will be processed or dismissed for lack of timeliness or specificity.

- (k) The ADA/GC&8(a)BD will make its decision within 5 business days of the receipt of the appeal, if practicable, and will base its decision only on the information and documentation in the protest record as supplemented by the appeal. SBA will provide a copy of the decision to the contracting officer, the protester, and the protested HUBZone small business concern. The SBA decision, if received before award, will apply to the pending acquisition. SBA rulings received after award will not apply to that acquisition. The ADA/ GC&8(a)BD's decision is the final
- 4. Revise section 19.307 to read as follows:

## 19.307 Solicitation provisions.

- (a)(1) Insert the provision at 52.219– 1, Small Business Program Representations, in solicitations exceeding the micro-purchase threshold when the contractor will perform the contract inside the United States, its territories or possessions, Puerto Rico, the Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands, or the District of Columbia.
- (2)(i) Use the provision with its Alternate I in solicitations issued by the following agencies on or before September 30, 2000:
  - (A) Department of Agriculture.
  - (B) Department of Defense.
  - (C) Department of Energy.
- (D) Department of Health and Human Services
- (E) Department of Housing and Urban Development.
  - (F) Department of Transportation.
  - (G) Department of Veterans Affairs.
  - (H) Environmental Protection Agency.
  - (I) General Services Administration.
- (J) National Aeronautics and Space Administration.
- (ii) Use the provision with its Alternate I in solicitations issued by all Federal agencies after September 30, 2000.
- (3) Use the provision with its Alternate II in solicitations issued by DoD, NASA, or the Coast Guard that the contracting officer expects will exceed the threshold at 4.601(a).
- (b) Insert the provision at 52.219–22, Small Disadvantaged Business Status, in solicitations that include the clause at 52.219-23, Notice of Price Evaluation Adjustment for Small Disadvantaged Business Concerns, or 52.219-25, Small Disadvantaged Business Participation Program—Disadvantaged Status and Reporting. Use the provision with its Alternate I in solicitations for acquisitions for which a price

- evaluation adjustment for small disadvantaged business concerns is authorized on a regional basis.
- (c) When contracting by sealed bidding, insert the provision at 52.219-2, Equal Low Bids, in solicitations and contracts when the contractor will perform the contract inside the United States, its territories or possessions, Puerto Rico, the Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands, or the District of Columbia.
- 5. Amend section 19.800 to revise paragraph (e) to read as follows:

#### 19.800 General.

\*

- (e) Before deciding to set aside an acquisition in accordance with Subpart 19.5 or 19.13, the contracting officer should review the acquisition for offering under the 8(a) Program. If the acquisition is offered to the SBA, SBA regulations (13 CFR 126.607(b)) give first priority to HUBZone 8(a) concerns.
- 6. Amend section 19.1303 to revise paragraph (b) to read as follows:

#### 19.1303 Status as a qualified HUBZone small business concern.

(b) If the SBA determines that a concern is a qualified HUBZone small business concern, it will issue a certification to that effect and will add the concern to the List of Qualified **HUBZone Small Business Concerns on** its Internet website at http:// www.sba.gov/hubzone. A firm on the list is eligible for HUBZone program preferences without regard to the place of performance. The concern must appear on the list to be a HUBZone small business concern.

## PART 52—SOLICITATION PROVISIONS AND CONTRACT CLAUSES

7. Amend section 52.219-1 to revise Alternates I and II to read as follows:

#### 52.219-1 Small Business Program Representations.

Alternate I (Nov 1999). As prescribed in 19.307(a)(2), add the following paragraph (b)(4) to the basic provision:

(4) [Complete only if offeror represented itself as a small business concern in paragraph (b)(1) of this provision.] The offeror represents, as part of its offer, that-

(i) It\_\_\_is, \_\_\_is not a HUBZone small business concern listed, on the date of this representation, on the List of Qualified **HUBZone Small Business Concerns** maintained by the Small Business Administration, and no material change in ownership and control, principal office, or HUBZone employee percentage has occurred since it was certified by the Small Business Administration in accordance with 13 CFR Part 126; and

(ii) It \_\_\_\_ is, \_\_\_\_ is not a joint venture that complies with the requirements of 13 CFR Part 126, and the representation in paragraph (b)(4)(i) of this provision is accurate for the HUBZone small business concern or concerns that are participating in the joint venture. [The offeror shall enter the name or names of the HUBZone small business concern or concerns that are participating in the joint venture: \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_.] Each HUBZone small business concern participating in the joint venture shall submit a separate signed copy of the HUBZone representation.

Alternate II (Nov 1999). As prescribed in 19.307(a)(3), add the following paragraph (b)(5) to the basic provision:

- (5) [Complete if offeror represented itself as disadvantaged in paragraph (b)(2) of this provision.] The offeror shall check the category in which its ownership falls:
  - Black American. Hispanic American.
- Native American (American Indians, Eskimos, Aleuts, or Native Hawaiians).
- Asian-Pacific American (persons with origins from Burma, Thailand, Malaysia, Indonesia, Singapore, Brunei, Japan, China, Taiwan, Laos, Cambodia (Kampuchea), Vietnam, Korea, The Philippines, U.S. Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands (Republic of Palau), Republic of the Marshall Islands, Federated States of Micronesia, the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, Guam, Samoa, Macao, Hong Kong, Fiji, Tonga, Kiribati, Tuvalu, or Nauru).

Subcontinent Asian (Asian-Indian) American (persons with origins from India, Pakistan, Bangladesh, Sri Lanka, Bhutan, the Maldives Islands, or Nepal).

Individual/concern, other than one of the preceding.

[FR Doc. 99-24411 Filed 9-23-99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6820-EP-P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

#### **GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION**

### **NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION**

#### 48 CFR Part 6

[FAC 97-14; FAR Case 99-001; Item III] RIN 9000-AI44

## Federal Acquisition Regulation; Use of **Competitive Proposals**

**AGENCIES:** Department of Defense (DoD), General Services Administration (GSA). and National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA).

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Civilian Agency Acquisition Council and the Defense **Acquisition Regulations Council** 

(Councils) have agreed to a final rule amending the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) to delete the requirement for contracting officers to explain in writing their rationale for choosing to use competitive proposals rather than sealed bidding.

EFFECTIVE DATE: November 23, 1999.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: The FAR Secretariat, Room 4035, GS Building, Washington, DC, 20405, (202) 501–4755, for information pertaining to status or publication schedules. For clarification of content, contact Mr. Ralph DeStefano, Procurement Analyst, at (202) 501–1758. Please cite FAC 97–14, FAR case 99–001.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## A. Background

This change streamlines the acquisition process by eliminating a nonstatutory requirement. It should be noted that the Competition in Contracting Act (Pub. L. 98–369), dated July 18, 1984, contains no requirement for written documentation.

This rule was not subject to Office of Management and Budget review under Section 6(b) of Executive Order 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review, dated September 30, 1993. This rule is not a major rule under 5 U.S.C. 804.

## **B. Regulatory Flexibility Act**

The final rule does not constitute a significant FAR revision within the meaning of FAR 1.501 and Public Law 98–577, and publication for public comments is not required. However, the Councils will consider comments from small entities concerning the affected FAR subpart in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 610. Interested parties must submit such comments separately and should cite 5 U.S.C. 601, et seq. (FAC 97–14, FAR case 99–001), in correspondence.

### C. Paperwork Reduction Act

The Paperwork Reduction Act does not apply because the changes to the FAR do not impose information collection requirements that require the approval of the Office of Management and Budget under 44 U.S.C. 3501, et seq.

## List of Subjects in 48 CFR Part 6

Government procurement.

Dated: September 14, 1999.

### Edward C. Loeb,

Director, Federal Acquisition Policy Division.

Therefore, DoD, GSA, and NASA amend 48 CFR Part 6 as set forth below:

# PART 6—COMPETITION REQUIREMENTS

1. The authority citation for 48 CFR Part 6 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 40 U.S.C. 486(c); 10 U.S.C. chapter 137; and 42 U.S.C. 2473(c).

2. In section 6.401, revise the introductory text to read as follows:

# 6.401 Sealed bidding and competitive proposals.

Sealed bidding and competitive proposals, as described in Parts 14 and 15, are both acceptable procedures for use under Subparts 6.1, 6.2; and, when appropriate, under Subpart 6.3.

[FR Doc. 99–24412 Filed 9–23–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6820–EP–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

# GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

# NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

### 48 CFR Parts 8 and 42

[FAC 97-14; FAR Case 98-602; Item IV] RIN 9000-AI16

## Federal Acquisition Regulation; Javits-Wagner-O'Day Proposed Revisions

**AGENCIES:** Department of Defense (DoD), General Services Administration (GSA), and National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA).

**SUMMARY:** The Civilian Agency

**ACTION:** Final rule.

Acquisition Council and the Defense Acquisition Regulations Council have agreed on a final rule amending the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) to provide procedures for recognizing a name change or a successor in interest for Javits-Wagner-O'Day Act (JWOD) participating nonprofit agencies. EFFECTIVE DATE: November 23, 1999. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: The FAR Secretariat, Room 4035, GS Building, Washington, DC 20405, (202) 501–4755, for information pertaining to status or publication schedules. For clarification of content, contact Mr. Ralph De Stefano, Procurement Analyst, at (202) 501-1758. Please cite FAC 97-14, FAR case 98-602.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### A. Background

This final rule adds a new section, FAR 8.716, to provide procedures for recognizing a name change or a

successor in interest for a JWOD participating nonprofit agency providing supplies or services on the Procurement List maintained by the Committee For Purchase From People Who Are Blind or Severely Disabled, and amends paragraph (a) of FAR 42.1203 to exempt JWOD participating nonprofit agencies from requirements of that section pertaining to the processing of a name change or a successor in interest.

The rule is consistent with 41 U.S.C. 48, which pertains to the requirement (with certain exceptions) to procure supplies and services that are on the Procurement List issued by the Committee For Purchase From People Who Are Blind or Severely Disabled (Committee). The rule does not change the relationship between the Committee and JWOD participating nonprofit agencies concerning the compliance with State and local law before and during contract performance. The rule also does not change the Committee's obligation to insure that only responsible contractors provide supplies and services that are included on the Procurement List.

This rule is not subject to Office of Management and Budget review under Section 6(b) of Executive Order 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review, dated September 30, 1993, and is not a major rule under 5 U.S.C. 804.

## **B. Regulatory Flexibility Act**

The Department of Defense, the General Services Administration, and the National Aeronautics and Space Administration certify that this final rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities within the meaning of the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601, et seq., because the rule merely sets forth an existing practice and clarifies that certain administrative procedures pertaining to a name change or a successor in interest do not apply to JWOD participating nonprofit agencies.

## C. Paperwork Reduction Act

The Paperwork Reduction Act does not apply because the changes to the FAR do not impose information collection requirements that require the approval of the Office of Management and Budget under 44 U.S.C. 3501, et seq.

# **List of Subjects in 48 CFR Parts 8 and 42**

Government procurement.