

retaining the current \$0.11 per 7/10 bushel carton assessment rate for the 1999–2000 fiscal period would reduce the Committee's reserve to an unacceptable level. Alternative expenditure levels were discussed based upon the relative value of the advertising and promotion program to the Texas citrus industry. The proposed assessment rate of \$0.12 per 7/10 bushel carton of assessable oranges and grapefruit was determined by dividing the total recommended budget by the quantity of assessable oranges and grapefruit estimated at 9.5 million 7/10 bushel cartons for the 1999–2000 fiscal period. The \$0.12 rate should provide \$1,140,000 in assessment income. The additional \$8,850 would come from the Committee's reserve and interest income.

A review of historical information and preliminary information pertaining to the upcoming fiscal period indicates that the f.o.b. price for the 1999–2000 season could range from \$4.75 to \$12.50 per 7/10 bushel carton of oranges and grapefruit depending upon the fruit variety, size, and quality. Therefore, the estimated assessment revenue for the 1999–2000 fiscal period as a percentage of the total pack-out revenue could range between .96 and 2.5 percent.

This action would increase the assessment obligation imposed on handlers. While assessments impose some additional costs on handlers, the costs are minimal and uniform on all handlers. Some of the additional costs may be passed on to producers. However, these costs would be offset by the benefits derived by the operation of the marketing order. In addition, the Committee's meeting was widely publicized throughout the Texas orange and grapefruit industry and all interested persons were invited to attend the meeting and participate in Committee deliberations on all issues. Like all Committee meetings, the June 8, 1999, meeting was a public meeting and all entities, both large and small, were able to express views on this issue. Finally, interested persons are invited to submit information on the regulatory and informational impacts of this action on small businesses.

This proposed rule would impose no additional reporting or recordkeeping requirements on either small or large Texas orange and grapefruit handlers. As with all Federal marketing order programs, reports and forms are periodically reviewed to reduce information requirements and duplication by industry and public sector agencies.

The Department has not identified any relevant Federal rules that

duplicate, overlap, or conflict with this rule.

A 20-day comment period is provided to allow interested persons to respond to this proposed rule. Twenty days is deemed appropriate because: (1) The 1999–2000 fiscal period begins on August 1, 1999, and the marketing order requires that the rate of assessment for each fiscal period apply to all assessable oranges and grapefruit handled during such fiscal period, and handlers will begin harvesting their fruit in early September; (2) the Committee needs to have sufficient funds to pay its expenses which are incurred on a continuous basis; and (3) handlers are aware of this action which was unanimously recommended by the Committee at a public meeting and is similar to other assessment rate actions issued in past years.

#### List of Subjects in 7 CFR Part 906

Grapefruit, Marketing agreements, Oranges, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, 7 CFR part 906 is proposed to be amended as follows:

#### PART 906—ORANGES AND GRAPEFRUIT GROWN IN LOWER RIO GRANDE VALLEY IN TEXAS

1. The authority citation for 7 CFR part 906 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 7 U.S.C. 601–674.

2. Section 906.235 is revised to read as follows:

##### § 906.235 Assessment rate.

On and after August 1, 1999, an assessment rate of \$0.12 per 7/10 bushel carton is established for oranges and grapefruit grown in the Lower Rio Grande Valley in Texas.

Dated: July 14, 1999.

**Robert C. Keeney,**

*Deputy Administrator, Fruit and Vegetable Programs.*

[FR Doc. 99–18318 Filed 7–16–99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410–02–P

#### DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

##### Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service

##### 9 CFR Part 94

[Docket No. 98–095–1]

##### Pork and Pork Products From Mexico Transiting the United States

**AGENCY:** Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, USDA.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The importation and in-transit movement of fresh (chilled or frozen) pork and pork products from Mexico into the United States is restricted because of the presence of hog cholera in some areas in Mexico. We are proposing to amend the regulations to allow fresh (chilled or frozen) pork and pork products from the Mexican States of Baja California Sur, Coahuila, Nuevo Leon, Tamaulipas, Sinaloa, Campeche, and Quintana Roo to transit the United States, under certain conditions, for export to another country. We are proposing this action because there has been no outbreak of hog cholera in any of the States of Baja California Sur, Coahuila, Nuevo Leon, Tamaulipas, Sinaloa, Campeche, or Quintana Roo since 1993, and it appears that fresh (chilled or frozen) pork and pork products from each of the above States could transit the United States under seal with an insignificant risk of introducing hog cholera.

**DATES:** We invite you to comment on this docket. We will consider all comments that we receive by September 17, 1999.

**ADDRESSES:** Please send your comment and three copies to: Docket No. 98–095–1, Regulatory Analysis and Development, PPD, APHIS, Suite 3C03, 4700 River Road, Unit 118, Riverdale, MD 20737–1238. Please state that your comment refers to Docket No. 98–095–1.

You may read any comments that we receive on this docket in our reading room. The reading room is located in room 1141 of the USDA South Building, 14th Street and Independence Avenue, SW., Washington DC. Normal reading room hours are 8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, except holidays. To be sure someone is there to help you, please call (202) 690–2817 before coming.

APHIS documents published in the **Federal Register**, and related information, including the names of organizations and individuals who have commented on APHIS rules, are available on the Internet at <http://www.aphis.usda.gov/ppd/rad/webrepor.html>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Dr. Michael David, Senior Staff Veterinarian, Animals Program, National Center for Import and Export, VS, APHIS, 4700 River Road Unit 39, Riverdale, MD 20737, (301) 734–4356.

##### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

The regulations in 9 CFR part 94 (referred to below as the regulations)

prohibit or restrict the importation of certain animals and animal products into the United States to prevent the introduction of certain animal diseases. Section 94.9 of the regulations prohibits the importation of pork and pork products into the United States from countries where hog cholera exists, unless the pork or pork products have been treated in one of several ways, all of which involve heating or curing and drying.

Because hog cholera exists in certain areas in Mexico, pork and pork products from most Mexican States must meet the requirements of § 94.9 to be imported into the United States. Section 94.20 provides an exception, allowing the importation of fresh (chilled or frozen) pork and pork products from the Mexican State of Sonora.

Under § 94.15, pork and pork products that are from certain Mexican States and that are not eligible for entry into the United States in accordance with the regulations in § 94.9 or § 94.20 may transit the United States for immediate export if certain conditions are met. These provisions were added to the regulations in 1992, following a United States Department of Agriculture investigation of the hog cholera situation in Sonora, Mexico, and a determination that pork and pork products from Sonora could transit the United States, under certain conditions, with minimal risk of introducing hog cholera. Final rules published in the **Federal Register** in 1995, 1996, and 1997 applied the provisions to Chihuahua, Yucatan, and Baja California, respectively.

Mexico's Director of Animal Health has requested that we allow pork and pork products from additional Mexican States to transit the United States under the same conditions. The additional Mexican States are Baja California Sur, Coahuila, Nuevo Leon, Tamaulipas, Sinaloa, Campeche, and Quintana Roo.

In response, officials of the Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (APHIS) have met with Mexican representatives knowledgeable in disease prevention, epidemiology, and diagnostic methods. The team reviewed the information supplied by these representatives (discussed below) and found two factors contributing to the seven States' apparent successes in remaining free of hog cholera: The States' locations and controls by Mexico's Division of Animal Health on the movement into the States of pork, pork products, and live swine. Based on this review, the team recommended granting Mexico's request.

### General Information

As required by the Mexican Government, pork from Mexico that is currently eligible to transit the United States under § 94.15 must be processed and packaged in Tipo de Internacional Federal (TIF) plants that are approved by the Mexican Government and that are subject to strict Federal supervision to ensure that international health standards are maintained. A "TIF" may be a slaughter facility or a processing plant, or a combination of the two.

The seven States that are subject to this proposed rule and other States recognized by Mexico as free of hog cholera may import live swine only from other hog cholera-free States and countries. The Mexican Government requires shipments of swine from hog cholera-free countries to be accompanied by a certificate of origin issued by that country's veterinary authorities and by a certificate of import issued by the Mexican veterinary authorities. States recognized by Mexico as free of hog cholera also require and issue their own permits and health certificates, further ensuring that the products originate in a hog cholera-free region. In addition, live swine and pork imported into these hog cholera-free States must be shipped in sealed trucks, and all shipments are inspected at inspection stations located either on State lines or at international ports of entry.

The Mexican government also requires that any fresh pork or pork products that are shipped for processing to a hog cholera-free State from a region where hog cholera is believed to exist arrive at TIF processing plants in sealed, numbered containers. The seals must show no evidence of tampering, and the shipment must be accompanied by a certificate signed by an authorized official in the region of origin. Once they arrive at the TIF plant in a hog cholera-free State, such pork and pork products must be clearly labeled and set apart from pork that is eligible for importation into the United States to ensure that no comminglement occurs. Mexico further protects its swine population from hog cholera by requiring that pork that originates in non-hog cholera-free regions may only enter commerce in hog cholera-free States after it is heated or cured and dried.

### Baja California Sur

The last outbreak of hog cholera in the Mexican State of Baja California Sur occurred in May 1993. Vaccination for hog cholera was discontinued in 1980. Mexico officially recognized Baja

California Sur as free of hog cholera in December 1993.

Baja California Sur is bordered on the north by the Mexican State of Baja California, which Mexico recognizes as free of hog cholera. To the west of Baja California Sur is the Pacific Ocean and to the east is the Gulf of California.

There is little swine production in Baja California Sur and there are no TIF plants that handle swine and pork in the State. According to 1997 production data, Baja California Sur produced 788 metric tons of pork (less than one tenth of one percent of Mexico's total pork production for that year).

### Coahuila

The last outbreak of hog cholera in the Mexican State of Coahuila occurred in 1984. Vaccination for hog cholera was discontinued in 1984. Mexico officially recognized Coahuila as free of hog cholera in July 1995.

Coahuila is bordered on the northwest by the Mexican State of Chihuahua, which Mexico recognizes as free of hog cholera. Coahuila is bordered on the southwest by the Mexican States of Durango and Zacatecas, both of which are in the eradication phase of Mexico's National Campaign Against Classical Swine Fever (hog cholera). To the southeast of Coahuila is the Mexican State of Nuevo Leon, which Mexico declared free of hog cholera in July 1995. To the north and east of Coahuila is the United States, which is free of hog cholera.

Three TIF plants handle swine and pork in the State of Coahuila. According to 1997 production data, Coahuila produced approximately 13,423 metric tons of pork (less than 1.5 percent of Mexico's total pork production for that year).

### Nuevo Leon

The last outbreak of hog cholera in the Mexican State of Nuevo Leon occurred in 1990. Vaccination for hog cholera was discontinued in 1993. Mexico officially recognized Nuevo Leon as free of hog cholera in July 1995.

Nuevo Leon is bordered on the northwest by the Mexican State of Coahuila, on the northeast by the United States, and on the northeast and southeast by the Mexican State of Tamaulipas, which Mexico considers free of hog cholera. To the southwest of Nuevo Leon is the Mexican State of San Luis Potosi, which is in the eradication phase of Mexico's National Campaign Against Classical Swine Fever.

Eight TIF plants handle swine and pork in the State of Nuevo Leon. According to 1997 production data, Nuevo Leon produced approximately

17,416 metric tons of pork (less than 2 percent of Mexico's total pork production for that year).

#### *Tamaulipas*

The last outbreak of hog cholera in the Mexican State of Tamaulipas occurred in 1984. Vaccination for hog cholera was discontinued in 1993. Mexico officially recognized Tamaulipas as free of hog cholera in July 1995.

Tamaulipas is bordered on the northwest by the Mexican State of Nuevo Leon and on the northeast by the United States. To the southeast of Tamaulipas is the Gulf of Mexico. To the south and west of Tamaulipas are the Mexican States of San Luis Potosi and Veracruz. San Luis Potosi is in the eradication phase of Mexico's National Campaign Against Classical Swine Fever, and Veracruz is in the control phase.

One TIF plant handles swine and pork in the State of Tamaulipas. According to 1997 production data, Tamaulipas produced approximately 6,490 metric tons of pork (less than 1 percent of Mexico's total pork production for that year).

#### *Sinaloa*

The last outbreak of hog cholera in the Mexican State of Sinaloa occurred in April 1990. Vaccination for hog cholera was discontinued in 1990. Mexico officially recognized Sinaloa as free of hog cholera in November 1993.

Sinaloa is bordered on the west by the Gulf of California and the Pacific Ocean. To the north and northeast of Sinaloa are the Mexican States of Sonora and Chihuahua, both of which are considered free of hog cholera by Mexico. To the east and south of Sinaloa are the Mexican States of Durango and Nayarit. Both Durango and Nayarit are in the eradication phase of Mexico's National Campaign Against Classical Swine Fever.

Three TIF plants handle swine and pork in the State of Sinaloa. According to 1997 production data, Sinaloa produced approximately 12,968 metric tons of pork (less than 1.5 percent of Mexico's total pork production for that year).

#### *Campeche*

The last outbreak of hog cholera in the Mexican State of Campeche occurred in 1989. Vaccination for hog cholera was discontinued in 1995. Mexico officially recognized Campeche as free of hog cholera in December 1997.

Campeche is bordered on the northeast and east by the Mexican States of Yucatan and Quintana Roo, respectively, both of which are

considered free of hog cholera by Mexico. To the northwest of Campeche is the Gulf of Mexico. South and southwest of Campeche are Guatemala and the Mexican State of Tabasco. Hog cholera is believed to exist in Guatemala. Tabasco is currently in the control phase of Mexico's National Campaign Against Classical Swine Fever.

According to 1997 production data, Campeche produced approximately 3,363 metric tons of pork (less than one half of 1 percent of Mexico's total pork production for that year).

#### *Quintana Roo*

The last outbreak of hog cholera in the Mexican State of Quintana Roo occurred in 1980. Vaccination for hog cholera was discontinued in 1994. Mexico officially recognized Baja California as free of hog cholera in July 1996.

Quintana Roo is bordered on the north and west by the Mexican States of Yucatan and Campeche, both of which are considered free of hog cholera by Mexico. To the east of Quintana Roo is the Caribbean Sea. South of Quintana Roo is Belize, which has not been officially recognized as free of hog cholera and, therefore, does not export pork or live swine to Mexico.

There are no TIF plants that handle swine and pork in the State of Quintana Roo. According to 1997 production data, Quintana Roo produced approximately 7,250 metric tons of pork (less than 1 percent of Mexico's total pork production for that year).

#### *Conditions for Movement Through the United States*

The following conditions for in-transit movement currently apply to pork and pork products from Baja California, Chihuahua, Sonora, and Yucatan. Under this proposed rule, they would also apply to pork and pork products from Baja California Sur, Coahuila, Nuevo Leon, Tamaulipas, Sinaloa, Campeche, and Quintana Roo.

1. Any person wishing to move pork or pork products from one of these States through the United States for export must first obtain a permit for importation from APHIS. The application for the permit tells APHIS who will be involved in the transportation, how much and what type of pork and pork products will be transported, when they will be transported, and the method and route of shipment.

2. The pork or pork products must be packaged prior to movement from the State in a leakproof container and sealed with a serially numbered seal approved by APHIS. The container must remain

sealed at all times while transiting the United States.

3. The person moving the pork or pork products through the United States must inform the APHIS officer at the United States port of arrival, in writing, of the following information before the pork or pork products arrive in the United States: The time and date that the pork or pork products are expected at the port of arrival in the United States, the time schedule and route of the shipments through the United States, the permit number, and the serial numbers of the seals on the containers.

4. The pork or pork products must transit the United States under Customs bond.

5. The pork or pork products must be exported from the United States within the time period specified on the permit.

Any pork or pork products exceeding the time limit specified on the permit or transiting in violation of any of the requirements of the permit or the regulations may be destroyed or otherwise disposed of at the discretion of the Administrator, APHIS, pursuant to section 2 of the Act of February 2, 1903, as amended (21 U.S.C. 111).

These safeguards are intended to prevent tampering with the shipments, ensure that the shipments actually leave the United States, and otherwise ensure that the shipments do not present a risk of introducing hog cholera into the United States.

Under these circumstances—the low risk associated with the pork and pork products coupled with the safeguards for in-transit shipments—we believe that there would be little, if any, risk of introducing hog cholera into the United States by allowing pork and pork products from Baja California Sur, Coahuila, Nuevo Leon, Tamaulipas, Sinaloa, Campeche, and Quintana Roo to transit the United States for export. Therefore, we are proposing to amend § 94.15 to allow pork and pork products from the Mexican States of Baja California Sur, Coahuila, Nuevo Leon, Tamaulipas, Sinaloa, Campeche, and Quintana Roo to transit the United States for export under the same conditions that currently apply to pork and pork products from Baja California, Chihuahua, Sonora, and Yucatan.

#### **Executive Order 12866 and Regulatory Flexibility Act**

This proposed rule has been reviewed under Executive Order 12866. The rule has been determined to be not significant for the purposes of Executive Order 12866 and, therefore, has not been reviewed by the Office of Management and Budget.

In accordance with 5 U.S.C. 603, we have performed an Initial Regulatory Flexibility Analysis, which is set out below, regarding the effects of this proposed rule on small entities. Based on the information we have, there is no basis to conclude that this rule will result in any significant economic effects on a substantial number of small entities. However, we do not currently have all of the data necessary for a comprehensive analysis of the effects of this proposed rule on small entities. Therefore, we are inviting comments on potential effects. In particular, we are interested in determining the number and kind of small entities that may incur benefits or costs from the implementation of this proposed rule.

In accordance with 21 U.S.C. 111–113, 114a, 115, 117, 120, 123, and 134a, the Secretary of Agriculture has the authority to promulgate regulations and take measures to prevent the introduction into the United States, and the interstate dissemination within the United States, of communicable diseases of livestock and poultry.

This proposed rule would allow fresh (chilled or frozen) pork and pork products from the Mexican States of Baja California Sur, Coahuila, Nuevo Leon, Tamaulipas, Sinaloa, Campeche, and Quintana Roo to transit the United States, under certain conditions, for export to another country.

There appears to be little risk of hog cholera exposure from shipments of pork and pork products from Baja California Sur, Coahuila, Nuevo Leon, Tamaulipas, Sinaloa, Campeche, and Quintana Roo transiting the United States. Assuming that proper risk management techniques continue to be applied in Mexico, and that accident and exposure risk are minimized by proper handling during transport, the risk of exposure to hog cholera from pork in transit from Mexico through the United States would be minimal.

The proposed rule would have no direct effect on U.S. producers and consumers of pork because Mexican pork would only transit the United States and would not enter U.S. marketing channels. Neither the quantity or price of pork traded in U.S. domestic markets, nor U.S. consumer or producer surplus would be affected by the proposed rule.

#### **Effects on Small Transport Firms**

This proposed rule, if implemented, could directly affect U.S. trucking companies in the border states of Texas and California. These companies would benefit from transporting an estimated 5 to 6 thousand metric tons annually of Mexican pork and pork products from

U.S. land border ports to U.S. maritime ports. Additional annual revenues generated by the proposed rule would range from \$2,000 to \$3,000 for California transport firms (based on an additional 5 to 7 trips annually), and from \$10,000 to \$57,000 for Texas transport firms (based on an additional 15 to 18 trips annually). The majority (98 percent) of trucking firms in Texas and California meets the Small Business Administration's definition of small firm (less than \$18.5 million in receipts annually). However, based on the limited number of trips and negligible amount of revenue generated by these trips, it is safe to conclude that the proposed rule would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small trucking firms.

#### **Effects on U.S. Pork Exporters**

The extent to which the proposed rule would affect U.S. pork exporters is unclear, but, based on historical data on Mexican pork exports, it appears that the overall effect of the proposed rule would be to increase the quantity of Mexican pork destined for the Japanese frozen pork market. According to Japanese import statistics, Japan imported 382,000 metric tons of frozen swine cuts valued at roughly \$1.9 billion in 1997. Denmark, Taiwan, and the United States were the top three suppliers, but Mexico and Canada, who are relative newcomers to the Japanese frozen pork market, have gained market share in recent years. As discussed above, we estimate that an additional 5,000 to 6,000 metric tons annually of frozen pork from Mexico would transit the United States for Japan after adoption of the proposed rule. This is roughly 1.4 percent of the total quantity imported by Japan in 1997.

During the period 1996 through 1997, Mexican frozen pork exports to Japan increased from 12,953 metric tons (valued at \$76 million) to 24,408 metric tons (valued at \$122 million). During the same period, U.S. frozen pork exports to Japan decreased from 64,500 metric tons valued at \$360 million to 48,000 metric tons valued at \$244 million. Analysts cite price advantage and the willingness of Mexican packers to tailor pork cuts to Japanese specifications as key reasons for Mexico's increased market share in 1997.

Since this rule simply allows pork from additional Mexican States to transit the United States for immediate export, it is unclear whether this rule would result in increased volumes of Mexican exports to foreign regions (e.g., Japan), though it would likely result in

increased volumes of pork transiting the United States. It is possible that the volume of Mexico's total pork exports would remain constant, though the volume of pork in transit through the United States would increase. This scenario would likely have a minimal economic effect on U.S. pork exporters, whether small or large. However, since we are unable to determine whether this rule will result in increased volumes of Mexican pork exports, we cannot determine the effect of this rule on U.S. pork exporters, whether small or large.

#### **Trade Relations**

This proposal would remove some restrictions on the importation of pork and pork products from Mexico and would encourage a positive trading environment between the United States and Mexico and other regions where hog cholera is considered to exist by stimulating economic activity and providing export opportunities to foreign pork processing industries.

The proposed changes to the regulations would result in new information collection or recordkeeping requirements, as described below under the heading, "Paperwork Reduction Act."

#### **Executive Order 12988**

This proposed rule has been reviewed under Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform. If this proposed rule is adopted: (1) All State and local laws and regulations that are inconsistent with this rule will be preempted; (2) no retroactive effect will be given to this rule; and (3) administrative proceedings will not be required before parties may file suit in court challenging this rule.

#### **Paperwork Reduction Act**

In accordance with section 3507(d) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), the information collection or recordkeeping requirements included in this proposed rule have been submitted for approval to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB). Please send written comments to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, OMB, Attention: Desk Officer for APHIS, Washington, DC 20503. Please state that your comments refer to Docket No. 98–095–1. Please send a copy of your comments to: (1) Docket No. 98–095–1, Regulatory Analysis and Development, PPD, APHIS, suite 3C03, 4700 River Road Unit 118, Riverdale, MD 20737–1238, and (2) Clearance Officer, OCIO, USDA, room 404–W, 14th Street and Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20250. A comment to OMB is best assured of having its full

effect if OMB receives it within 30 days of publication of this proposed rule.

This proposed rule would amend the regulations to allow fresh (chilled or frozen) pork and pork products from the Mexican States of Baja California Sur, Coahuila, Nuevo Leon, Tamaulipas, Sinaloa, Campeche, and Quintana Roo to transit the United States, under certain conditions, for export to another country.

Implementing this proposed rule would necessitate the use of several information collection activities, including the completion of an import permit application, the placement of serially number seals on product containers, and the forwarding of a written, pre-arrival notification to APHIS port personnel.

We are asking OMB to approve these information collection activities in connection with our efforts to ensure that fresh pork and pork products transiting the United States from Mexico pose a negligible risk of introducing hog cholera into the United States.

We are soliciting comments from the public (as well as affected agencies) concerning our proposed information collection and recordkeeping requirements. These comments will help us:

(1) Evaluate whether the proposed information collection is necessary for the proper performance of our agency's functions, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(2) Evaluate the accuracy of our estimate of the burden of the proposed information collection, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(4) Minimize the burden of the information collection on those who are to respond (such as through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses).

*Estimate of burden:* Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average .744 hours per response.

*Respondents:* Exporters in Mexico, and full-time, salaried veterinarians of Mexico's Animal Health Inspection Service.

*Estimated annual number of respondents:* 20.

*Estimated annual number of responses per respondent:* 12.5.

*Estimated annual number of responses:* 250.

*Estimated total annual burden on respondents:* 186 hours.

Copies of this information collection can be obtained from: Clearance Officer, OCIO, USDA, room 404-W, 14th Street and Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20250.

#### List of Subjects in 9 CFR Part 94

Animal diseases, Imports, Livestock, Meat and meat products, Milk, Poultry and poultry products, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Accordingly, we propose to amend 9 CFR part 94 as follows:

#### **PART 94—RINDERPEST, FOOT-AND-MOUTH DISEASE, FOWL PEST (FOWL PLAGUE), VELOGENIC VISCEROTROPIC NEWCASTLE DISEASE, AFRICAN SWINE FEVER, HOG CHOLERA, AND BOVINE SPONGIFORM ENCEPHALOPATHY: PROHIBITED AND RESTRICTED IMPORTATIONS**

1. The authority citation for part 94 would continue to read as follows:

**Authority:** 7 U.S.C. 147a, 150ee, 161, 162, and 450; 19 U.S.C. 1306; 21 U.S.C. 111, 114a, 134a, 134b, 134c, 134f, 136, and 136a; 31 U.S.C. 9701; 42 U.S.C. 4331 and 4332; 7 CFR 2.22, 2.80, and 371.2(d).

2. In § 94.15, paragraph (b) introductory text would be revised to read as follows:

#### **§ 94.15 Animal products and materials; movement and handling.**

\* \* \* \* \*

(b) Pork and pork products from Baja California, Baja California Sur, Campeche, Chihuahua, Coahuila, Nuevo Leon, Quintana Roo, Sinaloa, Sonora, Tamaulipas, and Yucatan, Mexico, that are not eligible for entry into the United States in accordance with this part may transit the United States for immediate export if the following conditions are met:

\* \* \* \* \*

Done in Washington, DC, this 13th day of July, 1999.

**Charles P. Schwalbe,**

*Acting Administrator, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service.*

[FR Doc. 99-18321 Filed 7-16-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-34-P

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Aviation Administration

#### 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 98-NM-378-AD]

RIN 2120-AA64

#### **Airworthiness Directives; Boeing Model 727 Series Airplanes**

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

**SUMMARY:** This document proposes the adoption of a new airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to certain Boeing Model 727 series airplanes. This proposal would require modification of the pressure web of the nose landing gear wheel well. This proposal is prompted by reports of fatigue cracks in the pressure web of the nose landing gear wheel well. The actions specified by the proposed AD are intended to prevent cracking of the pressure web of the nose landing gear wheel well, which could result in loss of airplane pressurization.

**DATES:** Comments must be received by September 2, 1999.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-114, Attention: Rules Docket No. 98-NM-378-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056. Comments may be inspected at this location between 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

The service information referenced in the proposed rule may be obtained from Boeing Commercial Airplane Group, P.O. Box 3707, Seattle, Washington 98124-2207. This information may be examined at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Walt Sippel, Aerospace Engineer, Airframe Branch, ANM-120S, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056; telephone (425) 227-2774; fax (425) 227-1181.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **Comments Invited**

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications shall