

net countervailable subsidy rates for the reviewed companies to be as follows:

Producers/exporters	Ad valorem rates (percentages)
Bengal Export Corporation .....	8.35
Calcutta Ferrous Ltd. ....	9.28
Calcutta Iron Foundry .....	0.42
Carnation Industries Ltd. ....	0.72
Commex Corporation .....	2.71
Crescent Foundry Co. Pvt. Ltd. ..	0.84
Delta Corporation Ltd. ....	27.65
Dinesh Brothers (Pvt.) Ltd. ....	1.71
Ganapati Suppliers Pvt. Ltd. ....	5.17
Kajaria Iron Castings Ltd. ....	5.19
Kiswok Industries Pvt. Ltd. ....	14.90
Nandikeshwari Iron Foundry Pvt. Ltd. ....	13.72
Rangilal & Sons .....	0.00
R.B. Agarwalla & Company .....	3.56
RSI Limited .....	0.90
Serampore Industries Pvt. Ltd. ....	1.51
SSL Exports .....	27.65
Super Iron Foundry .....	1.08
Thames Engineering .....	27.65
Trident International .....	27.65
Uma Iron & Steel Company .....	2.10
Victory Castings Ltd. ....	1.88

If the final results of this review remain the same as these preliminary results, the Department intends to instruct the U.S. Customs Service (Customs) to assess countervailing duties as indicated above. The Department also intends to instruct Customs to collect cash deposits of estimated countervailing duties as indicated above of the f.o.b. invoice price on all shipments of the subject merchandise from reviewed companies, entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of the final results of this review.

Because the URAA replaced the general rule in favor of a country-wide rate with a general rule in favor of individual rates for investigated and reviewed companies, the procedures for establishing countervailing duty rates, including those for non-reviewed companies, are now essentially the same as those in antidumping cases, except as provided for in section 777A(e)(2)(B) of the Act. The requested review will normally cover only those companies specifically named. See 19 CFR 351.213(b). Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.212(c), for all companies for which a review was not requested, duties must be assessed at the cash deposit rate, and cash deposits must continue to be collected, at the rate previously ordered. As such, the countervailing duty cash deposit rate applicable to a company can no longer change, except pursuant to a request for a review of that company. See *Federal-Mogul*

*Corporation and the Torrington Company v. United States*, 822 F.Supp. 782 (CIT 1993) and *Floral Trade Council v. United States*, 822 F.Supp. 766 (CIT 1993) (interpreting 19 CFR 353.22(e) (now 19 CFR 351.212(c)), the antidumping regulation on automatic assessment, which is identical to 19 CFR section 355.22(g)). Therefore, the cash deposit rates for all companies, except those covered by this review, will be unchanged by the results of this review.

We will instruct Customs to continue to collect cash deposits for non-reviewed companies at the most recent company-specific or country-wide rate applicable to the company. Accordingly, the cash deposit rates that will be applied to non-reviewed companies covered by this order will be the rate for that company established in the most recently completed administrative proceeding conducted under the URAA. See *1996 Indian Castings Final Results*. If such a review has not been conducted, the rate established in the most recently completed administrative proceeding pursuant to the statutory provisions that were in effect prior to the URAA amendments is applicable. See *1993 Indian Castings Final Results*. These rates shall apply to all non-reviewed companies until a review of a company assigned these rates is requested. In addition, for the period January 1, 1997 through December 31, 1997, the assessment rates applicable to all non-reviewed companies covered by this order are the cash deposit rates in effect at the time of entry.

#### Public Comment

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.224(b), the Department will disclose to the parties of this proceeding within five days after the date of publication of this notice, the calculations performed in this review. Interested parties may request a hearing not later than 30 days after the date of publication of this notice. Pursuant to 19 CFR 309, interested parties may submit written arguments in case briefs on these preliminary results within 30 days of the date of publication. Rebuttal briefs, limited to arguments raised in case briefs, may be submitted five days after the time limit for filing the case brief. Parties who submit argument in this proceeding are requested to submit with the argument (1) A statement of the issue and (2) a brief summary of the argument. Any hearing, if requested, will be held two days after the scheduled date for submission of rebuttal briefs. Copies of case briefs and rebuttal briefs must be served on interested parties in accordance with 19 CFR 351.303(f).

Representatives of parties to the proceeding may request disclosure of proprietary information under administrative protective order no later than 10 days after the representative's client or employer becomes a party to the proceeding, but in no event later than the date the case briefs, under 19 CFR 351.309(c)(ii), are due. The Department will publish the final results of this administrative review, including the results of its analysis of issues raised in any case or rebuttal brief or at a hearing.

This administrative review and notice are issued and published in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)), 19 CFR 351.213.

Dated: November 1, 1999.

**Robert S. LaRussa,**

*Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 99-29204 Filed 11-10-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[C-533-063]

#### Revocation of Countervailing Duty Order: Iron Metal Castings From India

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Revocation of Countervailing Duty Order: Iron Metal Castings From India.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), the International Trade Commission ("the Commission") determined that revocation of the countervailing duty order on iron metal castings from India would not be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time (64 FR 58442 (October 29, 1999)). Therefore, pursuant to section 751(d)(2) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(4), the Department of Commerce ("the Department") is publishing notice of the revocation of the countervailing duty order on iron metal castings from India. Pursuant to section 751(c)(6)(A)(iv) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.222(i)(2)(ii), the effective date of revocation is January 1, 2000.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** January 1, 2000.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Scott E. Smith or Melissa G. Skinner, Office of Policy for Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of

Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-6397 or (202) 482-1560, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

On November 2, 1998, the Department initiated, and the Commission instituted, a sunset review (63 FR 58709 and 63 FR 58765, respectively) of the countervailing duty order on iron metal castings from India pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act. As a result of this review, the Department found that revocation of the countervailing duty order would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of a countervailable subsidy and notified the Commission of the net countervailable subsidy likely to prevail were the order revoked (see *Final Results of Expedited Sunset Review: Iron Metal Castings From India*, 64 FR 30316 (June 7, 1999) and *Amended Final Results of Expedited Sunset Review: Iron Metal Castings From India*, 64 FR 37509 (July 12, 1999)).

On October 29, 1999, the Commission determined, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act, that revocation of the countervailing duty order on iron metal castings from India would not be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time (see *Iron Metal Castings From India; Heavy Iron Construction Castings From Brazil; and Iron Construction Castings From Brazil, Canada, and China*, 64 FR 58442 (October 29, 1999), and USITC Pub. 3247, Inv. Nos. 303-TA-13; 701-TA-249; and 731-TA-262, 263, and 265 (October 1999)).

##### Scope

The merchandise subject to this countervailing duty order is manhole covers and frames, clean-out covers and frames, and catch basin grates and frames from India. These articles are commonly called municipal or public works castings and are used for access or drainage for public utility, water, and sanitary systems. These articles must be of cast iron, not alloyed, and not malleable. This merchandise is currently classifiable under item numbers 7325.10.0010 and 7325.10.0050 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS"). The HTSUS item numbers are provided for convenience and customs purposes. The written description remains dispositive.

#### Determination

As a result of this determination by the Commission that revocation of this countervailing duty order would not be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States, the Department, pursuant to section 751(d)(2) of the Act, is revoking the countervailing duty order on iron metal castings from India. Pursuant to section 751(c)(6)(A)(iv) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.222(i)(2)(ii), the effective date of revocation is January 1, 2000. The Department will instruct the U.S. Customs Service to discontinue suspension of liquidation and collection of cash deposits on entries of the subject merchandise entered or withdrawn from warehouse on or after January 1, 2000 (the effective date). The Department will complete any pending administrative reviews of this order and will conduct administrative reviews of subject merchandise entered prior to the effective date of revocation in response to appropriately filed requests for review.

Dated: November 5, 1999.

**Robert S. LaRussa,**

*Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 99-29606 Filed 11-10-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

#### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

##### National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

[I.D. 110899E]

##### Groundfish Tagging Program

**AGENCY:** National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Department of Commerce

**ACTION:** Proposed Collection; comment request.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13 (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)).

**DATES:** Written comments must be submitted on or before January 11, 2000.

**ADDRESSES:** Direct all written comments to Linda Engelmeier, Departmental Forms Clearance Officer, Department of Commerce, Room 5027, 14th and Constitution Avenue NW, Washington

DC 20230 (or via Internet at LEngelme@doc.gov).

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection instrument(s) and instructions should be directed to Patsy A. Bearden, National Marine Fisheries Service, Alaska Region, P.O. Box 21668, Juneau, Alaska 99802, 907-586-7228.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. Abstract

The groundfish tagging program provides scientists with information necessary for effective conservation, management, and scientific understanding of the groundfish fishery off Alaska and the Northwest Pacific. The program area includes the Pacific Ocean off Alaska (the Gulf of Alaska, the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Area, the Alexander Archipelago of Southeast Alaska), California, Oregon, and Washington. Population dynamics, non-linear optimization, likelihood function, and stock reduction analyses are used to estimate recruitment parameters and to assess stock sizes.

##### II. Method of Collection

This is a volunteer program requiring the actual tag from the fish to be returned, along with recovery information. Reporting forms with pre-addressed and postage-free envelopes are distributed to processors and catcher vessels. The tag information will be edited and entered into the computer data base. Each person returning a tag will receive information on the release site, growth, and depth and area changes, as well as a reward of a cap.

##### III. Data

*OMB Number:* 0648-0276.

*Form Number:* N/A.

*Type of Review:* Regular submission.

*Affected public:* Individuals or households; State, Local, or Tribal governments.

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* 1,167.

*Estimated Time Per Response:* 5 minutes.

*Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours:* 336.

*Estimated Total Annual Cost to Public:* \$0.

##### IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the