NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 030-34610-ML; ASLBP No. 99-768-02-ML]

Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel; Hearing

July 12, 1999.

Before Administrative Judges: Charles Bechhoefer, Presiding Officer; Dr. Linda W. Little, Special Assistant.

In the matter of the Department of the Army, Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland; Denial of Materials License for M22/GID-3 Automatic Chemical Agent Detector/Alarm.

Notice is hereby given that, by Memorandum and Order dated July 12, 1999, the Presiding Officer in this proceeding has granted the June 4, 1999 request for a hearing of the Department of the Army (Army or Applicant). On May 17, 1999, the Nuclear Regulatory Commission Staff denied Army's application for registration and licensing of the model M22/GID–3 Automatic Chemical Agent Detector/ Alarm for distribution pursuant to 10 CFR 32.26. The hearing will involve Army's appeal from this Staff ruling.

This proceeding will be conducted under the Commission's Informal Hearing Procedures for Adjudications in Materials and Operator Licensing Proceedings, set forth in 10 CFR Part 2, Subpart L. Further details appear in the July 12, 1999 Memorandum and Order referenced above. Documents relating to this proceeding are available for public inspection and copying at the Commission's Public Document Room, Gelman Building, 2120 L St. NW, Washington, DC 20555.

The Army and the NRC Staff are parties to this proceeding. As requested by the Staff, the hearing is being deferred to accommodate ongoing settlement negotiations. If settlement is not achieved, a contested proceeding will be conducted.

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.1205(j), and notwithstanding the ongoing settlement negotiations, any person whose interest may be affected by this proceeding may within 30 days of publication of this Notice file a petition for leave to intervene. Such petition must identify (1) the interest of the petitioner in the proceeding, (2) how that interest may be affected by the results of the proceeding, with particular reference to the factors set out in 10 CFR 2.1205(h) (and, in particular, whether the petitioner's specified areas of concern are germane to the subject matter of the proceeding), (3) the petitioner's area of concern about the licensing activity that is the subject matter of the proceeding, and (4) the

circumstances establishing that the request is timely (in accord with the standards set forth in this Notice).

Each petition must be submitted to the Office of the Secretary, Rulemaking and Adjudications Staff, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555. Copies should be served upon the Presiding Officer, the Special Assistant, the Assistant General Counsel for Hearings and Enforcement, and the Applicant, through its project manager, Col. Stephen V. Rooves, NBC Defense Systems, U.S. Army Soldier and Biological Chemical Command, 5232 Fleming Road, Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21010-5423, and the Executive Director for Operations, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555. Pursuant to 10 CFR 2.1205(k)(2), any party (including the NRC Staff) may file an answer to a petition to intervene within 10 days of service of such petition.

As provided by 10 CFR 2.1211(b), within 30 days of publication of this Notice, the representative of an interested State, county, municipality, or an agency thereof, may request an opportunity to participate in this proceeding. The request for an opportunity to participate must state with reasonable specificity the requestor's areas of concern about the licensing activity involved in this proceeding. Upon receipt of a properly filed request, the Presiding Officer will afford the representative a reasonable opportunity to make written and oral presentations in accordance with 10 CFR 2.1233 and 2.1235, without requiring the representative to take a position with respect to the issues.

In addition, pursuant to 10 CFR 2.1211(a), any member of the public who is not a party to the proceeding may make a limited appearance in order to state his or her views on the issues involved in this proceeding. Although these statements are not evidence and do not become part of the decisional record, the Presiding Officer may ask the Staff to consider in its licensing review information concerning matters raised in such limited appearance statements and not directly covered by issues identified by the parties. Limited appearances are usually in writing although, if the Presiding Officer conducts an oral argument or in-person prehearing conference, the Presiding Officer may hear oral statements. Written statements, and requests to make oral statements, should be submitted to the Office of the Secretary, Rulemaking and Adjudications Staff, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555. Copies of

statements and requests should also be forwarded to the Presiding Officer.

Dated: July 12, 1999, Rockville, MD. Charles Bechhoefer,

Presiding Officer, Administrative Judge. [FR Doc. 99–18160 Filed 7–15–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 50-272 and 50-311]

Public Service Electric and Gas Company; Denial of Amendment to Facility Operating License and Opportunity for Hearing

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
Commission (the Commission) has
denied a request by the Public Service
Electric and Gas Company (the licensee)
for an amendment to Facility Operating
License Nos. DPR-70 and DPR-75
issued to the licensee for operation of
the Salem Nuclear Generating Station,
Unit Nos. 1 and 2, located in Salem
County, New Jersey. Notice of
Consideration of Issuance of this
amendment was published in the
Federal Register on September 9, 1998
(63 FR 48264).

The purpose of the licensee's amendment request was to revise Technical Specification 3/4.7.6, "Control Room Emergency Air Conditioning System." Specifically, the licensee requested that the acceptance criteria for the control room envelope be revised to maintain a ½-s-inch positive pressure with respect to the outside atmosphere, the work control center and control room equipment rooms, and a ½-o-inch water gauge positive pressure with respect to the relay rooms and the auxiliary building.

The NRC staff has concluded that the licensee's request cannot be granted. The licensee was notified of the Commission's denial of the proposed change by a letter dated July 9, 1999.

By August 16, 1999, the licensee may demand a hearing with respect to the denial described above. Any person whose interest may be affected by this proceeding may file a written petition for leave to intervene.

A request for hearing or petition for leave to intervene must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001 Attention: Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff, or may be delivered to the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, by the above date.

A copy of any petitions should also be sent to the Office of the General Counsel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001, and to Jeffrie J. Keenan, Esquire, Nuclear Business Unit—N21, P.O. Box 236, Hancocks Bridge, NJ 08038, attorney for the licensee.

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the application for amendment dated July 30, 1998, as supplemented on February 22, 1999, and (2) the Commission's letter to the licensee dated July 9, 1999.

These documents are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the Salem Free Public Library, 112 West Broadway, Salem, NJ 08079.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 9th day of July 1999.

S. Singh Bajwa,

Acting Director, Project Directorate I, Division of Licensing Project Management, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 99–18162 Filed 7–15–99; 8:45 am]

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-354]

Public Service Electric and Gas Company; Hope Creek Generating Station; Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License No. NPF– 57, issued to Public Service Electric and Gas Company (the licensee), for operation of the Hope Creek Generating Station (HCGS), located in Salem County, New Jersey.

Environmental Assessment

Identification of the Proposed Action

The proposed action would correct typographical and editorial errors in the HCGS Technical Specifications (TSs) in accordance with the licensee's application for amendment dated May 24, 1999, as supplemented June 21, 1999.

The Need for the Proposed Action

The proposed action would provide clarity and administrative correctness to the TSs.

Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action

The Commission has completed its evaluation of the proposed action and concludes that the changes to the TSs are administrative in nature. The proposed action does not affect the assessment of environmental impacts described in the "Final Environmental Statement related to the operation of Hope Creek Generating Station" (NUREG-1074).

The proposed action will not increase the probability or consequences of accidents, no changes are being made in the types of any effluents that may be released off site, and there is no significant increase in occupational or public radiation exposure. Therefore, there are no significant radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

With regard to potential nonradiological impacts, the proposed action does not involve any historic sites. It does not affect non-radiological plant effluents and has no other environmental impact. Therefore, there are no significant non-radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Alternatives to the Proposed Action

As an alternative to the proposed action, the staff considered denial of the proposed action (i.e., the "no-action" alternative). Denial of the application would result in no change in current environmental impacts. The environmental impacts of the proposed action and the alterative action are similar.

Alternative Use of Resources

This action does not involve the use of any resources not previously considered in the Final Environmental Statement for the HCGS.

Agencies and Persons Consulted

In accordance with its stated policy, on June 8,1999, the staff consulted with the New Jersey State official, Mr. Richard Pinney of the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection, regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. The State official had no comments.

Finding of No Significant Impact

On the basis of the environmental assessment, the Commission concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the

Commission has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed action.

For further details with respect to the proposed action, see the licensee's letter dated May 24, 1999, as supplemented by letter dated June 21, 1999, which are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, The Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW, Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the Pennsville Public Library, 190 S. Broadway, Pennsville, New Jersey 08070.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 8th day of July 1999.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Richard B. Ennis,

Project Manager, Section 2, Project Directorate I, Division of Licensing Project Management, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 99–18163 Filed 7–15–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Design and Assessment Issues in Safety-Critical Digital Systems

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice of seminar.

SUMMARY: The NRC has committed through its Strategic Plan to incorporate risk insights, conduct anticipatory research on issues of potential regulatory and safety significance, engage in cooperative research agreements, and provide timely information to our stakeholders. As part of this commitment, a seminar has been established to present on-going anticipatory research in the safety assessment of digital systems. This research is conducted through a cooperative agreement between academia and the government. The goal of this seminar is to inform our stakeholders of current research activities and to solicit their perspectives and interest in safety assessment of digital systems.

DATE: August 5, 1999—The seminar will begin at 8:00 a.m. and end at 5:00 p.m. **LOCATION:** Thornton Hall, Room 316,

University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA 22903.

CONTACT:

Registration—Francine Randolph, Phone: (301) 415–6798, E-mail: fxr1@nrc.gov

General—John Calvert, Phone: (301) 415–6323, E-mail: *jac4@nrc.gov*