⁴Category 359–O: all HTS numbers except 6103.42.2025, 6103.49.8034, 6104.62.1020, 6104.69.8010, 6114.20.0048, 6114.20.0052, 6203.42.2010, 6203.42.2090, 6204.62.2010, 6211.32.0010, 6211.32.0025, 6211.42.0010 (Category 359–C); and 6406.99.1550 (Category 359pt.).

⁵Category 369, O: all LTS and the second of the second of

5Category 369–O: all HTS numbers except 6307.10.2005 (Category 369–S); 5601.10.1000, 5601.21.0090, 5701.90.1020, 5701.90.2020, 5702.10.9020, 5702.39.2010, 5702.49.1020, 5702.99.1010, 5702.99.1090, 5705.00.2020 and 6406.10.7700 (Category 369pt.)

and 6406.10.7700 (Category 369pt.).

⁶ Category 459pt.: all HTS numbers except 6405.20.6030, 6405.20.6060, 6405.20.6090, 6406.99.1505 and 6406.99.1560.

⁷Category 469pt.: all HTS numbers except 5601.29.0020, 5603.94.1010 and 6406.10.9020.

⁸ Category 659–O: all HTS numbers except 6103.23.0055, 6103.43.2020, 6103.43.2025, 6103.49.2000. 6103.49.8038. 6104.63.1020 6104.63.1030. 6104.69.1000. 6104.69.8014, 6114.30.3044. 6114.30.3054. 6203.43.2010. 6203.49.1010, 6203.43.2090, 6203.49.1090 6204.63.1510, 6204.69.1010, 6210.10.9010, 6211.33.0010. 6211.33.0017, 6211.43.0010 659–C); 6504.00.9060, (Category 6504.00.9015, 6502.00.9030 6505.90.5090, 6505.90.6090, 6505.90.7090, 6505.90.8090 , 659–H); (Category 6406.99.1510 and 6406.99.1540 (Category 659pt.).

⁹ Category 669–O: all HTS numbers except 6305.32.0010, 6305.32.0020, 6305.33.0010, 6305.33.0020, 6305.39.0000 (Category 669–P); 5601.10.2000, 5601.22.0090, 5607.49.3000, 5607.50.4000 and

6406.10.9040 (Category 669pt.).

10 Category 670–O: all HTS numbers except 4202.12.8030, 4202.12.8070, 4202.92.3020, 4202.92.3031, 4202.92.9026 and 6307.90.9907 (Category 670–I)

6307.90.9907 (Category 670-L).

11 Category 859pt.: only HTS numbers 6115.19.8040, 6117.10.6020, 6212.10.5030, 6212.10.9040, 6212.20.0030, 6212.30.0030, 6212.90.0090, 6214.10.2000 and 6214.90.0090.

The Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements has determined that these actions fall within the foreign affairs exception to the rulemaking provisions of 5 U.S.C. 553(a)(1).

Sincerely,

Troy H. Cribb,

Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements.

Editorial Note: FR Doc. 99–21478 was originally published Thursday, August 19, 1999 at 64 FR 45239–45240, however, due to coding errors it is being republished in its entirety.

[FR Doc.99–21478 Filed 8–18–99; 8:45 am]

CORPORATION FOR NATIONAL AND COMMUNITY SERVICE

Information Collection; Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

AGENCY: Corporation for National and Community Service.

ACTION: Notice.

The Corporation for National and Community Service (hereinafter the "Corporation"), has submitted the

following public information collection requests (ICRs) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–13, (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). Copies of these individual ICRs, with applicable supporting documentation, may be obtained by calling the Corporation for National and Community Service, Office of Evaluation, Chuck Helfer, (202) 606-5000, Extension 248. Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TTY/TDD) may call (202) 606-5256 between the hours of 9:00 a.m. and 4:30 p.m. Eastern time, Monday through Friday.

Comments should be sent to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attn: Mr. Danny Werfel, OMB Desk Officer for the Corporation for National and Community Service, Office of Management and Budget, Room 10235, Washington, DC, 20503, (202) 395–7316, within 30 days from the date of this publication in the **Federal Register**.

The OMB is particularly interested in comments which:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Corporation, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Propose ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Propose ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information to those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g. permitting electronic submissions of responses.

Type of Review: New.

Agency: Corporation for National and Community Service.

Title: Outcome Evaluation of Literacy and Tutoring Programs.

OMB Number: None. Agency Number: None.

Affected Public: Public school students in grades 1–3; Public school teachers in grades 1–3; Public school principals; Corporation members (the tutors).

Total Respondents: Approximately 1755 students; Approximately 500 teachers; Approximately 100 principals;

Approximately 500 Corporation members (tutors).

Frequency: Two waves of data collection for students and teachers; Three for tutors; One for Principals.

Average Time Per Response: Students—40 minutes (reading test); Teachers—25 minutes per student (SSRS); 30 minutes (school climate); 15 minutes (program implementation); Principals—30 minutes (school climate); Tutors—10 minutes per student.

Estimated Total Burden Hours: 5,130 hours.

Total Burden Cost (capital/startup): None.

Total Burden Cost (operating/maintenance): None.

Description: The Corporation seeks approval to conduct an outcome evaluation of the literacy and tutoring programs that it supports through grants. The study will provide estimates of the impact on students' (in grades 1–3) reading proficiencies and other outcomes as a result of their participation in the literacy and tutoring programs.

The national study will provide important information to the Corporation in the form of generalizations about overall program effects on students' reading skills and other school-related behaviors, as well as information about relationships between program characteristics and student outcomes. The study will also provide nationally representative estimates of student impact from participation in 'high-quality' literacy programs. This will be based on information about the programs' implementation activities and current state of knowledge of effective tutoring practices. This will help to ensure that it is the tutoring models being evaluated rather than level of implementation of the model.

The burden estimates are somewhat higher than originally projected in the 60-day notice. There are 500 teachers instead of 200, 100 principals instead of none, and the teacher time has increased. This is due to several factors. First, in order to obtain national estimates that can attribute change to the tutoring programs, comparisons using a self-norming technique have been substituted for three local evaluations. Second, in order to learn more about school climate principals will be surveyed. In addition, the time necessary to obtain useful information from teachers was reassessed.

Dated: August 25, 1999.

Thomas L. Bryant,

Associate General Counsel. [FR Doc. 99-22509 Filed 8-30-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6050-28-P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

Proposed Collection; Comment Request

AGENCY: Department of Defense, Office of the Under Secretary of Defense (Acquisition and Technology)/Office of the Assistant Deputy Under Secretary of Defense (Environmental Cleanup).

ACTION: Notice.

In compliance with Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, the Office of the Assistant Deputy Under Secretary of Defense (Environmental Cleanup) announces the proposed extension of a public information collection and seeks public comment on the provisions thereof. Comments are invited on (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed information collection; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the information collection on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

DATES: Consideration will be given to all comments received by November 1, 1999.

ADDRESSES: Written comments and recommendations on the proposed information collection should be sent to the Office of the Assistant Deputy Under Secretary of Defense (Environmental Cleanup), Attention: Ms. Mary Raguso, 3400 Defense Pentagon, Washington, 20301-3400.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: To request further information on this proposed information collection or to obtain a copy of the proposal and associated collection instruments, please write to the above address, or call Ms. Mary Raguso, Office of the Assistant Deputy Under Secretary of Defense (Environmental Cleanup), (703) 697-9106.

Title, Associated form, and OMB Number: Technical Assistance for

Public Participation (TAPP) Application, DD Form 2749, OMB Control Number 0704–0392.

Needs and Uses: The collection of information is necessary to identify products or services requested by community members of restoration advisory boards or technical review committees to aid in their participation in the Department of Defense's environmental restoration program, and to meet Congressional reporting requirements.

Affected Public: Not-for-Profit Institutions.

Annual Burden Hours: 1,060. Number of Respondents: 265. Responses to Respondent: 1. Average Burden per Response: 4 Hour.

Frequency: On occasion. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Summany of Information Collection

Respondents are community members of restoration advisory boards or technical review committees requesting technical assistance to interpret scientific and engineering issues regarding the nature of environmental hazards at an installation. This assistance will assist communities in participating in the cleanup process. The information, directed by 10 U.S.C. 2705, will be used to determine the eligibility of the proposed project, begin the procurement process to obtain the requested products or services, and determine the satisfaction of community members of restoration advisory boards and technical review committees receiving the products and services.

Dated: August 24, 1999.

Patricia L. Toppings,

Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense. [FR Doc. 99-22565 Filed 8-30-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001-10-M

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

TRICARE Formerly Known as the Civilian Health and Medical Program of the Uniformed Services (CHAMPUS): Fiscal Year 2000 Mental Health Rate **Updates**

AGENCY: Office of the Secretary, DoD. **ACTION:** Notice of updated mental health per diem rates.

SUMMARY: This notice provides for the updating of hospital-specific per diem rates for high volume providers and regional per diem rates for low volume providers; the updated cap per diem for

high volume providers; the beneficiary per diem cost-share amount for low volume providers for FY 2000 under the TRICARE Mental Health Per Diem Payment System; and the updated per diem rates for both full-day and half-day TRICARE Partial Hospitalization Programs for fiscal year 2000. It also corrects partial hospitalization per diem rates for fiscal year 1999 that were published September 28, 1998. **EFFECTIVE DATE:** The fiscal year 2000

rates contained in this notice are effective for services occurring on or after October 1, 1999.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Stan Regensberg, Office of Medical Benefits and Reimbursement Systems, TRICARE Management Activity, telephone (303) 676 - 3742

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The final rule published in the Federal Register on September 6, 1988, (53 FR 34285) set forth reimbursement changes that were effective for all inpatient hospital admissions in psychiatric hospitals and exempt psychiatric units occurring on or after January 1, 1989. The final rule published in the Federal Register on July 1, 1993, (58 FR 35-400) set forth maximum per diem rates for all partial hospitalization admissions on or after September 29, 1993. Included in these final rules were provisions for updating reimbursement rates for each federal fiscal year. As stated in the final rules, each per diem shall be updated by the Medicare update factor for hospitals and units exempt from the Medicare Prospective Payment System. For fiscal year 2000, Medicare has recommended a rate of increase of 2.9 percent for hospitals and units excluded from the prospective payment system. TRICARE will adopt this update factor for FY 2000 as the final update factor. Hospitals and units with hospitalspecific rates (hospitals and units with high TRICARE volume) and regional specific rates for psychiatric hospitals and units with low TRICARE volume will have their TRICARE rates for FY 2000 updated by 2.9 percent for FY 2000. Partial hospitalization rates for full day and half day programs will also be updated by 2.9 percent for FY 2000. The cap amount for high volume hospitals and units will also be updated by the 2.9 percent for FY 2000. The beneficiary cost-share of low volume hospitals and units will also be updated by the 2.9 percent for FY 2000. Consistent with Medicare, the wage portion of the regional rate subject to the area wage adjustment will remain at 71.1 percent for FY 2000.

The following reflect an update of 2.9 percent.