affected State, local, and tribal governments, the nature of their concerns, copies of any written communications from the governments, and a statement supporting the need to issue the regulation. In addition, Executive Order 12875 requires EPA to develop an effective process permitting elected officials and other representatives of State, local, and tribal governments "to provide meaningful and timely input in the development of regulatory proposals containing significant unfunded mandates."

Today's rule does not create an unfunded Federal mandate on State, local, or tribal governments. The rule does not impose any enforceable duties on these entities. Accordingly, the requirements of section 1(a) of Executive Order 12875 do not apply to this rule.

C. Executive Order 13084

Under Executive Order 13084. entitled Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments (63 FR 27655, May 19, 1998), EPA may not issue a regulation that is not required by statute, that significantly or uniquely affects the communities of Indian tribal governments, and that imposes substantial direct compliance costs on those communities, unless the Federal government provides the funds necessary to pay the direct compliance costs incurred by the tribal governments. If the mandate is unfunded, EPA must provide OMB, in a separately identified section of the preamble to the rule, a description of the extent of EPA's prior consultation with representatives of affected tribal governments, a summary of the nature of their concerns, and a statement supporting the need to issue the regulation. In addition, Executive Order 13084 requires EPA to develop an effective process permitting elected officials and other representatives of Indian tribal governments "to provide meaningful and timely input in the development of regulatory policies on matters that significantly or uniquely affect their communities.

Today's rule does not significantly or uniquely affect the communities of Indian tribal governments. This action does not involve or impose any requirements that affect Indian tribes. Accordingly, the requirements of section 3(b) of Executive Order 13084 do not apply to this rule.

IX. Submission to Congress and the Comptroller General

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 *et seq.*, as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the Agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and the Comptroller General of the United States. EPA will submit a report containing this rule and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the **Federal Register**. This rule is not a "major rule" as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 180

Environmental protection, Administrative practice and procedure, Agricultural commodities, Pesticides and pests, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: August 11, 1999.

James Jones,

Director, Registration Division, Office of Pesticide Programs.

Therefore, 40 CFR chapter I is amended as follows:

PART 180—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for part 180 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 21 U.S.C. 321(q), 346a and 371.

2. In § 180.462, by adding paragraph (b) to read as follows:

§ 180.462 Pyridate; tolerances for residues.

(b) Section 18 emergency exemptions. A time-limited tolerance is established for the residue of the herbicide pyridate in connection with use of the pesticide under section 18 emergency exemptions granted by EPA. This tolerance will expire and is revoked on the date specified in the following table:

Commodity	Parts per million	Expira- tion/rev- ocation date
Peppermint, tops (leaves and stems).	0.3 ppm	12/31/01
Spearmint, tops (leaves and stems).	0.3 ppm	12/31/01

[FR Doc. 99–21832 Filed 8–24–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–F

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 271

[FRL-6427-2]

North Carolina: Final Authorization of State Hazardous Waste Management Program Revision

AGENCY: Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Immediate final rule.

SUMMARY: North Carolina has applied for Final authorization of revisions to its hazardous waste program under the **Resource Conservation and Recovery** Act (RCRA). North Carolina's revision consists of provisions promulgated between July 1, 1995 and June 30, 1997. The EPA has reviewed North Carolina's applications and determined that its hazardous waste program revision satisfies all of the requirements necessary to qualify for Final authorization. EPA is authorizing the state program revision through this immediate final action. EPA is publishing this rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial action and does not anticipate adverse comments. However, in the proposed rules section of this Federal Register, EPA is publishing a separate document that will serve as a proposal to authorize the revision should the Agency receive adverse comment. Unless EPA receives adverse written comments during the review and comment period, the decision to authorize North Carolina's hazardous waste program revision will take effect as indicated in the Dates section.

DATES: This Final authorization for North Carolina will become effective without further notice on October 25, 1999, unless EPA receives adverse comment by September 24, 1999. Should EPA receive such comments the Agency will publish a timely withdrawal informing the public that the rule will not take effect.

ADDRESSES: Send written comments to Narindar Kumar, Chief RCRA Programs Branch, Waste Management Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Atlanta Federal Center, 61 Forsyth Street, SW Atlanta, GA, 30303–3104. Copies of the North Carolina program revision applications and the materials which EPA used in evaluating the revision are available for inspection and copying during normal business hours at the following addresses: North Carolina Department of Environment, Health and Natural Resources, P.O. Box

27687, Raleigh, North Carolina 29201, (919) 733–2178; and U.S. EPA Region 4, Atlanta Federal Center, Library, 61 Forsyth Street, SW, Atlanta, Georgia 30303; (404) 347–4216.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Narindar Kumar at (404) 562–8440. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

A. Background

States with final authorization under Section 3006(b) of the RCRA, 42 U.S.C. 6926(b), have a continuing obligation to maintain a hazardous waste program that is equivalent to, consistent with, and no less stringent than the Federal hazardous waste program. As the Federal hazardous waste program changes, the States must revise their programs and apply for authorization of the revisions. Revisions to State

hazardous waste programs may be necessary when Federal or State statutory or regulatory authority is modified or when certain other changes occur. Most commonly, States must revise their programs because of changes to EPA's regulations in 40 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Parts 124, 260 through 266, 268, 270, 273 and 279.

B. North Carolina

North Carolina initially received final authorization on December 14, 1984, effective December 31, 1984 (49 FR 48694) to implement its base hazardous waste management program. North Carolina most recently received authorization for revisions to its program on October 23, 1998, effective December 22, 1998, (63 FR 56834). On December 28, 1998 and February 23,

1999, North Carolina submitted final complete program revision applications, seeking authorization of its program revision in accordance with 40 CFR 271.21. The EPA reviewed North Carolina's applications, and now makes an immediate final decision, subject to receipt of adverse written comment, that North Carolina's hazardous waste program revision satisfies all of the requirements necessary to qualify for Final Authorization. Consequently, EPA intends to grant North Carolina Final Authorization for the program modifications contained in the revision.

North Carolina is today seeking authority to administer the following Federal requirements promulgated between July 1, 1995, through June 30, 1997.

Federal requirement	Federal Register	Analogous State authority ¹
Liquids in Landfills III Checklist 145	60 FR 35703 7/11/95	NCGS §130A-294(c)(7), NCGS §130A-294(c)(15), NCGS §130A-295.03, NCGS §150B-21.6, 15A NCAC 13A .0109(o), 15A NCAC 13A .0110(n).
RCRA Expanded Public Participation Checklist 148.	12/11/95 60 FR 63417	NCGS §130A-294(c)(7), NCGS §130A-294(c)(14), NCGS §130A-294(c)(15), NCGS §130A-294(d), NCGS §130A-294(f), NCGS §130A-294(g), NCGS §130A-294(g), NCGS §150B-21.6, 15A NCAC 13A .0105(b), 15A NCAC 13A .0113(a), 15A NCAC 13A .0113(b), 15A NCAC 13A .0113(f),
Amendments to the Definition of Solid Waste; Amendment II Checklist 150.	3/26/96 61 FR 13103	NCGS § 130A-294(c)(1), NCGS § 130A-294(c)(15), NCGS § 150B-21.6, 15A NCAC 13A .0106(a).
Land Disposal Restrictions Phase III—Decharacterized Wastewaters, Carbamate Wastes, and Spent Potliners Checklist 151.	4/8/96 61 FR 15566, 4/8/96 61 FR 15660, 4/30/96 61 FR 19117, 6/28/96 61 FR 33680, 7/10/96 61 FR 36419, 8/26/98 61 FR 43924, 2/19/97 62 FR 7502.	NCGS §130A-294(c)(7), NCGS §130A-294(c)(15), NCGS §130A-294(h)(2), NCGS §150B-21.6, 15A NCAC 13A .0112(a), 15A NCAC 13A .0112(b), 15A NCAC 13A .0112(c), 15A NCAC 13A .0112(e).
Conditionally Exempt Small Quantity Generator Disposal Options under Subtitle D Checklist 153.	7/1/96 61 FR 34252	NCGS § 130A-294(c)(1), NCGS § 130A-294(c)(15), 15A NCAC 13A .0106(a).
Consolidated Organic Air Emission Standards for Tanks, Surface Im- poundments, and Containers Checklist 154.	12/6/94 59 FR 62896, 5/9/ 95 60 FR 26828, 9/29/95 60 FR 50430, 11/13/95 60 FR 56952, 2/9/96 61 FR 4903, 6/5/96 61 FR 28508, 11/25/96 61 FR 59932.	NCGS §130A-294(c)(7), NCGS §130A-294(c)(14), NCGS §130A-294(c)(15), 15A NCAC 13A .0101(e), 15A NCAC 13A .0106(a), 15A NCAC 13A .0107(c), 15A NCAC 13A .0109(c), 15A NCAC 13A .0109(f), 15A NCAC 13A .0109(j), 15A NCAC 13A .0109(k), 15A NCAC 13A .0109(l)(1), 15A NCAC 13A .0109(u), 15A NCAC 13A .0109(v), 15A NCAC 13A .0109(w), 15A NCAC 13A .0109(x), 15A NCAC 13A .0110(a), 15A NCAC 13A .0110(b), 15A NCAC 13A .0110(e), 15A NCAC 13A .0110(i), 15A NCAC 13A .0113(a), 15A NCAC 13A .0113(b).
Land Disposal restrictions Phase III— Emergency Extension of the KO88 Capacity Variance Checklist 155.	1/14/97 62 FR 1992	NCGS § 130A-294(c)(7), NCGS § 130A-294(c)(15), NCGS § 130A-294(h)(2), 15A NCAC 13A .0112(b).
Land Disposal restrictions Phase IV— Treatment Standards for Wood Pre- serving Waste, Paperwork Reduc- tion and Streamlining Checklist 157.	5/12/97 62 FR 25998	NCGS §130A-294(c)(1), NCGS §130A-294(c)(7), NCGS §130A-294(c)(15), NCGS §130A-294(h)(2), 15A NCAC 13A .0106(a), 15A NCAC 13A .0112(a), 15A NCAC 13A .0112(b), 15A NCAC 13A .0112(c), 15A NCAC 13A .0112(e).
Testing and Monitoring Activities Amendment III Checklist 158.	6/13/97 62 FR 32452	NCGS §130A-294(c)(7), NCGS §130A-294(c)(11), NCGS §130A-294(c)(15), 15A NCAC 13A .0101(e), 15A NCAC 13A .0109(v), 15A NCAC 13A .0109(w), 15A NCAC 13A .0109(z), 15A NCAC 13A .0110(s), 15A NCAC 13A .0111(d), 15A NCAC 13A .0111(e).
Conformance with the Carbamate Vacatur Checklist 159.	6/17/97 62 FR 32974	NCGS §130A-294(c)(1), NCGS §130A-294(c)(1)(a), NCGS §130A-294(c)(7), NCGS §130A-294(c)(15), NCGS §130A-294(h)(2), 15A NCAC 13A .0106(e), 15A NCAC 13A .0112(b), 15A NCAC 13A .0112(c).

¹ The North Carolina provisions are from the North Carolina Administrative Code, August 14, 1998, unless otherwise stated.

EPA shall administer any RCRA hazardous waste permits, or portions of permits that contain conditions based upon the Federal program provisions for which the State is applying for authorization and which were issued by EPA prior to the effective date of this authorization. EPA will suspend issuance of any further permits under the provisions for which the State is being authorized on the effective date of this authorization.

North Carolina is not authorized to operate the federal program on Indian lands. This authority remains with EPA unless provided otherwise in a future

statute or regulation.

EPA is publishing this rule without prior proposal because we view this as a noncontroversial program revision and do not anticipate adverse comment. However in the "Proposed Rules" section of today's Federal Register, we are publishing a separate document that will serve as the proposal to authorize the revision if we receive adverse comments. This authorization will become effective without further notice on October 25, 1999, unless EPA receives adverse comment by September 24, 1999. Should EPA receive such comments it will publish a timely withdrawal informing the public that the rule will not take effect. We will address all public comments in a subsequent final action based on the proposed rule. EPA may not provide additional opportunity for comment. Any parties interested in commenting must do so at this time.

The public may submit written comments on EPA's immediate final decision until September 24, 1999. Copies of North Carolina's applications for program revision are available for inspection and copying at the locations indicated in the ADDRESSES section of this document. The ADDRESSES section also indicates where to send written comments on this action.

C. Decision

I conclude that North Carolina's applications for program revision authorization meet all of the statutory and regulatory requirements established by RCRA. Accordingly, EPA grants North Carolina Final Authorization to operate its hazardous waste program as revised. North Carolina now has responsibility for permitting treatment, storage, and disposal facilities within its borders (except in Indian country) and for carrying out the aspects of the RCRA program described in its revised program applications, subject to the limitations of the HSWA. North Carolina also has primary enforcement responsibilities, although EPA retains

the right to conduct inspections under section 3007 of RCRA, and to take enforcement actions under sections 3008, 3013 and 7003 of RCRA.

D. Codification in Part 272

The EPA uses 40 CFR part 272 for codification of the decision to authorize North Carolina's program and for incorporation by reference of those provisions of its statutes and regulations that EPA will enforce under sections 3008, 3013 and 7003 of RCRA. EPA reserves amendment of 40 CFR part 272, Subpart II until a later date.

Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

Title II of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (UMRA), Pub. L. 104-4, establishes requirements for Federal agencies to assess the effects of their regulatory actions on State, local, and tribal governments and the private sector. Under section 202 of the UMRA, EPA generally must prepare a written statement, including a cost-benefit analysis, for proposed and final rules with "Federal mandates" that may result in expenditures to State, local, and tribal governments, in the aggregate, or to the private sector, of \$100 million or more in any one year. Before promulgating an EPA rule for which a written statement is needed, section 205 of the UMRA generally requires EPA to identify and consider a reasonable number of regulatory alternatives and adopt the least costly, most costeffective or least burdensome alternative that achieves the objectives of the rule. The provisions of section 205 do not apply when they are inconsistent with applicable law. Moreover, section 205 allows EPA to adopt an alternative other than the least costly, most cost-effective or least burdensome alternative if the Administrator publishes with the final rule an explanation why that alternative was not adopted. Before EPA establishes any regulatory requirements that may significantly or uniquely affect small governments, including tribal governments, it must have developed under section 203 of the UMRA a small government agency plan. The plan must provide for notifying potentially affected small governments, enabling officials of affected small governments to have meaningful and timely input in the development of EPA regulatory proposals with significant Federal intergovernmental mandates, and informing, educating, and advising small governments on compliance with the regulatory requirements.

EPA has determined that section 202 and 205 requirements do not apply to today's action because this rule does not contain a Federal mandate that may

result in annual expenditures of \$100 million or more for State, local, and/or tribal governments in the aggregate, or the private sector. Costs to State, local and/or tribal governments already exist under the North Carolina program, and today's action does not impose any additional obligations on regulated entities. In fact, EPA's approval of State programs generally may reduce, not increase, compliance costs for the private sector. Further, as it applies to the State, this action does not impose a Federal intergovernmental mandate because UMRA does not include duties arising from participation in a voluntary federal program.

The requirements of section 203 of UMRA also do not apply to today's action because this rule contains no regulatory requirements that might significantly or uniquely affect small governments. Although small governments may be hazardous waste generators, transporters, or own and/or operate TSDFs, they are already subject to the regulatory requirements under the existing State laws that are being authorized by EPA, and, thus, are not subject to any additional significant or unique requirements by virtue of this program approval.

Certification Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act

Pursuant to the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 et seq., as amended by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996), whenever an agency is required to publish a notice of rulemaking for any proposed or final rule, it must prepare and make available for public comment a regulatory flexibility analysis that describes the effect of the rule on small entities (i.e., small businesses, small organizations, and small governmental jurisdictions). This analysis is unnecessary, however, if the agency's administrator certifies that the rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

The EPA has determined that this authorization will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. Such small entities which are hazardous waste generators, transporters, or which own and/or operate TSDFs are already subject to the regulatory requirements under the existing State laws that are now being authorized by EPA. The EPA's authorization does not impose any significant additional burdens on these small entities. This is because EPA's authorization would simply result in an administrative change, rather than a change in the substantive

requirements imposed on these small entities.

Pursuant to the provision at 5 U.S.C. 605(b), the Agency hereby certifies that this authorization will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. This authorization approves regulatory requirements under existing State law to which small entities are already subject. It does not impose any new burdens on small entities. This rule, therefore, does not require a regulatory flexibility analysis.

Submission to Congress and the Comptroller General

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 et seq., as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. The EPA will submit a report containing this rule and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in today's Federal Register. This rule is not a "major rule" as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

Compliance With Executive Order 12866

The Office of Management and Budget has exempted this rule from the requirements of Executive Order 12866.

Compliance With Executive Order 12875

Under Executive Order 12875, EPA may not issue a regulation that is not required by statute and that creates a mandate upon a State, local or tribal government, unless the Federal government provides the funds necessary to pay the direct compliance costs incurred by those governments, or EPA consults with those governments. If EPA complies with consulting Executive Order 12875 requires EPA to provide to the Office of Management and Budget a description of the extent of EPA's prior consultation with representatives of affected State, local and tribal governments, the nature of their concerns, copies of any written communications from the governments, and a statement supporting the need to issue the regulation. In addition, Executive Order 12875 requires EPA to develop an effective process permitting elected officials and other representatives of State, local and tribal

governments "to provide meaningful and timely input in the development of regulatory proposals containing significant unfunded mandates."

This rule does not create a mandate on State, local or tribal governments. The rule does not impose any enforceable duties on these entities. The State administers its hazardous waste program voluntarily, and any duties on other State, local or tribal governmental entities arise from that program, not from this action. Accordingly, the requirements of Executive Order 12875 do not apply to this rule.

Compliance With Executive Order 13045

Executive Order 13045, "Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks," applies to any rule that: (1) The Office of Management and Budget determines is "economically significant" as defined under Executive Order 12866, and (2) concerns an environmental health or safety risk that EPA has reason to believe may have a disproportionate effect on children. If the regulatory action meets both criteria, the Agency must evaluate the environmental health or safety effects of the planned rule on children and explain why the planned regulation is preferable to other potentially effective and reasonably feasible alternatives considered by the Agency.

This rule is not subject to E.O. 13045 because it is not an economically significant rule as defined by E.O. 12866, and because it does not involve decisions based on environmental health or safety risks.

Compliance With Executive Order 13084

Under Executive Order 13084, EPA may not issue a regulation that is not required by statute, that significantly or uniquely affects the communities of Indian tribal governments, and that imposes substantial direct compliance costs on those communities, unless the Federal government provides the funds necessary to pay the direct compliance costs incurred by the tribal governments, or EPA consults with those governments. If EPA complies with consulting, Executive Order 13084 requires EPA to provide to the Office of Management and Budget, in a separately identified section of the preamble to the rule, a description of the extent of EPA's prior consultation with representatives of affected tribal governments, a summary of the nature of their concerns, and a statement supporting the need to issue the regulation. In addition, Executive Order 13084 requires EPA to develop an effective process permitting

elected officials and other representatives of Indian tribal governments "to provide meaningful and timely input in the development of regulatory policies on matters that significantly or uniquely affect their communities."

This rule is not subject to E.O. 13084 because it does not significantly or uniquely affect the communities of Indian tribal governments. North Carolina is not authorized to implement the RCRA hazardous waste program in Indian country. This action has no effect on the hazardous waste program that EPA implements in Indian country within the State.

Paperwork Reduction Act

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act, 44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*, Federal agencies must consider the paperwork burden imposed by any information request contained in a proposed rule or a final rule. This rule will not impose any information requirements upon the regulated community.

National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act

Section 12(d) of the National **Technology Transfer and Advancement** Act of 1995 ("NTTAA"), Pub. L. 104–113, § 12(d) (15 U.S.C. 272 note) directs EPA to use voluntary consensus standards in its regulatory activities unless to do so would be inconsistent with applicable law or otherwise impractical. Voluntary consensus standards are technical standards (e.g., materials specifications, test methods, sampling procedures, and business practices) that are developed or adopted by voluntary consensus standards bodies. The NTTAA directs EPA to provide Congress, through OMB, explanations when the Agency decides not to use available and applicable voluntary consensus standards.

This action does not involve technical standards. Therefore, EPA did not consider the use of any voluntary consensus standards.

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 271

Environmental protection, Administrative practice and procedure, Confidential business information, Hazardous waste, Hazardous waste transportation, Indian lands, Intergovernmental relations, Penalties, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Water pollution control, Water supply.

Authority: This action is issued under the authority of Sections 2002(a), 3006 and 7004(b) of the Solid Waste Disposal Act as amended 42 U.S.C. 6912(a), 6926, 6974(b).

Dated: August 13, 1999.

A. Stanley Meiburg,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 4. [FR Doc. 99–21825 Filed 8–24–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 271

[FRL-6428-6]

Louisiana: Final Authorization of State Hazardous Waste Management Program Revisions

AGENCY: Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Immediate final rule.

SUMMARY: The State of Louisiana has applied for Final authorization to revise its Hazardous Waste Program under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). The EPA has determined that these changes satisfy all requirements needed to qualify for final authorization. The EPA reviewed Louisiana's application, and now makes an immediate final decision, subject to receipt of adverse written comment, that Louisiana's Hazardous Waste Program revision satisfies all of the requirements necessary to qualify for final authorization. Consequently, EPA intends to grant Louisiana final authorization for the program modifications contained in the revision. DATES: This action is effective on October 25, 1999 without further notice, unless the EPA receives relevant adverse comments by September 24, 1999. If adverse comments are received, EPA will publish a timely withdrawal of the immediate final rule or identify the issues raised, respond to the comments, and affirm that the immediate final rule will take effect as scheduled.

ADDRESSES: Mail written comments to Alima Patterson, Region 6, Regional Authorization Coordinator, Grants and Authorization Section (6PD-G), Multimedia Planning and Permitting Division, at the address shown below. You can examine copies of the materials submitted by the State of Louisiana during normal business hours at the following locations: EPA Region 6, 1445 Ross Avenue, Dallas, Texas 75202-2733, (214) 665-8533: or Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality, H.B. Garlock Building, 7290 Bluebonnet, Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70810, (504) 765-0617.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Alima Patterson at (214) 665–8533. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

A. What Is Resource Conservation and Recovery Act State Authorization?

RCRA, as amended by the Hazardous and Solid Waste Amendments of 1984 (HSWA), provides for authorization of State hazardous waste programs under subtitle C. Under RCRA section 3006, EPA may authorize a State to administer and enforce the RCRA hazardous waste program. See 40 CFR part 271. In fact, Congress designed RCRA so that the entire subtitle C program would eventually be administered by the States in lieu of the Federal Government. This is because the States are closer to, and more familiar with, the regulated community and therefore are in a better position to administer the programs and respond to local needs effectively.

After receiving authorization, the State administers the program in lieu of the Federal government, although EPA retains enforcement authority under RCRA sections 3008, 3013, and 7003. Authorized States are required to revise their programs when EPA promulgates Federal Standards that are more stringent or broader in scope than existing federal standards. States are not required to modify their programs to address Federal changes that are less stringent than the existing Federal program or that reduce the scope of the existing Federal program. These changes are optional and noted as such in the Federal Register (FR) document. However, EPA encourages States to adopt optional rules because they provide benefit to environmental protection.

B. Why Are Revisions to State Programs Necessary?

States that receives final authorization from EPA under RCRA section 3006(b), 42 U.S.C. 6926(b), must maintain a hazardous waste program that is equivalent to, consistent with, and no less stringent than the Federal Hazardous Waste Program. As the Federal program changes, States must change their programs and ask EPA to authorize the changes. Changes to State programs may be necessary when Federal or State statutory or regulatory authority is modified or when certain other changes occur. Most commonly, States must change their programs because of changes to EPA's regulations in 40 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) parts 124, 260–266, 268, 270, 273, and 279.

C. What Is the Effect of This Authorization?

This authorization should have little impact because the State's requirements are already effective. However, upon approval of the revisions, Louisiana will have authority to regulate the Land Disposal Restrictions (LDR). Currently, the LDR waste are administered by EPA. Louisiana will have authority to issue LDR permits and to ensure that all permits issued to hazardous waste LDR facilities protect of human health and the environment.

D. What Is the History of Louisiana's Final Authorization and Its Revisions?

The State of Louisiana initially received final authorization on February 7, 1985 (50 FR 3348), to implement its base Hazardous Waste Management program. Louisiana received authorization for revisions to its program on January 29, 1990 (54 FR 4889), October 25, 1991 (56 FR 41958), and technical corrections at (56 FR 51762), effective January 23, 1995 and another technical correction was made at (59 FR 55368-55371), (60 FR 18360), March 8, 1995; We authorized the following revisions: (59 FR 66200), October 17, 1995, (60 FR 53707) effective January 2, 1996, March 28, (61 FR 13777-13782) effective June 11, 1996, December 29, 1997, (62 FR 67572-67577) effective March 16, 1998 and October 23, 1998 (63 FR 56830-56891) effective December 22, 1998. On January 21, 1999, Louisiana submitted a final complete program revision application for additional program approval. In this application, Louisiana is seeking approval of its program revision in accordance with 40 CFR 271.21(b)(3).

In 1983, the Louisiana legislature adopted Act 97, which amended and reenacted Louisiana Revised Statutes 30:1051 et seq., the Environmental Affairs Act. This Act created the Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality (LDEQ), which has lead agency jurisdictional authority for administering the RCRA Subtitle C program in the State. Also, the LDEQ is designated to facilitate communication between the EPA and the State. The State of Louisiana adopted the LDR regulations and they became effective May 1989. Louisiana amended the regulations May 1990, December 1990, July 1991, July 1992, September 1994, March 1995, December 1995, January 1996, May 1997, November 1997, February 1998, April 1998, June 1998, and September 1998.

E. What Revisions Are We Approving With Today's Action?

The State of Louisiana submitted a final complete program revision application, seeking authorization of their revisions in accordance with 40 CFR 271.21. Louisiana's revisions consist of regulations which specifically