

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6247-9]

Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564-7167 OR www.epa.gov/oea/ofa. Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements

Filed November 01, 1999 Through November 05, 1999

Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

EIS No. 990418, FINAL EIS, JUS, AL, Center for Domestic Preparedness (CDP), Expand Training for State and Local Emergency First Responders, Located at Fort McClellan, Calhoun, Cleburne, Randolph, Clay, Talladega, St. Clair, Etowah and Cherokee Counties, AL, Due: December 06, 1999, Contact: LZ Johnson (256) 847-2112.

The above JUS EIS should have appeared in the 11/05/99 **Federal Register**. The 30-day Comment Period is Calculated from 11/05/99.

EIS No. 990419, FINAL EIS, USA, AR, Fort Chaffee Disposal and Reuse, Implementation, Ozark Mountains, Sebastian, Crawford, Franklin, Smith, Barling and Greenwood Counties, AR, Due: December 13, 1999, Contact: Richard Proietto (703) 693-7554.

EIS No. 990420, DRAFT EIS, TVA, TN, Tim Ford Reservoir Land Management and Disposition Plan, Implementation, Tim Ford Reservoir, Franklin and Moore Counties, TN, Due: December 27, 1999, Contact: Harold M. Draper (423) 632-6889.

EIS No. 990421, DRAFT EIS, BLM, WY, Horse Creek Coal Lease Application (Federal Coal Lease Application WYW-141435), Implementation, Campbell and Converse Counties, WY, Due: January 11, 2000, Contact: Jon Johnson (307) 775-6116.

EIS No. 990422, DRAFT SUPPLEMENT, UAF, FL, CA, Evolved Expendable Launch Vehicle Program, Updated Information, To Allow the Addition of up to Five Strap-on Solid Rocket Motors (SRM) to the Atlas V and Delta IV Lift Vehicle, Launch Locations are Cape Canaveral Air Station, Brevard County, FL and Vandenberg Air Force Base (AFB), Santa Barbara County, CA, Due: December 27, 1999, Contact: Jonathan D. Farthing (210) 536-3668.

Amended Notices

EIS No. 990229, DRAFT EIS, AFS, MT, NB, WY, ND, SD, Dakota Prairie Grasslands, Nebraska National Forest Units and Thunder Basin National

Grassland, Land and Resource Management Plans 1999 Revisions, Implementation, MT, NB, WY, ND and SD, Due: November 29, 1999, Contact: Pam Gardner (308) 432-0300.

Published FR 10-01-99—Review Period Extended from 11-15-99 to 01-13-2000.

EIS No. 990410, DRAFT EIS, DOE, CA, National Ignition Facility Project Specific Analysis, Construction and Operation at the Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, CA, Due: December 20, 1999, Contact: Richard Scott (925) 423-3022.

Published FR 11-05-99—Correction to Title.

EIS No. 990414, DRAFT EIS, NPS, AZ, Chiricahua National Monument, General Management Plan, To Protect Certain National Formations, Known as "the Pinnacles", AZ, Due: January 30, 2000, Contact: Chris Marvel (303) 969-2840.

Published FR 11-05-99—Correction to Contact Person Name and Telephone.

Dated: November 9, 1999.

William D. Dickerson,
Director, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 99-29707 Filed 11-10-99; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6560-50-U

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6248-1]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared October 25, 1999 Through October 29, 1999 pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 564-7167.

An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 10, 1999 (63 FR 17856).

Draft EISs

ERP No. D-AFS-K65220-NV Rating LO, Cave Rock Management Direction, Implementation, Lake Tahoe Basin Management Unit, Douglas County, NV.

Summary: EPA had no objections to the proposed project, and encouraged the Forest Service to continue consulting with the Washoe Tribe.

ERP No. D-AFS-L65329-AK Rating, Skipping Cow Timber Sale, Harvesting

Timber, South half of Zarembo Island, Tongass National Forest, Wrangell Ranger District.

Summary: EPA Region 10 used a screening tool to conduct a limited review of this action. Based on this screen, EPA does not foresee having any environmental objections to the proposed project. Therefore, EPA will not be conducting a detailed review.

ERP No. D-BLM-K61148-NV Rating LO, Red Rock Canyon National Conservation Area (RRCNCA), General Management Plan (GMP), Amendment to the Las Vegas Resource Management Plan, Las Vegas, NV.

Summary: EPA expressed a lack of objections to the proposed General Management Plan, but recommended that BLM develop more specific plans to address water quality and vegetation impacts from wild horses and burros.

ERP No. D-BLM-K67050-NV Rating EC2, South Pipeline Mine Project, Proposal to Extend Gold Mining Operations, Implementation, Lander County, NV.

Summary: EPA expressed concerns regarding the project's potential impacts to vegetation and waters of the U.S. EPA requested additional information be provided on pit backfilling design, pit lake geochemistry, air impacts, reclamation and bonding, and mitigation measures.

ERP No. D-COE-E39051-FL Rating EC2, Lake Okeechobee Regulation Schedule Study, To Maintain or Improve Existing Water Storage, St. Lucie and Caloosahatchee River Estuaries, FL.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concern about changing the water release schedule of Lake Okeechobee via implementation of the Water Supply and Environmental alternative, but believes that the additional information being developed can address outstanding issues.

ERP No. D-DOE-E09804-FL Rating EC2, JEA Circulating Fluidized Bed (CFB) Combustor Project, 300 Megawatt-Electric, Coal and Petroleum Coke-Fired, CFB Combustor and Boiler to Repower an existing Steam Turbine at JEA's North-side Generating Station Construction and Operation, Funding, Jacksonville, Duval County, FL.

Summary: EPA has environmental concerns with the Clean Coal Technology (CCT) Program demonstration project draft EIS. EPA requests that DOE provide additional information on noise impacts and mitigation, air quality, and health-based criteria in the Final EIS.

ERP No. D-DOE-L00007-00 Rating EC2, Sodium-Bonded Spent Nuclear Fuel for the Treatment and

Management, Candidate Sites are Argonne National Laboratory—West (ANL—W) Located within the boundaries of the Idaho National Laboratory I and the Savannah River Sites (SRS) F-Area and L Area, SC.

Summary: EPA expressed concerns about the lack of a demonstrated need to treat spent nuclear fuels at the present time. Moreover, DOE issued the draft EIS before finishing its final testing on the cost and treatment-effectiveness of the electrometallurgical process, a process proposed in five of the six action alternative. EPA requested that information related to these issues be included in the EIS.

ERP No. D—FHW—J40149—CO Rating EC2, Colorado Forest Highway 80, Guanella Pass Road (also known as Park County Road 62/Clear Creek County Road 381/Forest Development Road 118) from US 285 in Grant to Georgetown, Improvements, Funding and COE Section 404, NPDES and Special Use Permits Issuance, Park and Clear Creek Counties, CO.

Summary: EPA expressed concerns regarding potential impacts to the alpine environment, especially wetlands, sediment control and wild life. EPA also recommended that the 404 CWA permit process be integrating with the FEIS.

ERP No. D—FHW—J54000—CO Rating EC2, Southeast Corridor Multi-Modal Project, To Improve Travel between Central and Southeast Corridors, Light Rail Transit (LRT), Colorado Metropolitan Area, Denver, CO.

Summary: EPA expressed concern regarding air quality, wetland and potential cumulative impacts. EPA required additional information and clarification related to these issues.

ERP No. D—FHW—K40236—HI Rating EC2, Kihei-Upcounty Maui Highway, Transportation Improvements, Funding and COE Section 404 Permit, County of Maui, HI.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding potential impacts to water quality and wetlands. EPA recommended that FHWA and the Hawaii Transportation Department design, construct and operate the project to avoid and minimize adverse impacts to water resources to the fullest extent possible.

ERP No. D—FTA—K40237—CA Rating LO, Orange County CenterLine Project, Transportation Improvements, Advanced Rail Transit in the Heart of Orange County, Funding and COE Section 404 Permit, Orange County, CA.

Summary: EPA had no comments on the proposed light rail project.

ERP No. D—FTA—K40238—CA Rating LO, Downtown Sacramento—Folsom Corridor, To Improve Transit Services,

US 50/Folsom Boulevard, COE Section 404 Permit, Transportation Systems Management (TSM) and Light Rail Transit (LRT), City and County of Sacramento, CA.

Summary: EPA has no objection to the proposed action.

ERP No. D—NPS—L65328—AK Rating EO2, Spruce Creek Access Project, Construct and Operation. Denali National Park and Preserve, NPDES and COE Section 404 Permits, AK.

Summary: EPA objected to the proposed action, as there are other viable options that would be less impacting to fish habitat and water quality. EPA has no objections to the air access alternative or the no action alternative. EPA recommended that additional, protect-level information be included in the EIS, along with additional analysis/discussion of road maintenance and potential implications on Park management plans and policies.

ERP No. D—USN—A10072—00 Rating EC2, Surveillance Towed Array Sensor System (SURTASS) Low Frequency Active (LFA), To Improved Capability to Detect Quieter and Harder-to-Find Foreign Submarines, Implementation.

Summary: EPA expressed concerns about the potential impacts to marine animals and recommended that the information from the biological opinion rendered from the Department of Commerce's National Marine Fisheries Service be included in the final EIS.

ERP No. D—USN—K11099—NV Rating EC2, Fallon Naval Air Station (NAS), Proposal for the Fallon Range Complex Requirements, Federal and Private Lands, Churchill, Eureka, Lander, Mineral, Nye and Washoe Counties, NV.

Summary: EPA expressed concerns regarding cumulative impacts to lands given the long history of bombing and gunnery activity. EPA requested that more comprehensive information about past contamination, clean up, and future potential impacts be included in the Final EIS.

Final EISs

ERP No. F—AFS—E65051—AL Longleaf Restoration Project, Implement a Systematic Five-Year Program for Restoration of the Native Longleaf Pine, Conecuh National Forest, Conecuh Ranger District, Covington and Escambia Counties, AL.

Summary: EPA has no objections to the project, provided that the mitigation measures and monitoring programs are implemented as described in the FEIS.

ERP No. F—AFS—L67036—OR Nicore Mining Project, Implementation, Plan-of-Operations, Mining of Four Sites, Road Construction, Reconstruction, Hauling and Stockpiling of Ore, Rough

and Ready Creek Watershed, Illinois Valley Ranger District, Siskiyou National Forest, Medford District, Josephine County, OR.

Summary: EPA expressed lack of objections.

ERP No. F—FHW—G40149—NM US 84/285 Highway Transportation Improvements from Alamo Drive in Santa Fe to Viarrial Street in Pojoaque, Right-of-Way Acquisition, NPDES Permit and COE Section 404 Permit, Santa Fe County, NM.

Summary: No formal comment letter sent to lead agency.

ERP No. F—FHW—K40229—HI Saddle Road (HI—200) Improvements between Mamalahoa Highway (HI—190) to Milepost 6 near Hilo, Funding, NPDES and COE Section 404 Permit, Hawaii County, HI.

Summary: EPA continues to be concerned about quantities and quality of mitigation for significant individual direct and indirect adverse impacts to water quality, wetlands, and threatened and endangered species habitats.

ERP No. F—FHW—L40211—AK C Street Corridor Project, Improvements from O'Malley Road to International Airport Road, NPDES and COE Section 404 Permits, Municipality of Anchorage, AK.

Summary: No formal comment letter sent to the lead agency.

ERP No. F—FRC—J05078—MT Missouri-Madison Hydroelectric (FERC No. 2188) Project, Issuing a New license (Relicense) for Nine Dams and Associated Facilities, MT.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding FERC's rejection of certain State and Federal fish and wildlife agency recommendations, and the need to establish Madison River thermal success criteria. EPA also requested that license conditions be included to allow the license to be re-opened if thermal success criteria are not adequately attained by the proposed mitigation.

ERP No. F—NRS—K36126—HI Lower Hamakua Ditch Watershed Plan, To Provide a Stable and Affordable Supply of Agricultural Water to Farmer and Other, COE Section 404 Permit, Watershed Protection and Flood Prevention, Hawaii County, HI.

Summary: No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. FS—NOA—B91005—00 Northeast Multispecies Fishery Management Plan, Updated Information concerning Overfishing of Red Hake and Silver Hake Fishiers, Northeast United States.

Summary: EPA had no comments on the project.

Dated: November 9, 1999.

William D. Dickerson,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 99-29708 Filed 11-10-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-U

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[OPPTS-00280; FRL-6391-3]

Notice of Availability of FY 2000 Grant Funds for the Support of a Pollution Prevention Information Network

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: EPA expects to have approximately \$1 million available in fiscal year 2000 to fund grant proposals supporting a nation-wide network of pollution prevention information providers. The Pollution Prevention Act provides funds to States to strengthen the efficiency and effectiveness of State technical assistance programs in providing source reduction information to businesses. These funds will be targeted for applicants that are willing to work as part of a collective service providing pollution prevention information to State and local governments' technical assistance providers. Grantees will collect, organize and disseminate pollution prevention (P2) information, make their information available electronically, publically report use of their information services, and utilize State representatives to guide and evaluate their information services as part of a nationwide resource. Grants/cooperative agreements will be awarded under the authority of the Pollution Prevention Act of 1990.

DATES: Applications must be postmarked by April 10, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Beth Anderson, Pollution Prevention Division, (7409) Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics, Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M St. SW., Washington, DC 20460, telephone number: (202) 260-2602, e-mail address: anderson.beth@epa.gov. Access information about this grant program at <http://www.epa.gov/p2>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. General Information

A. Does this Action Apply to Me?

This action is directed to State governments, State programs or departments, as well as other State institutions, such as universities. If you

have any questions regarding the applicability of this action consult the person listed in the "FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT" section of this notice.

B. How Can I Get Additional Information, Including Copies of this Document or Other Related Documents?

Electronically. You may obtain electronic copies of this document, and certain other related documents that might be available electronically, from the EPA Internet Home Page at <http://www.epa.gov/>. To access this document, on the Home Page select "Laws and Regulations" and then look up the entry for this document under the "Federal Register -- Environmental Documents." You can also go directly to the **Federal Register** listings at <http://www.epa.gov/fedrgstr/>.

II. Scope and Purpose of this Grant Program

1. *Scope—The Pollution Prevention Act of 1990.* This solicitation is made under the Pollution Prevention Act of 1990, (the Act) (Public Law 101-508) which established as national policy that pollution should be prevented or reduced at the source whenever feasible. Section 6603 of the Act defines source reduction as any practice that:

(1) Reduces the amount of any hazardous substance, pollutant, or contaminant entering any waste stream or otherwise released into the environment (including fugitive emissions) prior to recycling, treatment, or disposal.

(2) Reduces the hazards to public health and the environment associated with the release of such substances, pollutants, or contaminants.

EPA further defines pollution prevention (P2) as the use of other practices that reduce or eliminate the creation of pollutants through: increased efficiency in the use of raw materials, energy, water or other resources, protection of natural resources, or protection of natural resources by conservation.

Section 6605 of the Act authorizes EPA to make matching grants to States to promote the use of source reduction techniques by businesses. In evaluating grant applications, the Act directs EPA to consider whether the proposed State program will:

(1) Make technical assistance available to businesses seeking information about source reduction opportunities, including funding for experts to provide on-site technical advice and to assist in the development of source reduction plans.

(2) Target assistance to businesses for whom lack of information is an impediment to source reduction.

(3) Provide training in source reduction techniques.

2. Purpose of national pollution prevention information network.

Currently there are few mechanisms or systems to coordinate the development, review, and dissemination of pollution prevention information among Federal, State, and local agencies, or universities involved in promoting source reduction technologies. Access to P2 information and assistance varies across the United States. In addition, not all programs providing assistance to small businesses have access to pollution prevention information that may be useful and relevant to their clientele. The purpose of this request for proposals is to coordinate work among new and existing grantees in order to minimize duplication of effort in information collection and synthesis, training for the promotion of pollution prevention technologies, and establish information standards that will facilitate information exchange among centers.

The development of a P2 information network of regional centers would allow State P2 information needs to be addressed on a regional basis and allow for improved information exchange among States. Coordination of regional centers could facilitate information exchange and decrease duplicative research that might be conducted in each State by developing systems to: coordinate information needs, determine types of P2 information that need to be developed, coordinate the production of relevant P2 information, disseminate this information among small business assistance providers, and evaluate the effectiveness of the information being disseminated in changing business practices to incorporate pollution prevention.

EPA believes that investing in coordinating and standardizing P2 information collection, synthesis, and dissemination will benefit State P2 technical assistance providers as well as other small business assistance programs, such as the Small Business Development Centers and the National Institutes of Standards and Technology Manufacturing Extension Partnerships. Regional P2 information centers could benefit by allowing for specialization in expertise, where this expertise can be shared nationally. Regional centers could be more responsive to the common information needs of the States being served and allow States to focus resources on issues unique to each State. EPA believes that some of the