effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent fatigue cracking and failure of the nose landing gear (NLG) turning tube, which could result in reduced structural integrity of the NLG, accomplish the following:

Restatement of Requirements of AD 97-02-17, Amendment 39-9902

- (a) At the applicable time specified in either paragraph (a)(1) or (a)(2) of this AD, conduct a high frequency eddy current (HFEC) inspection to detect fatigue cracking in the NLG turning tube, in accordance with the procedures specified in Annex 1 and Annex 2 of CASA Maintenance Instructions COM 235–092, Revision 02, dated May 5, 1995
- (1) For Model CN–235 airplanes [Basic model; Maximum Takeoff Weight (MTOW) = 31,746 lbs. (14,400 kgs.)]: Conduct the inspection prior to or upon the accumulation of 6,000 landings on the NLG turning tube, or within 50 landings after March 4, 1997 (the effective date of AD 97–02–17, amendment 39–9902), whichever occurs later.
- (2) For Model CN–235–100 series airplanes [MTOW = 33,290 lbs. (15,100 kgs.)] and Model CN–235–200 series airplanes [MTOW = 34,833 lbs. (15,800 kgs)]: Conduct the inspection prior to or upon the accumulation of 4,800 landings on the NLG turning tube, or within 50 landings after March 4, 1997, whichever occurs later.
- (b) If no cracking is detected during the inspection required by paragraph (a) of this AD, repeat the inspection thereafter at intervals not to exceed 200 landings until the requirements of paragraph (d) are accomplished.
- (c) If any cracking is detected during any inspection required by paragraph (a) or (b) of this AD, prior to further flight, accomplish the actions required by paragraph (c)(1) or (c)(2) of this AD. After the effective date of this AD, only the actions specified by paragraph (c)(2) of this AD shall be accomplished.
- (1) Replace the NLG turning tube with a new unit in accordance with CASA Maintenance Instructions COM 235–092, Revision 02, dated May 5, 1995. After replacement, repeat the HFEC inspection prior to or upon the accumulation of 6,000 landings on the new NLG turning tube installed on Model CN–325 airplanes (basic model); or prior to or upon the accumulation of 4,800 landings on the new NLG turning tube installed on Model CN–325–100 and –200 series airplanes. Thereafter, repeat the inspection at intervals not to exceed 200 landings.
- (2) Remove the NLG turning tube, P/N GA 63433, from the NLG yoke assembly and install a new turning tube, P/N GA 65924, and identify the modified NLG with a P/N SB-A0002-0101 data plate with the service bulletin number inscribed, in accordance with CASA Service Bulletin 35-CSB-32-001, dated February 16, 1999.

New Requirements of This AD

- (d) Remove the NLG turning tube, P/N GA 63433, from the NLG yoke assembly and install a new turning tube, P/N GA 65924, and identify the modified NLG with a P/N SB–A0002–0101 data plate with the service bulletin number inscribed, in accordance with CASA Service Bulletin 35–CSB–32–001, dated February 16, 1999. Except as provided by paragraph (c)(2) of this AD, accomplish the actions at the later of the times specified in paragraphs (d)(1) and (d)(2) of this AD. Accomplishment of these actions constitutes terminating action for the requirements of this AD.
- (1) Prior to the accumulation of 4,800 total flight cycles; or
- (2) Within 1 year or 200 landings after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs first
- (e) As of the effective date of this AD, no person shall install a NLG turning tube, P/N GA 63433, on any airplane.

Alternative Methods of Compliance

(f) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, International Branch, ANM–116, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, International Branch, ANM–116.

Note 2: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the International Branch, ANM–116.

Special Flight Permits

(g) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

Note 3: The subject of this AD is addressed in Spanish airworthiness directive 01/95, Rev. 2, dated February 15, 1999.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on August 6, 1999.

D. L. Riggin,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service. [FR Doc. 99–20893 Filed 8–11–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–U

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 99-NM-70-AD]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; British Aerospace Model BAe 146 and BAe Avro 146–RJ Series Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: This document proposes the adoption of a new airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to certain British Aerospace Model BAe 146 and BAe Avro 146-RJ series airplanes. This proposal would require repetitive inspections to detect signs of chafing to the fuel feed pipe, and repair or replacement of the fuel feed pipe with a serviceable part, if necessary; and ensuring that responder units, electrical connector backshells, and associated wiring are undamaged and are positioned correctly to provide maximum clearance with the fuel pipe. This proposal is prompted by issuance of mandatory continuing airworthiness information by a foreign civil airworthiness authority. The actions specified by the proposed AD are intended to prevent damage to the fuel feed pipe, which could result in fuel leaks and an increased potential for fire on the airplane.

DATES: Comments must be received by September 13, 1999.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM–114, Attention: Rules Docket No. 99–NM–70–AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055–4056. Comments may be inspected at this location between 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

The service information referenced in the proposed rule may be obtained from AI(R) American Support, Inc., 13850 Mclearen Road, Herndon, Virginia 20171. This information may be examined at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Norman B. Martenson, Manager, International Branch, ANM–116, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055–4056; telephone (425) 227–2110; fax (425) 227–1149.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications shall identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified above. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments, specified above, will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in light of the comments received.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report summarizing each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this proposal will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this notice must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Docket Number 99–NM–70–AD." The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

Availability of NPRMs

Any person may obtain a copy of this NPRM by submitting a request to the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-114, Attention: Rules Docket No. 99-NM-70-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056.

Discussion

The Civil Aviation Authority (CAA), which is the airworthiness authority for the United Kingdom, notified the FAA that an unsafe condition may exist on certain British Aerospace Model BAe 146 and BAe Avro 146-RJ series airplanes. The CAA advises that it has received service reports of damage to the fuel feed pipe that supplies secondary fuel flow from the fuel flow divider to the right side fuel manifold. The CAA attributes the damage to movement of the firewall responder unit within its restraining clamps, leading to contact between the backshell clamps of the responder connector and the adjacent fuel pipe. This condition, if not corrected, could result in damage to the fuel feed pipe, which could result in

fuel leaks and an increased potential for fire on the airplane.

Explanation of Relevant Service Information

British Aerospace has issued Service Bulletin SB.26-44, dated February 25, 1999, which describes procedures for repetitive detailed inspections to detect signs of chafing of the fuel feed pipe, and repair or replacement of the fuel feed pipe with a serviceable part, if necessary; and procedures for ensuring that responder units, electrical backshell connectors, and associated wiring are undamaged and are positioned correctly to provide maximum clearance with the fuel pipe. Accomplishment of the actions specified in the service bulletin is intended to adequately address the identified unsafe condition. The CAA classified this service bulletin as mandatory and issued British airworthiness directive 009-02-99 in order to assure the continued airworthiness of these airplanes in the United Kingdom.

British Aerospace has also issued Service Bulletin SB.26–44–01638A, dated February 25, 1999, which describes procedures for modifying the airplane to improve the responder unit clamping arrangement to prevent chafing against the fuel pipe. This modification would eliminate the need for the repetitive inspections.

FAA's Conclusions

These airplane models are manufactured in the United Kingdom and are type certificated for operation in the United States under the provisions of section 21.29 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.29) and the applicable bilateral airworthiness agreement. Pursuant to this bilateral airworthiness agreement, the CAA has kept the FAA informed of the situation described above. The FAA has examined the findings of the CAA, reviewed all available information, and determined that AD action is necessary for products of this type design that are certificated for operation in the United States.

Explanation of Requirements of Proposed Rule

Since an unsafe condition has been identified that is likely to exist or develop on other airplanes of the same type design registered in the United States, the proposed AD would require accomplishment of the actions specified in the service bulletin described previously. This proposed AD also would provide for optional terminating action for the repetitive inspections.

Operators should note that, in consonance with the findings of the CAA, the FAA has determined that the repetitive inspections proposed by this AD can be allowed to continue in lieu of accomplishment of a terminating action. In making this determination, the FAA considers that, in this case, long-term continued operational safety will be adequately assured by accomplishing the repetitive inspections to detect signs of chafing of the fuel feed pipes before it represents a hazard to the airplane.

Cost Impact

The FAA estimates that 20 airplanes of U.S. registry would be affected by this proposed AD, that it would take approximately 1 work hour per airplane to accomplish the proposed actions, and that the average labor rate is \$60 per work hour. Based on these figures, the cost impact of the proposed AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$1200, or \$60 per airplane, per inspection cycle.

The cost impact figure discussed above is based on assumptions that no operator has yet accomplished any of the proposed requirements of this AD action, and that no operator would accomplish those actions in the future if this AD were not adopted.

Regulatory Impact

The regulations proposed herein would not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this proposal would not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this proposed regulation (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this action is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSÉS.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

British Aerospace Regional Aircraft

(Formerly British Aerospace Regional Aircraft Limited, Avro International Aerospace Division; British Aerospace, PLC; British Aerospace Commercial Aircraft Limited): Docket 99–NM–70– AD.

Applicability: Model BAe 146 and Avro 146–RJ series airplanes, except those on which Modification HCMO1638A (British Aerospace Service Bulletin SB.26–44–01638A, dated February 25, 1999) has been accomplished; certificated in any category.

Note 1: This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been otherwise modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (c) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent damage to the fuel feed pipe, which could result in fuel leaks and an increased potential for fire on the airplane, accomplish the following:

(a) Within 30 days after the effective date of this AD, perform a detailed visual inspection of the fuel feed pipe for signs of chafing, and ensure that responder units are undamaged and positioned correctly in relation to clamps and that electrical connector backshells and associated wiring are undamaged and are oriented to provide maximum clearance with the fuel pipe; in accordance with British Aerospace Service Bulletin SB.26–44, dated February 25, 1999.

(1) If no chafing is detected, repeat the inspection thereafter at intervals not to exceed 3,000 flight hours, until accomplishment of paragraph (b) of this AD.

(2) If any sign of chafing is detected, prior to further flight, accomplish paragraph (a)(2)(i) or (a)(2)(ii) of this AD, as applicable,

in accordance with British Aerospace Service Bulletin SB.26–44, dated February 25, 1999. Repeat the inspection thereafter at intervals not to exceed 3,000 flight hours, until accomplishment of paragraph (b) of this AD.

(i) If the damage does not exceed one-half the thickness of the fuel feed pipe wall, prior to further flight, repair the pipe.

(ii) If the damage exceeds one-half the thickness of the fuel feed pipe wall, prior to further flight, replace the pipe with a serviceable part.

Note 2: For the purposes of this AD, a detailed inspection is defined as: "An intensive visual examination of a specific structural area, system, installation, or assembly to detect damage, failure, or irregularity. Available lighting is normally supplemented with a direct source of good lighting at intensity deemed appropriate by the inspector. Inspection aids such as mirror, magnifying lenses, etc., may be used. Surface cleaning and elaborate access procedures may be required."

(b) Modification of the clamping arrangement for the firewall responder units in accordance with British Aerospace Service Bulletin SB.26–44–01638A, dated February 25, 1999, constitutes terminating action for the requirements of this AD.

Alternative Methods of Compliance

(c) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, International Branch, ANM–116, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, International Branch, ANM–116, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate.

Note 3: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the International Branch, ANM–116.

Special Flight Permits

(d) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

Note 4: The subject of this AD is addressed in British airworthiness directive 009–02–99.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on August 6, 1999.

D. L. Riggin,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service. [FR Doc. 99–20894 Filed 8–11–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–U

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 98-NM-385-AD]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Bombardier Model CL-600-2B19 (Regional Jet Series 100) Series Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking

(NPRM).

SUMMARY: This document proposes the adoption of a new airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to certain Bombardier Model CL-600-2B19 (Regional Jet Series 100) series airplanes. This proposal would require a one-time inspection to detect damage of the input connectors and wiring of the main and auxiliary power unit (APU) battery chargers, and corrective action, if necessary. It would also require installation of secure connectors for the battery charger input connections. In addition, this proposal would require, for certain airplanes, either the installation of a resistor in the battery charger wiring, or the installation of new batteries with internal resistors. This proposal is prompted by issuance of mandatory continuing airworthiness information by a foreign civil airworthiness authority. The actions specified by the proposed AD are intended to prevent increased risk of a short circuit and consequent electrical smoke or fire in the aft fuselage.

DATES: Comments must be received by September 13, 1999.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-114, Attention: Rules Docket No. 98-NM-385-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056. Comments may be inspected at this location between 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

The service information referenced in the proposed rule may be obtained from Bombardier, Inc., Canadair, Aerospace Group, P.O. Box 6087, Station Centreville, Montreal, Quebec H3C 3G9, Canada. This information may be examined at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the FAA, Engine and Propeller Directorate, New York Aircraft