and use the revenue from a PFC at San Jose International Airport under the provisions of the Aviation Safety and Capacity Expansion Act of 1990 (Title IX of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990) (Public Law 101-508) and Part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 158). On September 22, 1999, the FAA determined that the application to impose and use a PFC submitted by the city of San Jose was not substantially complete within the requirements of section 158.25 of Part 158. The following items are required to complete the application: Project not shown on an approved Airport Layout Plan, environmental requirements not complete, and the FAA airspace determination not complete. On October 5, 1999, the city of San Jose submitted supplemental information for this application. The FAA will approve or disapprove the application, in whole or in part, no later than February 4, 2000.

The following is a brief overview of the impose and use application No. 99–08–C–00–SJC:

Level of proposed PFC: \$3.00. Proposed change effective date: July 1, 2002.

Proposed charge expiration date: September 1, 2003.

Total estimated PFC revenue: \$23,598,250.

Brief description of the proposed project: Interim Federal Inspection Services Facility.

Class or classes of air carriers which the public agency has requested not be required to collect PFCs: Air Taxi/ Commercial Operators (ATCO) filing FAA Form 1800–31.

Any person may inspect the application in person at the FAA office listed above under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT and at the FAA Regional Airports Division located at: Federal Aviation Administration, Airports Division, 15000 Aviation Blvd., Lawndale, CA 90261. In addition, any person may, upon request, inspect the application, notice and other documents germane to the application in person at the city of San Jose.

Issued in Hawthorne, California, on October 28, 1999.

Herman C. Bliss,

Manager, Airports Division, Western-Pacific Region.

[FR Doc. 99–29604 Filed 11–10–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Railroad Administration

Notice of Application for Approval of Discontinuance or Modification of a Railroad Signal System or Relief From the Requirements of Title 49 Code of Federal Regulations Part 236

Pursuant to Title 49 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 235 and 49 U.S.C. App. 26, the following railroads have petitioned the Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) seeking approval for the discontinuance or modification of the signal system or relief from the requirements of 49 CFR Part 236 as detailed below.

Docket No. FRA-1999-6250

Applicant: Burlington Northern and Santa Fe Railway, Mr. William G. Peterson, Director Signal Engineering, 4515 Kansas Avenue, Kansas City, Kansas 66106.

Burlington Northern and Santa Fe Railway seeks approval of the proposed discontinuance and removal of the "Rail Keepers" at each of the conley rail joints, on the Mississippi River Bridge, milepost 231.8, near Fort Madison, Iowa, Line Segment 7000, on the Illinois Division, Chillicothe Subdivision.

The reasons given for the proposed changes are that the "Rail Keepers" do not provide any added protection or safety, there is no requirement for these devices, and the weight of the bridge alone holds the conley's in place.

Any interested party desiring to protest the granting of an application shall set forth specifically the grounds upon which the protest is made, and contain a concise statement of the interest of the Protestant in the proceeding. Additionally, one copy of the protest shall be furnished to the applicant at the address listed above.

All communications concerning this proceeding should be identified by the docket number and must be submitted to the Docket Clerk, DOT Central Docket Management Facility, Room PI-401, Washington, D.C. 20590-0001. Communications received within 45 days of the date of this notice will be considered by the FRA before final action is taken. Comments received after that date will be considered as far as practicable. All written communications concerning these proceedings are available for examination during regular business hours (9:00 a.m.-5:00 p.m.) at **DOT Central Docket Management** Facility, Room PI-401 (Plaza Level), 400 Seventh Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20590-0001. All documents in the public docket are also available for

inspection and copying on the internet at the docket facility's Web site at http://dms.dot.gov.

FRA expects to be able to determine these matters without an oral hearing. However, if a specific request for an oral hearing is accompanied by a showing that the party is unable to adequately present his or her position by written statements, an application may be set for public hearing.

Issued in Washington, D.C. on November 4, 1999.

Grady C. Cothen, Jr.,

Deputy Associate Administrator for Safety Standards and Program Development. [FR Doc. 99–29494 Filed 11–10–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–06–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Railroad Administration

Notice of Application for Approval of Discontinuance or Modification of a Railroad Signal System or Relief From the Requirements of Title 49 Code of Federal Regulations Part 236.

Pursuant to Title 49 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 235 and 49 U.S.C. App. 26, the following railroads have petitioned the Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) seeking approval for the discontinuance or modification of the signal system or relief from the requirements of 49 CFR Part 236 as detailed below.

Docket No. FRA–1999–6251. Applicant: Canadian National Railway, Mr. Kenneth J. Bagby, Manager-Signals & Communications Installation, 2800 Livernois, Suite 310, Troy, Michigan 48007–5025.

The Canadian National Railway (former Grand Trunk and Western Railroad) seeks approval of the proposed discontinuance and removal of the automatic block signal system, on the single main track of the Pontiac Belt Line, between milepost 0.4 and milepost 2.49, and on Track 66–8 of the Cass City Subdivision connection of the Romeo Subdivision, near Pontiac, Michigan, Michigan Division, and govern train movements under the direction of the Pontiac Yard Coordinator.

The reason given for the proposed changes is to eliminate facilities no longer needed for present day operation.

Any interested party desiring to protest the granting of an application shall set forth specifically the grounds upon which the protest is made, and contain a concise statement of the interest of the Protestant in the proceeding. Additionally, one copy of

the protest shall be furnished to the applicant at the address listed above.

All communications concerning this proceeding should be identified by the docket number and must be submitted to the Docket Clerk, DOT Central Docket Management Facility, Room PI-401, Washington, D.C. 20590–0001. Communications received within 45 days of the date of this notice will be considered by the FRA before final action is taken. Comments received after that date will be considered as far as practicable. All written communications concerning these proceedings are available for examination during regular business hours (9:00 a.m.-5:00 p.m.) at DOT Central Docket Management Facility, Room PI-401 (Plaza Level), 400 Seventh Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20590-0001. All documents in the public docket are also available for inspection and copying on the internet at the docket facility's Web site at http:/ /dms.dot.gov.

FRA expects to be able to determine these matters without an oral hearing. However, if a specific request for an oral hearing is accompanied by a showing that the party is unable to adequately present his or her position by written statements, an application may be set for public hearing.

Issued in Washington, D.C. on November 4, 1999.

Grady C. Cothen, Jr.,

Deputy Associate Administrator for Safety Standards and Program Development. [FR Doc. 99–29495 Filed 11–10–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–06–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Surface Transportation Board

[STB Docket No. MC-F-20955]

Stagecoach Holdings plc and Coach USA, Inc., et al.—Control—Golden Isles Coaches of Florida, Inc

AGENCY: Surface Transportation Board. **ACTION:** Notice Tentatively Approving Finance Application.

SUMMARY: Stagecoach Holdings plc (Stagecoach) and its subsidiary, Coach USA, Inc. (Coach), noncarriers, and various subsidiaries of each (collectively, applicants), filed an application under 49 U.S.C. 14303 to acquire control of Golden Isles Coaches of Florida, Inc. (Golden Isles), a motor passenger carrier. Persons wishing to oppose the application must follow the rules under 49 CFR 1182.5 and 1182.8. The Board has tentatively approved the transaction, and, if no opposing

comments are timely filed, this notice will be the final Board action.

DATES: Comments must be filed by December 27, 1999. Applicants may file a reply by January 11, 2000. If no comments are filed by December 27, 1999, this notice is effective on that date.

ADDRESSES: Send an original and 10 copies of any comments referring to STB Docket No. MC-F-20955 to: Surface Transportation Board, Office of the Secretary, Case Control Unit, 1925 K Street, NW, Washington, DC 20423–0001. In addition, send one copy of comments to applicants' representative: Betty Jo Christian, Steptoe & Johnson LLP, 1330 Connecticut Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20036.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Beryl Gordon, (202) 565–1600. [TDD for the hearing impaired: (202) 565–1695.]. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Stagecoach is a public limited company organized under the laws of Scotland. With operations in eight countries, Stagecoach is one of the world's largest providers of passenger transportation services. It had annual revenues for the fiscal year ending April 30, 1999, of \$2.475 billion. Coach is a Delaware corporation that currently controls 82

motor passenger carriers.

Stagecoach and its subsidiaries currently control Coach,¹ its noncarrier regional management subsidiaries, and the motor passenger carriers jointly controlled by Coach and the management subsidiaries.² In previous Board decisions, Coach management subsidiaries, including Coach USA Southeast, Inc. (Southeast), have obtained authority to control motor passenger carriers jointly with Coach,³ one of which is ASTI, Inc. (ASTI),⁴ the corporate parent and owner of all of the stock of Golden Isles.

Applicants state that, in 1996, when Coach acquired Golden Isles as part of the transaction in which it acquired ASTI, Golden Isles surrendered its federally issued motor carrier operating authority and ceased operating as a carrier. Thus, Coach did not request

Board authority to control Golden Isles at that time, as it was not required. According to applicants, Golden Isles has recently obtained new federal operating authority authorizing operations as a motor passenger carrier to conduct charter and special operations.⁵ Applicants, therefore, seek Board authority to control Golden Isles.⁶

Applicants have submitted information, as required by 49 CFR 1182.2(a)(7), to demonstrate that the proposed acquisition of control is consistent with the public interest. Applicants state that the proposed transaction will not reduce competitive options, adversely impact fixed charges, or adversely impact the interests of the employees of Golden Isles. They assert that granting the application will allow Golden Isles to continue to take advantage of economies of scale and substantial benefits offered by applicants, including interest cost savings and reduced operating costs. In addition, applicants have submitted all of the other statements and certifications required by 49 CFR 1182.2. Additional information, including a copy of the application, may be obtained from the applicants' representative.

Under 49 U.S.C. 14303(b), we must approve and authorize a transaction we find consistent with the public interest, taking into consideration at least: (1) the effect of the transaction on the adequacy of transportation to the public; (2) the total fixed charges that result; and (3) the interest of affected carrier employees.

On the basis of the application, we find that the proposed acquisition of control is consistent with the public interest and should be authorized. If any opposing comments are timely filed, this finding will be deemed vacated and, unless a final decision can be made on the record as developed, a procedural schedule will be adopted to reconsider the application. See 49 CFR 1182.6(c). If no opposing comments are filed by the expiration of the comment period, this decision will take effect automatically and will be the final Board action.

Board decisions and notices are available on our website at "WWW.STB.DOT.GOV."

¹ Stagecoach controls Coach through various subsidiaries, namely, SUS 1 Limited, SUS 2 Limited, Stagecoach General Partnership, and SCH US Holdings Corp.

² See Stagecoach Holdings plc—Control—Coach USA, Inc., et al., STB Docket No. MC-F-20948 (STB served July 22, 1999).

³ See Coach USA, Inc. and Coach USA North Central, Inc.—Control—Nine Motor Carriers of Passengers, STB Docket No. MC-F-20931, et al. (STB served July 14, 1999).

⁴ASTI was formerly known as American Sightseeing Tours, Inc. It now operates, pursuant to a name change approved by the Federal Highway Administration in May 1999, as ASTI, Inc., d/b/a Coach USA. Inc.

⁵Golden Isles is a Florida corporation. It holds federally issued operating authority in Docket No. MC–224982, which authorizes it to provide charter and special operations between points in the United States.

⁶Applicants state that the stock of Golden Isles has been placed in an independent voting trust pending disposition of this proceeding. They anticipate that ASTI will reacquire Golden Isles' stock upon approval of this application.