

will not institute a second comment period on this document. Any parties interested in commenting on this document should do so at this time.

DATES: Written comments must be received on or before December 6, 1999.

ADDRESSES: All comments should be addressed to: Allison Humphris at the EPA, Region 4, Air Planning Branch, 61 Forsyth Street, SW, Atlanta, Georgia 30303.

Copies of the state submittal(s) are available at the following addresses for inspection during normal business hours:

Air and Radiation Docket and Information Center (Air Docket 6102), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, SW, Washington, DC 20460.

Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4, Air Planning Branch, 61 Forsyth Street, SW, Atlanta, Georgia 30303-8960. Allison Humphris, 404/562-9030.

Tennessee Department of Environment and Conservation, Division of Air Pollution Control, L & C Annex, 9th Floor, 401 Church Street, Nashville, Tennessee 37243-1531. 615/532-0554.

Chattanooga-Hamilton County Air Pollution Control Bureau, 3511 Rossville Boulevard, Chattanooga, Tennessee 37407-2495. 423/867-4321.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Allison Humphris at 404/562-9030.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: For additional information see the direct final rule which is published in the Final Rules section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: October 18, 1999.

A. Stanley Meiburg,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 4.

[FR Doc. 99-28212 Filed 11-2-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[TN-105-1-9949b; TN-209-1-9950b; FRL-6469-3]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Tennessee: Approval of Revisions to Knox County portion of Tennessee Implementation Plan

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The EPA proposes to approve the State implementation plan (SIP) revision submitted by the State of Tennessee for the purpose of revising the rule for exceptions to the open burning and permits regulations for the Knox County portion of the Tennessee SIP. In the Final Rules section of this **Federal Register**, the EPA is approving the State's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial submittal and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this action, no further activity is contemplated. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period on this document. Any parties interested in commenting on this document should do so at this time.

DATES: Written comments must be received on or before December 6, 1999.

ADDRESSES: All comments should be addressed to Steven M. Scofield at the EPA, Region 4 Air Planning Branch, 61 Forsyth Street, SW, Atlanta, Georgia 30303.

Copies of the State submittals are available at the following addresses for inspection during normal business hours:

Air and Radiation Docket and Information Center (Air Docket 6102), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, SW, Washington, DC 20460.

Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4 Air Planning Branch, 61 Forsyth Street, SW, Atlanta, Georgia 30303. Steven M. Scofield, 404/562-9034.

Division of Air Pollution Control, Tennessee Department of Environment and Conservation, L & C Annex, 9th Floor, 401 Church Street, Nashville, Tennessee 37243-1531. 615/532-0554.

Knox County Department of Air Pollution Control, 400 West Main Avenue, Suite 339, City-County Building, Knoxville, Tennessee 37902-2405. 423/215-2488.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Steve M. Scofield at 404/562-9034.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: For additional information see the direct final rule which is published in the Final Rules section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: October 6, 1999.

A. Stanley Meiburg,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 4.

[FR Doc. 99-28880 Filed 11-4-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 86

[FRL-6470-7]

Control of Air Pollution From New Motor Vehicles; Compliance Programs for New Light-Duty Vehicles and Light-Duty Trucks

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice requesting comment on Ethyl Corporation petition for reconsideration.

SUMMARY: EPA requests comment on a petition submitted to EPA by the Ethyl Corporation (Ethyl). The petition requests reconsideration of the CAP 2000 final rule at 64 FR 23906 (May 4, 1999).

DATES: Comments must be received on or before December 20, 1999.

ADDRESSES: Interested parties should submit written comments (in duplicate, if possible) to: EPA Air and Radiation Docket, Attention Docket No. A-96-50, room M-1500 (mail code 6102), 401 M St., SW, Washington, D.C. 20460. The docket may be inspected at this location from 8:30 a.m. until 5:30 p.m. weekdays. The docket may also be reached by telephone at (202) 260-7548. As provided in 40 CFR part 2, a reasonable fee may be charged by EPA for photocopying.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Linda Hormes, Office of Mobile Sources, Vehicle Programs and Compliance Division, 2000 Traverwood, Ann Arbor, MI 48105. Phone: (734) 214-4502. Email: lhormes@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On July 2, 1999, the Ethyl Corporation submitted a petition to EPA requesting reconsideration of the CAP 2000 final rule. Ethyl based its request for reconsideration on the argument that certain aspects of the CAP 2000 rule are inconsistent with the Clean Air Act (Act). In brief, Ethyl focused on the durability demonstration requirements of the regulation and stated that section 206(d) of the Act requires EPA to establish certification test procedures by regulation and that EPA can not avoid its rulemaking responsibilities under 307(d) by characterizing the certification process as an adjudicatory type

proceeding. Ethyl's petition also states that maintaining the secrecy of certification test procedures is not in the public interest. Ethyl also submitted comments during the CAP 2000 rulemaking; the preamble to the final rule discusses these, explains EPA's reasons for adopting the durability demonstration procedures contained in the rule, and why EPA believes these provisions are consistent with the Act.

Because of the potential impact the Agency's decision could have on the automotive industry and on other concerned parties, EPA is requesting comment on all the issues raised in Ethyl's petition for reconsideration. EPA also requests that commenters address any specific impacts the decision (whether approval or denial) would have on the commenter. EPA will consider all comments and publish its final decision in a separate **Federal Register** document.

The Ethyl petition and other related documents may be found in the docket listed above in the **ADDRESSES** section. An electronically scanned copy of Ethyl's petition can be found at <http://www.epa.gov/oms/ld-hwy.htm#regs>.

Dated: November 1, 1999.

Margo T. Oge,

Director, Office of Mobile Sources.

[FR Doc. 99-29076 Filed 11-4-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-U

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic Atmospheric Administration

50 CFR Part 622

[I.D. 101299F]

Fisheries of the Caribbean, Gulf of Mexico, and South Atlantic; Snapper Grouper Fishery off the Southern Atlantic States; Public Hearings

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of public hearings; request for comments; correction.

SUMMARY: NMFS published a document in the **Federal Register** of October 25, 1999, announcing public hearings on Draft Amendment 12 to the Fishery Management Plan for the Snapper-Grouper Fishery of the South Atlantic Region and its draft supplemental environmental impact statement. The document contained an error in the subject line.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kerry O'Malley, South Atlantic Fishery

Management Council, 803-571-4366; Fax: 803-769-4520; E-mail address: kerry.omalley@noaa.gov

Correction

In the **Federal Register** issue of October 25, 1999, in FR Doc. 99-27769, on page 57436, in the first column, correct the Subject line to read as follows:

Fisheries of the Caribbean, Gulf of Mexico, and South Atlantic; Snapper Grouper Fishery off the Southern Atlantic States; Public Hearings.

Dated: October 29, 1999.

Bruce C. Morehead,

Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, National Marine Fisheries Service.

[FR Doc. 99-29058 Filed 11-4-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-22-F

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

50 CFR Part 660

[I.D. 102899A]

Pelagics Fisheries of the Western Pacific Region

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of scoping meetings; notice of cancellation of one scoping meeting; request for comments.

SUMMARY: On October 6, 1999, and on October 20, 1999, NMFS announced its intent to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) on Federal management of the fishery for pelagic species in the Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ) waters of the Western Pacific Region. The scope of the EIS analysis will include all activities related to the conduct of the fishery authorized and managed under the Fishery Management Plan for the Pelagics Fisheries of the Western Pacific Region (FMP) and all amendments thereto. Additionally, NMFS announced its intention to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) on the fishery for pelagic species in the EEZ waters of the Western Pacific Region. The scope of the analysis of the EA will include all activities related to the conduct of the fishery for the 2-year period NMFS anticipates is necessary to prepare the EIS. NMFS is holding concurrent scoping meetings to provide for public input into the range of actions, alternatives, and impacts that the EIS and EA should consider. Scoping for the

EIS and EA commenced with publication of the document on October 6, 1999. In addition to holding the scoping meetings, NMFS is accepting written comments on the range of actions, alternatives, and impacts it should be considering for this EIS, as well as comments on the scope of the EA.

DATES: Written comments will be accepted through December 6, 1999. See **ADDRESSES** for location to mail or fax written comments. See **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** for meeting times and special accommodations.

ADDRESSES: The Responsible Program Manager for this EIS is Rodney R. McInnis, Acting Southwest Regional Administrator, NMFS. Written comments and requests to be included on a mailing list of persons interested in the EIS should be sent to Marilyn Luipold, Pacific Islands Area Office, NMFS, 1601 Kapiolani Blvd., Suite 1110, Honolulu, HI 96814-4700. Comments also may be sent, via facsimile, to 808-973-2941. NMFS will not accept comments sent by e-mail or the Internet. See **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** for meeting locations and special accommodations.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Marilyn Luipold, 808-973-2937 or 2935 extension 204.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Under the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act, the United States has exclusive fishery management authority over all living marine resources within the EEZ between the seaward boundary of each state or U.S. island possession seaward to 200 nautical miles from the baseline used to measure the territorial sea. The management of these marine resources is vested in the Secretary of Commerce and in eight regional fishery management councils. The Western Pacific Fishery Management Council (Council) has the responsibility to prepare FMPs for the marine resources that require conservation and management in the Western Pacific Region. The National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) requires preparation of EISs for major Federal actions significantly affecting the quality of the human environment (42 U.S.C. 4332).

The FMP was developed by the Council, and regulations implementing management measures were published on February 17, 1987 (52 FR 5983). An EA was prepared for the action implementing the FMP. The FMP has been amended seven times, and NEPA environmental documents (environmental assessments, categorical exclusions, findings of no significant