

The accounts provide a statistical summary of U.S. international transactions. They are used by government and private organizations for national and international policy formulation, and analytical studies. Without the information collected in this survey, an integral component of the private remittances account would be omitted. No other Government agency collects comprehensive annual data on private unilateral transfers of funds and commodities to foreign countries.

The survey requests information from U.S. religious, charitable, educational, scientific, and similar organizations on the transfer of cash grants to foreign countries and their expenditures in foreign countries. Information is collected on a quarterly basis from institutions transferring \$1 million or more each year, and annually for all others. Nonprofit organizations with total remittances of less than \$25,000 annually are exempt from reporting.

II. Method of Collection

Information is obtained from U.S. religious, charitable, educational, scientific, and similar organizations who voluntarily agree to provide data regarding transfers of cash grants to foreign countries and their expenditures in foreign countries. Submission of the completed report form, or computer printouts in the format of the report form, are the most expedient and economical methods of reporting the information.

III. Data

OMB Number: 0608-0002.

Form Number: BE-40.

Type of Review: Renewal-regular submission.

Affected Public: U.S. religious, charitable, educational, scientific, and similar organizations which transfer cash grants to foreign countries and their expenditures in foreign countries.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 480.

Estimated Time Per Response: 1.5 hours per annual reporter. 6.0 hours per quarterly reporter.

Estimated Time Per Response: 1.5 hours per annual reporter. 6.0 hours per quarterly reporter.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 1,521 hours.

Estimated Total Annual Cost: The estimated annual cost to the government is \$16,000. The estimated annual cost to the public is \$45,630 based on total number of hours estimated as the reporting burden and an estimated hourly cost of \$30.

Respondent's Obligation: Voluntary.

Legal Authority: Bretton Woods Agreement Act, Section 8, and E.O. 10033, as amended.

IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they also will become a matter of public record.

Dated: August 3, 1999.

Linda Engelmeier,

Departmental Forms Clearance Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 99-20442 Filed 8-6-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-EA-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Commerce Trade Fair Privatization Application

ACTION: Proposed collection; comment request.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burdens, invites the public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13 (44 U.S.C. 3506(c) (2) (A)).

DATES: Written comments must be submitted on or before October 8, 1999.

ADDRESSES: Direct all written comments to Linda Engelmeier, Departmental Forms Clearance Officer, Department of Commerce, Room 5033, 14th & Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230. Phone number: (202) 482-3272 or Email: LEngelme@doc.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Request for additional information or copies of the information collection instrument and instructions should be directed to: Don Huber, U.S. & Foreign

Commercial Service, Export Promotion Services, Room 2810, 14th & Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; Phone number: (202) 482-2525, and fax number: (202) 482-0872.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Abstract

The Trade Fair Certification (TFC) program is a service of the U.S. Department of Commerce (DOC) that provides DOC endorsement and support for private sector firms that organize high quality international trade fairs. The TFC program seeks to broaden the base of U.S. firms, particularly new-to-market companies by introducing them to key international trade fairs where they can achieve their export objectives. Those objectives include one or more of the following: direct sales, identification of local agents or distributors, market research and exposure, and joint venture licensing opportunities for their products and services. The objective of the application is to make a determination that we qualify the trade fair organizer to organize and manage U.S. exhibitions at a foreign trade show.

II. Method of Collection

Form ITA-4134P is sent by request to U.S. firms.

III. Data

OMB Number: 0625-0222.

Form Number: ITA-4134P.

Type of Review: Regular Submission.

Affected Public: Business or other for-profit firms.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 10.

Estimated Time Per Response: 12 hours.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 120 hours.

Estimated Total Annual Costs: The estimated annual cost for this collection is \$5,440.00 (\$4,200.00 for respondents and \$1,240.00 for federal government).

IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and costs) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to reduce the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including by automated collection techniques or forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or

included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they also will become a matter of public records.

Dated: August 3, 1999.

Linda Engelmeier,

Departmental Forms Clearance Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 99-20441 Filed 8-6-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-FP-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-428-811]

Certain Hot-Rolled Lead and Bismuth Carbon Steel Products From Germany: Final Results of Antidumping Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of final results of antidumping duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: On April 6, 1999, the Department of Commerce published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain lead and bismuth carbon steel products from Germany (64 FR 16703). This review covers Saarstahl AG, a manufacturer/exporter of the subject merchandise to the United States, and the period March 1, 1997, through February 28, 1998. We conducted a verification of Saarstahl's antidumping duty questionnaire responses and gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on the preliminary results. Based on our analysis of the comments received and the correction of certain data, we have revised our margin calculation; however, the final results do not differ from the preliminary results. The final results are listed below in the "Final Results of Review" section of this notice.

EFFECTIVE DATE: August 9, 1999.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David J. Goldberger or Rebecca Trainor, Office 2, AD/CVD Enforcement Group I, Import Administration, Room B099, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-4136, or 482-4007, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On April 6, 1999, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published in the **Federal Register** the preliminary

results of the 1997-1998 administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain lead and bismuth carbon steel products from Germany (64 FR 16703) (*Preliminary Results*). We conducted verification of Saarstahl AG's (Saarstahl) antidumping duty questionnaire responses from April 27 through May 7, 1999, and issued our report on June 1, 1999 (see Memorandum to the File: Sales and Cost of Production Verification) (Verification Report). On June 17, 1999, and June 23, 1999, Ispat Inland Inc. and USS/KOBE Steel Co. (the petitioners), and Saarstahl submitted case and rebuttal briefs, respectively. Following the return to the petitioners of their June 10, 1999, submission, which contained untimely submitted factual information, on June 29, 1999, the petitioners resubmitted their June 17, 1999, brief with references to the June 10 submission redacted, in accordance with the Department's instructions. Both parties withdrew their respective requests for a hearing.

The Department has now completed its administrative review in accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Act are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to the regulations at 19 CFR part 351 (1998).

Scope of the Review

The products covered by this review are hot-rolled bars and rods of nonalloy or other alloy steel, whether or not descaled, containing by weight 0.03 percent or more of lead or 0.05 percent or more of bismuth, in coils or cut lengths, and in numerous shapes and sizes. Excluded from the scope of this review are other alloy steels (as defined by the *Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States* (HTSUS) Chapter 72, note 1(f)), except steels classified as other alloy steels by reason of containing by weight 0.4 percent or more of lead, or 0.1 percent or more of bismuth, tellurium, or selenium. Also excluded are semi-finished steels and flat-rolled products. Most of the products covered in this review are provided for under subheadings 7213.20.00.00 and 7214.30.00.00 of the HTSUS. Small quantities of these products may also enter the United States under the following HTSUS subheadings: 7213.31.30.00;

7213.31.60.00; 7213.39.00.30; 7213.39.00.60; 7213.39.00.90; 7213.91.30.00; 7213.91.45.00; 7213.91.60.00; 7213.99.00; 7214.40.00.10, 7214.40.00.30, 7214.40.00.50; 7214.50.00.10; 7214.50.00.30, 7214.50.00.50; 7214.60.00.10; 7214.60.00.30; 7214.60.00.50; 7214.91.00; 7214.99.00; 7228.30.80.00; and 7228.30.80.50. HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and Customs purposes. The written description of the scope of this proceeding is dispositive.

Duty Absorption

On April 28, 1998, the petitioners requested that the Department determine whether antidumping duties had been absorbed during the period of review (POR). Section 751(a)(4) of the Act provides for the Department, if requested, to determine during an administrative review initiated two or four years after the publication of the order, whether antidumping duties have been absorbed by a foreign producer or exporter, if the subject merchandise is sold in the United States through an affiliated importer. In this case, Saarstahl sold to the United States through an importer that is affiliated within the meaning of section 751(a)(4) of the Act.

Section 351.213(j)(2) of the Department's regulations provides that for transition orders (*i.e.*, orders in effect on January 1, 1995), the Department will conduct duty absorption reviews, if requested, for administrative reviews initiated in 1996 or 1998. Because the order underlying this review was issued prior to January 1, 1995, and this review was initiated in 1998, a duty absorption determination in this segment of the proceeding is necessary. As we have found that there is no dumping margin for Saarstahl with respect to its U.S. sales, we have also found that there is no duty absorption.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

We have made the following changes from the preliminary results:

1. We included payment dates for certain home market sales that were not included in the preliminary results, according to Saarstahl's April 28, 1999, submission and the verification results. Accordingly, we revised the imputed credit expenses for those sales.

2. We reallocated the materials, labor, and overhead costs reported by Saarstahl, in accordance with our verification findings (see Verification Report at pages 5-6). The reallocation did not change the total cost of manufacturing reported. We used the computer programming language