

	Total number of institutions	Departments	Burden hours
FY 1996	722	11,592	1.95
FY 1997	723	11,597	2.23

Description of Respondents:
Individuals.

Estimated Number of Responses:
11,597 (from the 1997 collection).

Estimated Total Annual Burden on Respondents: 23,690 hours (from the 1997 collection).

Frequency of Responses: Annually.

Dated: August 2, 1999.

Suzanne H. Plimpton,

Reports Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. 99-20147 Filed 8-4-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7555-01-M

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Number 40-9048]

International Uranium (USA) Corporation

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory
Commission.

ACTION: Notice of withdrawal of license application, Reno Creek In Situ Leach (ISL) Uranium Extraction Project, Campbell County, Wyoming; notice of withdrawal.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) has received, by letter dated July 22, 1999, a request from International Uranium (USA) Corporation that the NRC terminate all review activities for the Reno Creek In Situ Leach (ISL) Uranium Extraction Project in Campbell County, Wyoming.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Harold Lefevre, Uranium Recovery and Low Level Waste Branch, Division of Waste Management, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555. Telephone (301) 415-6678. E-mail HEL@NRC.GOV.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The NRC noticed the receipt of an Application for Licensing for the Reno Creek In Situ Leach (ISL) Uranium Extraction Project in Campbell County, Wyoming, in the **Federal Register** (59 FR 16246, April 6, 1994). A Notice for Opportunity for Hearing was also issued in that **Federal Register** Notice. The application was submitted by Energy Fuels Nuclear, Incorporated, a predecessor of International Uranium (USA) Corporation.

The NRC received a letter dated July 22, 1999, from International Uranium (USA) Corporation requesting that NRC, "immediately terminate all review work on the Reno Creek ISL Project Source Materials License Application." The NRC considers this request a withdrawal of the License Application in accordance with 10 CFR 2.107. As stated in 10 CFR 2.107(b), the withdrawal of an application does not authorize the removal of any document from the files of the Commission. If it desires to do so, International Uranium (USA) Corporation may again apply for a specific license for the Reno Creek ISL Project at some time in the future by submitting a license application in accordance with 10 CFR 40.31.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 29th Day of July 1999.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

John J. Surmeier,

Chief, Uranium Recovery and Low Level Waste Branch, Division of Waste Management, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards.

[FR Doc. 99-20123 Filed 8-4-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 50-277 and 50-278]

PECO Energy Company, Public Service Electric and Gas Company, and Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, Units Nos. 2 and 3; Notice of Consideration of Approval of Transfer of Facility Operating Licenses and Issuance of Conforming Amendments, and Opportunity for a Hearing

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering the issuance of an order under 10 CFR 50.80 approving the transfer of Facility Operating Licenses Nos. DPR-70 and DPR-75 for the Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, Unit Nos. 2 and 3, to the extent currently held by Public Service Electric and Gas Company (PSE&G), as a non-operating co-owner of Peach Bottom Units 2 and 3. The transfer would be to PSEG Nuclear, LLC. PSE&G currently owns 42.5 percent of each Peach Bottom unit. The proposed transfers do not involve any change with respect to the ownership interests held by PECO

Energy Company, Delmarva Power and Light Company, and Atlantic City Electric Company. The Commission is also considering amending the licenses to reflect the proposed transfer.

According to the application for approval, PSE&G's interest in both units of the facility would be transferred to PSEG Nuclear, LLC, following approval of the proposed transfer of the licenses. PSEG Nuclear, LLC, will be a wholly owned subsidiary of the current parent of PSE&G, Public Service Enterprise Group Incorporated. The transfers of the licenses will not affect PECO Energy Company's current responsibility and authority to operate the units. No physical changes to the Peach Bottom facility or operational changes are being proposed in the application.

The proposed amendments would replace references to PSE&G in the licenses with references to PSEG Nuclear, LLC, to reflect the proposed transfer.

Pursuant to 10 CFR 50.80, no license, or any right thereunder, shall be transferred, directly or indirectly, through transfer of control of the license, unless the Commission shall give its consent in writing. The Commission will approve an application for the transfer of a license if the Commission determines that the proposed transferee is qualified to hold the license, and that the transfer is otherwise consistent with applicable provisions of law, regulations, and orders issued by the Commission pursuant thereto.

Before issuance of the proposed conforming license amendments, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's regulations.

As provided in 10 CFR 2.1315, unless otherwise determined by the Commission with regard to a specific application, the Commission has determined that any amendment to the license of a utilization facility which does no more than conform the license to reflect the transfer action involves no significant hazards consideration. No contrary determination has been made with respect to this specific license amendment application. In light of the generic determination reflected in 10 CFR 2.1315, no public comments with respect to significant hazards

considerations are being solicited, notwithstanding the general comment procedures contained in 10 CFR 50.91.

The filing of requests for hearing and petitions for leave to intervene, and written comments with regard to the license transfer application, are discussed below.

By August 25, 1999, any person whose interest may be affected by the Commission's action on the application may request a hearing, and, if not the applicants, may petition for leave to intervene in a hearing proceeding on the Commission's action. Requests for a hearing and petitions for leave to intervene should be filed in accordance with the Commission's rules of practice set forth in Subpart M, "Public Notification, Availability of Documents and Records, Hearing Requests and Procedures for Hearings on License Transfer Applications," of 10 CFR Part 2. In particular, such requests and petitions must comply with the requirements set forth in 10 CFR 2.1306, and should address the considerations contained in 10 CFR 2.1308(a). Untimely requests and petitions may be denied, as provided in 10 CFR 2.1308(b), unless good cause for failure to file on time is established. In addition, an untimely request or petition should address the factors that the Commission will also consider, in reviewing untimely requests or petitions, set forth in 10 CFR 2.1308(b)(1)–(2).

Requests for a hearing and petitions for leave to intervene should be served upon Jeffrie J. Keenan, Esquire, Public Service Electric and Gas Company, Nuclear Business Unit—N21, P.O. Box 236, Hancocks Bridge, NJ 08038 (tel: 609-339-5429, fax: 609-339-1234, and e-mail: JKeenan@PSEG.com); the General Counsel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555 (e-mail address for filings regarding license transfer cases only: OGCLT@NRC.gov); and the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, Attention: Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff, in accordance with 10 CFR 2.1313.

The Commission will issue a notice or order granting or denying a hearing request or intervention petition, designating the issues for any hearing that will be held, and designating the Presiding Officer. A notice granting a hearing will be published in the **Federal Register** and served on the parties to the hearing.

As an alternative to requests for hearing and petitions to intervene, by September 7, 1999, persons may submit written comments regarding the license

transfer application, as provided for in 10 CFR 2.1305. The Commission will consider and, if appropriate, respond to these comments, but such comments will not otherwise constitute part of the decisional record. Comments should be submitted to the Secretary, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, Attention: Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff, and should cite the publication date and page number of this **Federal Register** notice.

For further details with respect to this action, see the applications dated July 1 and 23, 1999, and a related application dated June 4, 1999, pertaining to the Hope Creek and Salem facilities, incorporated by reference in the July 23, 1999, submittal, which are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at Government Publications Section, State Library of Pennsylvania, (REGIONAL DEPOSITORY) Education Building, Walnut Street and Commonwealth Avenue, Box 1601, Harrisburg, PA 17105.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland this 30th day of July 1999.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Bartholomew C. Buckley,

Sr. Project Manager, Section 2, Project Directorate I, Division of Licensing Project Management, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 99-20122 Filed 8-4-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket 70-7001]

Notice of Amendment to Certificate of Compliance GDP-1 for the U.S. Enrichment Corporation, Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant, Paducah, KY

The Director, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards, has made a determination that the following amendment request is not significant in accordance with 10 CFR 76.45. In making that determination, the staff concluded that: (1) there is no change in the types or significant increase in the amounts of any effluents that may be released offsite; (2) there is no significant increase in individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure; (3) there is no significant construction impact; (4) there is no significant increase in the potential for, or radiological or chemical consequences from, previously analyzed

accidents; (5) the proposed changes do not result in the possibility of a new or different kind of accident; (6) there is no significant reduction in any margin of safety; and (7) the proposed changes will not result in an overall decrease in the effectiveness of the plant's safety, safeguards or security programs. The basis for this determination for the amendment request is shown below.

The NRC staff has reviewed the certificate amendment application and concluded that it provides reasonable assurance of adequate safety, safeguards, and security, and compliance with NRC requirements. Therefore, the Director, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards, is prepared to issue an amendment to the Certificate of Compliance for the Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant. The staff has prepared a Compliance Evaluation Report which provides details of the staff's evaluation.

The NRC staff has determined that this amendment satisfies the criteria for a categorical exclusion in accordance with 10 CFR 51.22(c)(19). Therefore, pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b), no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need to be prepared for this amendment.

USEC or any person whose interest may be affected may file a petition, not exceeding 30 pages, requesting review of the Director's Decision. The petition must be filed with the Commission not later than 15 days after publication of this **Federal Register** Notice. A petition for review of the Director's Decision shall set forth with particularity the interest of the petitioner and how that interest may be affected by the results of the decision. The petition should specifically explain the reasons why review of the Decision should be permitted with particular reference to the following factors: (1) the interest of the petitioner; (2) how that interest may be affected by the Decision, including the reasons why the petitioner should be permitted a review of the Decision; and (3) the petitioner's areas of concern about the activity that is the subject matter of the Decision. Any person described in this paragraph (USEC or any person who filed a petition) may file a response to any petition for review, not to exceed 30 pages, within 10 days after filing of the petition. If no petition is received within the designated 15-day period, the Director will issue the final amendment to the Certificate of Compliance without further delay. If a petition for review is received, the decision on the amendment application will become final in 60 days, unless the Commission grants the petition for review or otherwise acts within 60 days after