This action does not affect current contracts awarded prior to the effective date of this addition or options that may be exercised under those contracts.

Rita L. Wells,

Deputy Director (Policy and Program Coordination).

[FR Doc. 99–21670 Filed 8–19–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6353–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration [A-489-602]

Continuation of Antidumping Duty Order: Aspirin From Turkey

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Continuation of Antidumping Duty Order: Aspirin from Turkey.

SUMMARY: On July 6, 1999, the Department of Commerce ("the Department"), pursuant to sections 751(c) and 752 of the Tariff Act from 1930, as amended ("the Act") determined that revocation of the antidumping duty order on aspirin from Turkey would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping (64 FR 36328 (July 6, 1999)). On August 4, 1999, the International Trade Commission ("the Commission"), pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act, determined that revocation of the antidumping duty order on aspirin from Turkey would likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time (64 FR 42414 (August 4, 1999)). Therefore, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.218(f)(4), the Department is publishing notice of the continuation of the antidumping duty order on aspirin from Turkey.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Scott E. Smith or Melissa G. Skinner, Office of Policy for Import Administration, International Trade

Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Ave., NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482–6397 or (202) 482–1560, respectively.

EFFECTIVE DATE: August 20, 1999.

Background

On March 1, 1999, the Department initiated, and the Commission instituted, a sunset review (64 FR 9970 and 64 FR 10012, respectively) of the antidumping duty order on aspirin from

Turkey pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act. As a result of this review, the Department found that revocation of the antidumping duty order would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping and notified the Commission of the magnitude of the margin likely to prevail were the order to be revoked. (See Final Results of Expedited Sunset Review: Aspirin from Turkey, 64 FR 36328 (July 6, 1999)).

On August 4, 1999, the Commission determined, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act, that revocation of the antidumping duty order on aspirin from Turkey would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time. (See Aspirin from Turkey, 64 FR 42414 (August 4, 1999), and USITC Pub. 3215, Inv. No. 731–TA–364 (Review) (July 1999)).

Scope

The merchandise covered by this antidumping duty order is acetylsalicylic acid (aspirin) from Turkey containing no additives, other than inactive substances (such as starch, lactose, cellulose, or coloring materials), and/or active substances in concentrations less than that specified for particular nonprescription drug combinations of aspirin and active substances as published in the Handbook of Nonprescription Drugs, eighth edition, American Pharmaceutical Association, and is not in tablet, capsule or similar forms for direct human consumption. This product is classified under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule (HTS) subheading 2918.22.10. The HTS number is provided for convenience and customs purposes. The written description remains dispositive.

Determination

As a result of the determinations by the Department and the Commission that revocation of this antidumping duty order would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping and material injury to an industry in the United States, pursuant to section 751(d)(2) of the Act, the Department hereby orders the continuation of the antidumping duty order on aspirin from Turkey. The Department will instruct the U.S. Customs Service to continue to collect antidumping duty deposits at the rate in effect at the time of entry for all imports of subject merchandise. Pursuant to section 751(c)(6)(A)(iii) of the Act, any subsequent five-year review of this order will be initiated not later than the fifth anniversary of the

effective date of continuation of this order.

The effective date of continuation of a finding, order, or suspension agreement will be the date of publication in the **Federal Register** of the Notice of Continuation. As provided in 19 CFR 351.218(f)(4), the Department will issue its determination to continue a finding, order, or suspended investigation not later than seven days after the date of publication in the Federal Register of the Commission's determination concluding the sunset review and immediately thereafter will publish its notice of continuation in the **Federal Register**. As a result, pursuant to section 751(c)(2) and 751(c)(6)(A) of the Act, the Department intends to initiate the next five-year review of this order not later than thirty (30) days before the fifth anniversary of the effective date of this notice.

Dated: August 13, 1999.

Robert S. LaRussa,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 99–21714 Filed 8–19–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration [A-614-801]

Fresh Kiwifruit From New Zealand: Initiation and Preliminary Results of Changed Circumstances Review and Intent To Revoke Order, and Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of initiation and preliminary results of changed circumstances review and intent to revoke order, and rescission of antidumping duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: In response to a request from the petitioner, the California Kiwifruit Commission, the Department of Commerce (the Department) is initiating a changed circumstances review and is issuing this notice of intent to revoke the antidumping duty order on fresh kiwifruit from New Zealand. The petitioner requested that the Department revoke the order on fresh kiwifruit from New Zealand retroactive to June 1, 1997, because it no longer has an interest in maintaining the order. The California Kiwifruit Commission is a domestic interested party and was the petitioner in the less-than-fair-value (LTFV)

investigation. We are initiating this changed circumstances review and issuing this notice of our preliminary determination to revoke the order retroactive to June 1, 1997. In addition, in response to the respondent's withdrawal of its request for the present (sixth) administrative review, the Department is rescinding the sixth administrative review of the order. EFFECTIVE DATE: August 20, 1999. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sunkyu Kim or John P. Maloney, Jr., Office 2, AD/CVD Enforcement Group I, Import Administration-Room B099, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

482-2613 or (202) 482-1503,

The Applicable Statute and Regulations

Street and Constitution Avenue, NW,

Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202)

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to the regulations codified at 19 CFR part 351 (April 1998).

Background

respectively.

On July 30, 1999, the petitioner, the California Kiwifruit Commission, requested that the Department conduct a changed circumstances review to revoke the antidumping duty order on fresh kiwifruit from New Zealand retroactive to June 1, 1997. The petitioner stated that circumstances have changed such that the petitioner no longer has an interest in maintaining the antidumping duty order. On August 5, 1999, the petitioner submitted a supplement to its request indicating that it represents all kiwifruit growers in California and virtually all commercial growers of kiwifruit in the United States.

The petitioner also requested that, due to the pendency of the ongoing administrative review of the order, the Department initiate and complete the changed circumstances review on an expedited basis.

On July 14, 1999, the New Zealand Kiwifruit Marketing Board (NZKMB), the sole respondent in this proceeding, filed a withdrawal of its request for an administrative review of the June 1, 1997, through May 31, 1998, review period (the sixth review), and requested that the Department rescind the sixth

review. Given that the respondent's withdrawal and rescission request was filed after the 90-day period for withdrawing a request for a review, the respondent asked the Department to exercise its regulatory discretion to rescind the sixth review.

Scope of Review

The product covered by this review is fresh kiwifruit. Processed kiwifruit, including fruit jams, jellies, pastes, purees, mineral waters, or juices made from or containing kiwifruit are not covered under the scope of this review. This merchandise is currently classifiable under Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) subheading 0810.90.20.60. Although the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of this proceeding is dispositive.

Initiation and Preliminary Results of Changed Circumstances Review and Intent To Revoke Order

Pursuant to section 751(d)(1) of the Act, the Department may revoke, in whole or in part, an antidumping duty order based on a review under section 751(b) of the Act (*i.e.*, a changed circumstances review). Section 751(b)(1) of the Act requires a changed circumstances review to be conducted upon receipt of a request containing sufficient information concerning changed circumstances.

The Department's regulations at 19 CFR 351.216(d) require the Department to conduct a changed circumstances review in accordance with 19 CFR 351.221 if it decides that changed circumstances sufficient to warrant a review exist. Section 782(h) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.222(g)(1)(i) provide further that the Department may revoke an order, in whole or in part, if it concludes that the order under review is no longer of interest to producers accounting for substantially all of the production of the domestic like product. In addition, in the event that the Department concludes that expedited action is warranted, 19 CFR 351.221(c)(3)(ii) permits the Department to combine the notices of initiation and preliminary results.

The California Kiwifruit Commission is a domestic interested party as defined by section 771(9)(E) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.102(b) and was the petitioner in the LTFV investigation of this proceeding. We preliminarily determine that the California Kiwifruit Commission represents producers accounting for substantially all of the production of the domestic like product. Therefore, based on the affirmative

statement by the California Kiwifruit Commission of no interest in the continued application of the antidumping duty order on fresh kiwifruit from New Zealand, we are initiating this changed circumstances review. Further, based on the request by the petitioner and its affirmative statement of no interest dating back to June 1, 1997, we have determined that expedited action is warranted, and we are combining these notices of initiation and preliminary results. We have preliminarily determined that there are changed circumstances sufficient to warrant revocation of the order in whole. We are hereby notifying the public of our intent to revoke in whole the antidumping duty order on fresh kiwifruit from New Zealand retroactive to June 1, 1997.

If final revocation of the order occurs, we intend to instruct the Customs Service to end the suspension of liquidation and to refund any estimated antidumping duties collected for all unliquidated entries of fresh kiwifruit from New Zealand on or after June 1, 1997, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.222(g)(4). We will also instruct the Customs Service to pay interest on such refunds in accordance with section 778 of the Act. The current requirement for a cash deposit of estimated antidumping duties will continue until publication of the final results of this changed circumstances review.

Rescission of Administrative Review

On July 14, 1999, NZKMB withdrew its request for review in the sixth review period (June 1, 1997, through May 31, 1998). In accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), we are rescinding this review because NZKMB withdrew its request for a review and no other interested party requested that NZKMB be reviewed. Although NZKMB did not file its withdrawal request within 90 days of the publication of initiation of the requested review, we are exercising the discretion to extend that time limit afforded by 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1). That section allows the Department to rescind a review after 90 days when it is reasonable to do so. In this case, the petitioner concomitantly has filed a request for a changed circumstances review and revocation of the order based on a lack of domestic interest dating back to June 1, 1997, the month the request was filed for the sixth review. Given the lack of domestic interest prior to the date of initiation of the sixth review, we have determined that it is reasonable to rescind the sixth review based on NZKMB's filing of a withdrawal of its request for a review on July 14, 1999.

Public Comment

Any interested party may request a hearing within 10 days of publication of this notice. Any hearing, if requested, will be held no later than 28 days after the date of publication of this notice. Written comments from interested parties may be submitted not later than 14 days after the date of publication of this notice. Rebuttal comments to written comments, limited to issues raised in those comments, may be filed not later than 21 days after the date of publication of this notice. All written comments shall be submitted in accordance with 19 CFR 351.303. Persons interested in attending the hearing should contact the Department for the date and time of the hearing. The Department will publish the final results of this changed circumstances review, including the results of its analysis of issues raised in any written comments.

This notice is in accordance with section 751(b)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.216 and 351.222.

Dated: August 13, 1999.

Robert S. LaRussa,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 99–21715 Filed 8–19–99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-201-504]

Porcelain-on-Steel Cookware From Mexico: Notice of Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results in Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: August 20, 1999.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kate Johnson at (202) 482–4929, or Rebecca Trainor at (202) 482–4007, Office 2, AD/CVD Enforcement Group I, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C., 20230.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce is extending the time limit for the preliminary results of the twelfth administrative review of the antidumping duty order on porcelain-on-steel cookware from Mexico, which covers the period December 1, 1997, through November 30, 1998.

Postponement

Pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (the Act), the Department of Commerce (the Department) shall make a preliminary determination in an administrative review of an antidumping duty order within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of the date of publication of the order. The Act further provides, however, that the Department may extend that 245-day period to 365 days if it determines it is not practicable to complete the review within the foregoing time period. The Department finds that it is not practicable to complete the preliminary results in this twelfth administrative review of certain porcelain-on-steel cookware from Mexico within this time limit due to a number of complex issues, including reimbursement.

Therefore, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, the Department is extending the time for completion of the preliminary results of this review until November 1, 1999.

Dated: August 16, 1999.

Susan Kuhbach,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 99–21717 Filed 8–19–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration [A–588–041]

Revocation of Antidumping Finding: Synthetic Methionine From Japan

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of revocation of antidumping finding: Synthetic methionine from Japan.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act from 1930, as amended ("the Act"), the United States International Trade Commission ("the Commission") determined that revocation of the antidumping finding on synthetic methionine from Japan is not likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time (64 FR 38693 (July 19, 1999)). Therefore, pursuant to section 19 CFR 351.222(i)(1), the Department of Commerce ("the Department") is revoking the antidumping finding on synthetic methionine from Japan.

Pursuant to section 751(c)(6)(A)(iv) of the Act, the effective date of revocation is January 1, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Scott E. Smith or Melissa G. Skinner, Office of Policy for Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Ave., NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–6397 or (202) 482–1560, respectively.

EFFECTIVE DATE: January 1, 2000.

Background

On August 3, 1998, the Department initiated, and the Commission instituted, a sunset review (63 FR 41227 and 63 FR 41290, respectively) of the antidumping finding on synthetic methionine from Japan pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act. As a result of the review, the Department found that revocation of the antidumping finding would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping and notified the Commission of the magnitude of the margin likely to prevail were the finding to be revoked (see Final Results of Expedited Sunset Review: Synthetic Methionine from Japan, 63 FR 67665 (December 8, 1998), as amended 64 FR 30488 (June 8, 1999)).

On July 19, 1999, the Commission determined, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act, that revocation of the antidumping finding on synthetic methionine would not likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time (see Synthetic Methionine from Japan, 64 FR 38693 (July 19, 1999), and USITC Pub. 3205, Inv. No. AA1921–115 (Review) (July 1999)).

Scope

The merchandise covered by this finding is shipments of synthetic methionine other than synthetic L methionine. Synthetic methionine is an amino acid produced in two grades, DL methionine national formula grade (used for research and pharmaceutical purposes) and L methionine feed grade (used as a food additive). Both grades of synthetic methionine are currently classifiable under item 425.0420 of the Tariff Schedules of the United States Annotated and Harmonized System item number 2930.40.00. Although the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description remains dispositive.

Determination

As a result of the determination by the Commission that revocation of this antidumping finding is not likely to lead