bank of Rushmore KSOP Plan and Trust*, Worthington, Minnesota; to acquire an additional 5.71 percent for a resulting ownership of 35.71 percent of First Rushmore Bancorporation, Inc., Worthington, Minnesota, and thereby indirectly acquire First State Bank of Pipestone Rushmore and Worthington, Pipestone, Minnesota.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, December 2, 1999.

Robert deV. Frierson,
Associate Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 99-31725 Filed 12-7-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6210-01-F

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM**Government in the Sunshine Meeting Notice**

AGENCY HOLDING THE MEETING: Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System.

TIME AND DATE: 11:00 a.m., Monday, December 13, 1999.

PLACE: Marriner S. Eccles Federal Reserve Board Building, 20th and C Streets, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20551.

STATUS: Closed.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

1. Personnel actions (appointments, promotions, assignments, reassignments, and salary actions) involving individual Federal Reserve System employees.

2. Any items carried forward from a previously announced meeting.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION: Lynn S. Fox, Assistant to the Board; 202-452-3204.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: You may call 202-452-3206 beginning at approximately 5 p.m. two business days before the meeting for a recorded announcement of bank and bank holding company applications scheduled for the meeting; or you may contact the Board's Web site at <http://www.federalreserve.gov> for an electronic announcement that not only lists applications, but also indicates procedural and other information about the meeting.

Dated: December 3, 1999.

Robert deV. Frierson,
Associate Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 99-31870 Filed 12-3-99; 5:03 pm]

BILLING CODE 6210-01-P

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

[File Nos. 992 3082; 992 3078; 992 3081; 992 3080; 992 3116; and 992 3079]

Dunphy Nissan, Inc., et al.; Marty Sussman Organization, Inc., et al.; Norristown Automobile Co., Inc., et al.; Northeast Auto Outlet, Inc., et al.; Pacifico Ardmore, Inc., et al.; and Pacifico Ford, Inc., et al.; Analysis To Aid Public Comment

AGENCY: Federal Trade Commission.

ACTION: Proposed consent agreements.

SUMMARY: The consent agreements in these six matters settle alleged violations of federal law prohibiting unfair or deceptive acts or practices or unfair methods of competition. The attached Analysis to Aid Public Comment describes both the allegations in the draft complaints that accompany the consent agreements and the terms of the consent orders—embodied in the consent agreements—that would settle these allegations.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before January 31, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be directed to: FTC/Office of the Secretary, Room 159, 600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW, Washington, D.C. 20580.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sally Pitofsky, FTC/S-4429, 600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW, Washington, D.C. 20580. (202) 326-3318.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Pursuant to Section 6(f) of the Federal Trade Commission Act, 38 Stat. 721, 15 U.S.C. 46 and Section 2.34 of the Commission's Rules of Practice (16 CFR 2.34), notice is hereby given that the above-captioned consent agreements containing consent orders to cease and desist, having been filed with and accepted, subject to final approval, by the Commission, have been placed on the public record for a period of sixty (60) days. The following Analysis to Aid Public Comment describes the terms of the consent agreements, and the allegations in the complaints. Electronic copies of the full text of the consent agreement packages can be obtained from the FTC Home Page (for December 2, 1999), on the World Wide Web, at "<http://www.ftc.gov/os/actions97.htm>." Paper copies can be obtained from the FTC Public Reference Room, Room H-130, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, D.C. 20580, either in person or by calling (202) 326-3627.

Public comment is invited. Comments should be directed to: FTC/Office of the Secretary, Room 159, 600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW, Washington, D.C. 20580. Two paper copies of each comment should be filed, and should be accompanied, if possible, by a 3½ inch diskette containing an electronic copy of the comment. Such comments or views will be considered by the Commission and will be available for inspection and copying at its principal office in accordance with Section 4.9(b)(6)(ii) of the Commission's Rules of Practice (16 CFR 4.9(b)(6)(ii)).

Analysis of Proposed Consent Orders To Aid Public Comment

Summary: The Federal Trade Commission has accepted separate

agreements, subject to final approval, from respondents Dunphy Nissan, Inc. and Serge Naumovsky ("Dunphy"); Norristown Automobile Co., Inc. and William Milliken ("Norristown"); Northeast Auto Outlet, Inc. and Arthur Micchelli ("Northeast"); Pacifico Ardmore, Inc. and Kerry J. Pacifico ("Pacifico Ardmore"); Pacifico Ford, Inc. and Kerry T. Pacifico ("Pacifico Ford"); and Marty Sussman Organization, Inc. and Martin E. Sussman ("Sussman") (together "respondents"). The persons named in these actions are named individually and as officers of their respective corporations.

The proposed consent orders have been placed on the public record for sixty (60) days for receipt of comments by interested persons. Comments received during this period will become part of the public record. After sixty (60) days, the Commission will again review the agreements and the comments received and will decide whether it should withdraw from the agreement or make final the agreements' proposed orders.

I. Complaint Allegations

A. FTC Act Violations

The complaints against the respondents allege that their automobile lease advertisements violate the Federal Trade Commission Act ("FTC Act"), the Consumer Leasing Act ("CLA"), and Regulation M. The complaints also allege that respondents' credit advertisements have violated the Truth in Lending Act ("TILA") and Regulation Z. Section 5 of the FTC Act prohibits false, misleading, or deceptive representations or omissions of materials information in advertisements. In addition, Congress established statutory disclosure requirements for lease and credit advertising under the CLA and the TILA, respectively, and directed the Federal Reserve Board ("Board") to promulgate regulations implementing such statutes—Regulations M and Z respectively. See 15 U.S.C. 1601–1667e; 12 CFR part 213; 12 CFR part 226.

The complaints against respondents allege that their lease advertisements represent that consumers can lease the advertised vehicles at the terms prominently stated in the advertisements, including but not necessarily limited to the monthly payment amount and the downpayment amount. These lease advertisements, according to the complaints, have failed to disclose, and/or failed to disclose adequately, additional terms pertaining to the lease offer, such as the total

amount due at lease inception. The complaints allege that this information does not appear at all or appears in fine print in the advertisements and that the information would be material to consumers in deciding whether to visit respondents' dealerships and/or whether to lease an automobile from respondents. These practices, according to the complaints, constitute deceptive practices in violation of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act.

The complaints against Dunphy and Northeast also allege that these respondents misrepresent that consumers can purchase the advertised vehicles for the monthly payment amounts prominently stated in the advertisements. According to the complaints, the monthly payment amounts prominently stated in the advertisements are components of lease offers and not credit offers. These practices, according to the complaints, constitute deceptive practices in violation of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act.

The complaint against Dunphy further alleges that Dunphy misrepresents that the amount stated as "down" or "downpayment" is the total amount consumers must pay at lease inception to lease the advertised vehicles. According to the complaint, however, consumers are required to pay additional fees beyond the amount stated as "down" or "downpayment," including but not limited to the first month's payment, a security deposit, and/or a bank fee. This practice, according to the complaint, constitutes a deceptive practice in violation of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act.

The complaint against Northeast also alleges that Northeast misrepresents that the offer to double consumers' downpayments up to \$4,000 applied to the lease or credit offers advertised. According to the complaint, the offer to double consumers' downpayments up to \$4,000 was not available with the advertised lease or credit offers. This practice, according to the complaint, constitutes a deceptive practice in violation of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act.

The complaints against Dunphy, Northeast, Norristown, and Pacifico Ardmore allege that their credit advertisements represent that consumers can purchase the advertised vehicles at the terms prominently stated in the advertisements, including but not necessarily limited to the sales price and/or downpayment amount. According to the complaints, these credit advertisements fail to disclose additional terms pertaining to the credit offer, such as the terms of repayment and the annual percentage rate. Such information is alleged to be material to

consumers in deciding whether to visit respondents' dealerships and/or whether to purchase an automobile from respondents. These practices, according to the complaints, constitute deceptive practices in violation of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act.

B. CLA and Regulation M Violations

The complaints allege that all respondents violated the CLA and Regulation M. The complaints allege that respondents' lease ads state a monthly payment amount and/or downpayment amount, but fail to disclose, and/or fail to disclose clearly and conspicuously, one or more of the following required terms: that the transaction advertised is a lease; the total amount due prior to or at consummation, or by delivery, if delivery occurs after consummation and that such amount: (1) excludes third-party fees that vary by state or locality, such as taxes, licenses, and registration fees, and discloses that fact or (2) includes third-party fees based on a particular state or locality and discloses that fact and the fact that such fees may vary by state or locality; whether or not a security deposit is required; the number, amounts, and timing of scheduled payments; and that an extra charge may be imposed at the end of the lease term where the liability of the consumer is based on the difference between the residual value of the leased property and its realized value at the end of the lease term.

According to the complaints, the lease disclosures in respondents' lease advertisements are not clear and conspicuous because they appear in fine print and/or in an inconspicuous location. These practices, according to the complaints, violate the advertising requirements of the CLA and Regulation M.

The complaints also allege that respondents' lease advertisements state a downpayment amount more prominently than the disclosure of the total amount due at lease signing. According to the complaints, these practices violate Regulation M.

C. TILA and Regulation Z Violations

The complaints against Dunphy, Norristown, Northeast, Pacifico Ardmore, and Pacifico Ford allege that these respondents violated the TILA and Regulation Z. According to the complaints, these respondents state a monthly amount and/or a downpayment amount as terms for financing the purchase of the advertised vehicles, but fail to disclose the following items of information required by Regulation Z: the annual percentage rate and the terms

of repayment. In addition, the complaints against all respondents allege that their credit ads do not properly state the finance charge as the annual percentage rate, as required by Regulation Z.

II. Proposed Orders

The proposed orders prohibit respondents from disseminating advertisements that state the amount of any payment due at inception (excluding the monthly payment amount) or the fact that any or no inception payment is due without also disclosing with "equal prominence" the total amount a consumer must pay at lease signing or delivery. This requirement parallels an identical requirement found in Regulation M.

The proposed orders also prohibit respondents from disseminating advertisements that state the amount of any payment or that any or no initial payment is required at lease signing or delivery, if delivery occurs after consummation, without disclosing clearly and conspicuously all of the terms required by Regulation M, as follows: that the transaction advertised is a lease; the total amount due at lease signing or delivery; whether or not a security deposit is required; the number, amounts, and timing of scheduled payments; and that an extra charge may be imposed at the end of the lease term in a lease in which the liability of the consumer at the end of the lease term is based on the anticipated residual value of the vehicle. This requirement is intended to enjoin the respondents from deceptively advertising only the most attractive portions of its lease offers by requiring clear and conspicuous disclosure of the information necessary for consumers to make informed decisions about advertised lease offers. This paragraph parallels the advertising disclosure requirements from the CLA and Regulation M. The proposed orders also prohibit respondents from violating the CLA and Regulation M.

In addition, the proposed order for Dunphy prohibits Dunphy from misrepresenting the costs of leasing, including the total due at lease inception. The proposed orders for respondents Dunphy and Northeast prohibit these respondents from misrepresenting that advertised terms apply to a cash or credit offer, when, in fact, the terms apply to an offer to lease the advertised vehicle. The proposed order for Northeast also prohibits Northeast from misrepresenting the availability of any advertised offer.

With respect to credit advertisements, the proposed orders prohibit respondents from stating the amount or

percentage of any downpayment, the number of payments or period of repayment, the amount of any payment, or the amount of any finance charge, without disclosing clearly and conspicuously all of the terms required by Regulation Z, as follows: the amount or percentage of the downpayment; the terms of repayment; and the correct annual percentage rate, using that term or the abbreviation "APR." If the annual percentage rate may be increased after consummation of the credit transaction, that fact must also be disclosed.

The proposed orders also prohibit respondents from stating a rate of finance charge without stating the rate as an "annual percentage rate" or "APR." The proposed orders also prohibit all respondents from violating the TILA or Regulation Z.

The purpose of this analysis is to facilitate public comment on the proposed orders, and it is not intended to constitute an official interpretation of the agreements and proposed orders or to modify in any way their terms.

By direction of the Commission.

Donald S. Clark,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 99-31795 Filed 12-1-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6750-01-M

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

Extension of Time For Submitting Views Regarding Draft Antitrust Guidelines For Collaborations Among Competitors

AGENCY: Federal Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Federal Trade Commission ("FTC" or "Commission") is extending the period for submission of views regarding the Antitrust Guidelines for Collaborations Among Competitors, issued in draft by the FTC and the U.S. Department of Justice ("the Agencies"). See 64 FR 54483 (1999). The Agencies issued the Guidelines in draft form to provide an opportunity for submission of advice and suggestions from businesses, consumers, and antitrust practitioners that will assist in ensuring that the Guidelines achieve their goals. In order to allow additional time for preparation of views, the Commission has extended the period for filing submissions through February 4, 2000.

DATES: Views should be submitted as specified below by February 4, 2000.

ADDRESSES: To facilities efficient review, all views should be submitted in written and electronic form. Six hard

copies of each submission should be addressed to Donald S. Clark, Office of the Secretary, Federal Trade Commission, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20580.

Submissions should be captioned "Draft Antitrust Guidelines for Collaborations Among Competitors—Submission of Views." Electronic submissions may be made in one of two days. They may be filed on a 3½ inch computer disk, with a label on the disk stating the name of the submitter and the name and version of the word processing program used to create the document. (Programs based on DOS or Windows are preferred. Files from other operating systems should be submitted in ASCII text format). Alternative, electronic submissions may be sent by electronic mail to jventure@ftc.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Policy Planning staff at (202) 326-3712.

By direction of the Commission.

Donald S. Clark,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 99-31794 Filed 12-7-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6750-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Centers for Disease Control And Prevention

[60-Day-00-12]

Proposed Data Collections Submitted for Public Comment and Recommendations

In compliance with the requirement of Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention is providing opportunity for public comment on proposed data collection projects. To request more information on the proposed projects or to obtain a copy of the data collection plans and instruments, call the CDC Reports Clearance Officer on (404) 639-7090.

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques for other forms of information