

antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. The Department will issue appropriate appraisal instructions directly to the Customs Service upon completion of this review. The final results of this review shall be the basis for the assessment of antidumping duties on entries of merchandise covered by this review and for future deposits of estimated duties. We will instruct the Customs Service to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review if any importer-specific assessment rate calculated in the final results of this review is above *de minimis*. For assessment purposes, we intend to calculate importer-specific assessment rates for the subject merchandise by aggregating the dumping margins calculated for all U.S. sales examined and dividing this amount by the total quantity sold.

#### Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(1) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for the reviewed company will be that established in the final results of this review, except if the rate is less than 0.50 percent, and therefore, *de minimis* within the meaning of 351.106(c)(1), in which case the cash deposit rate will be zero; (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will continue to be 25.82 percent, the "All Others" rate made effective by the LTFV investigation. These requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

#### Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period.

Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This administrative review and notice are published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: December 1, 1999.

**Richard W. Moreland,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 99-31674 Filed 12-6-99; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

#### International Trade Administration [A-475-818]

##### Certain Pasta from Italy: Extension of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of Extension of Time Limit for Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** December 7, 1999.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** John Brinkmann at (202) 482-4126, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement II, Group VI, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Ave, NW, Washington, DC 20230.

#### TIME LIMITS

##### *Statutory Time Limits*

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), requires the Department to make a preliminary determination within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an order/finding for which a review is requested and a final determination within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary determination is published. However, if it is not practicable to complete the review within the time period, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend these deadlines to a maximum of 365 days and 180 days, respectively.

##### *Background*

On August 27, 1998, the Department published a notice of initiation of administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain pasta

from Italy, covering the period July 1, 1997 to June 30, 1998 (63 FR 45796). On August 9, 1999, we issued the preliminary results of review (64 FR 43152). In our notice of preliminary results, we stated our intention to issue the final results of this review no later than December 7, 1999.

##### *Extension of Final Results of Review*

We determine that it is not practicable to complete the final results of this review within the original time limit. Therefore, the Department is extending the time limits for completion of the final results until no later than February 7, 2000. See Decision Memorandum from Holly Kuga to Richard W. Moreland, dated November 29, 1999, which is on file in the Central Records Unit.

This extension is in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

Dated: November 30, 1999.

**Holly Kuga,**

*Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary Import Administration, Group II.*

[FR Doc. 99-31672 Filed 12-6-99; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

#### International Trade Administration [A-823-805]

##### Suspension Agreement on Silicomanganese From Ukraine; Notice of Rescission of Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of rescission of administrative review.

**SUMMARY:** In response to requests from petitioner and the Government of Ukraine, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") initiated an administrative review of the suspension agreement on silicomanganese from Ukraine on December 23, 1998. The Department received requests for withdrawal on November 30, 1999, from petitioner and the Government of Ukraine. This review has now been rescinded as a result of the withdrawal of the requests for review by petitioner and the Government of Ukraine, the only parties which requested the review.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** December 7, 1999.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Carrie Blozy or Rick Johnson, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington D.C. 20230;

telephone: (202) 482-0165 or (202) 482-3818, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### The Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), are to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act ("URAA"). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to 19 C.F.R. Part 351 (1998).

##### Background

On October 31, 1994, the Department signed an agreement with the Government of Ukraine which suspended the antidumping investigation on silicomanganese from Ukraine. *See Silicomanganese from Ukraine; Suspension of Investigation*, 59 FR 60951 (November 29, 1994). In accordance with section 734(g) of the Act, on December 6, 1994, the Department published its final determination of sales at less than fair value in this case. *See Notice of Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Silicomanganese From Ukraine*, 59 FR 62711 (December 6, 1994).

Pursuant to Article XIII of the Agreement, on October 30, 1998, the Department received timely requests from petitioner and the Government of Ukraine to conduct an administrative review of the Agreement under Section 751 of the Act. On November 30, 1998, petitioner also submitted a request for an administrative review pursuant to the notice of *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity to Request Administrative Review*, 63 FR 63287 (November 12, 1998). Additionally, the Government of Ukraine submitted a supplement to their October 30, 1998 request on December 7, 1998. On December 23, 1998, the Department initiated a review of the Agreement. *See Notice of Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 63 FR 71091 (December 23, 1998).

On August 9, 1999, the Department extended the time limits for the preliminary results of review by 120 days. *See Notice of Extension of Time Limits for the Preliminary Results of Administrative Review of the Suspension Agreement on Silicomanganese From Ukraine*, 64 FR 43161 (August 9, 1999). On October 8, 1999, the Department issued a questionnaire to the Government of Ukraine requesting information to

determine whether the Government of Ukraine has complied with the terms of the Agreement and to evaluate current trends and conditions in both the domestic and world market for silicomanganese faced by producers and exporters of Ukrainian silicomanganese. On October 25, 1999, petitioner filed a submission arguing that the administrative review is not a termination review. On November 15, 1999, the Government of Ukraine submitted its response to the questionnaire. Also, on November 15, 1999, petitioner submitted certain factual information from the public record of the suspended investigation. On November 30, 1999, the Department received withdrawal requests from petitioner and the Government of Ukraine.

##### Rescission of Review

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1) of the Department's regulations, the Department will allow a party that requests an administrative review to withdraw such request within 90 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the administrative review. Furthermore, the Department may extend this time limit if the Secretary decides it is reasonable to do so, per 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1). Because all requests for review have been withdrawn, rescission of this review would not prejudice any party in this proceeding. Therefore, the Department is rescinding this review.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order ("APO") of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 353.34(d). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This determination is issued and published in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: November 30, 1999.

**Barbara E. Tillman,**

*Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary, AD/CVD Enforcement Group III.*

[FR Doc. 99-31673 Filed 12-6-99; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

#### Export Trade Certificate of Review

**AGENCY:** International Trade Administration, Commerce

**ACTION:** Notice of revocation of Export Trade Certificate of Review No. 89-00015.

**SUMMARY:** The Secretary of Commerce issued an export trade certificate of review to Airborne Business Cargo, Inc. ("ABCI"). Because this certificate holder has failed to file an annual report as required by law, the Secretary is revoking the certificate. This notice summarizes the notification letter sent to ABCI.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Morton Schnabel, Director, Office of Export Trading Company Affairs, International Trade Administration, 202/482-5131. This is not a toll-free number.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Title III of the Export Trading Company Act of 1982 ("the Act") (Pub. L. 97-290, 15 U.S.C. 4011-21) authorizes the Secretary of Commerce to issue export trade certificates of review. The regulations implementing Title III ("the Regulations") are found at 15 CFR part 325 (1999). Pursuant to this authority, a certificate of review was issued on December 12, 1989 to ABCI.

A certificate holder is required by law to submit to the Department of Commerce annual reports that update financial and other information relating to business activities covered by its certificate (Section 308 of the Act, 15 U.S.C. 4018, § 325.14(a) of the regulations, 15 CFR 325.14(a)). The annual report is due within 45 days after the anniversary date of the issuance of the certificate of review (§ 325.14 (b) of the Regulations, 15 CFR 325.14 (b)). Failure to submit a complete annual report may be the basis for revocation (§§ 325.10(a) (3) and 325.14(c) of the regulations, 15 CFR 325.10(a) (3) and 325.14(c)).

On December 2, 1998, the Department of Commerce sent to ABCI a letter containing annual report questions with a reminder that its annual report was due on January 26, 1999. Additional reminders were sent on February 10, 1999 and on March 16, 1999. The Department has received no written response from ABCI to any of these letters.

On September 21, 1999, and in accordance with Section 325.10 (c) (1) of the regulations, (15 CFR 325.10 (c) (1)), the Department of Commerce sent